

**Canada**

**Stephenson, Laura B, Western University**  
**Harell, Allison, Université du Québec à Montréal**  
**Rubenson, Daniel, Ryerson University**  
**Loewen, Peter John, University of Toronto**

**Canadian Election Study, 2019, Online Survey**

**Study Documentation**

August 11, 2020

# Metadata Production

<b>Metadata Producer(s)</b>	Scholars Portal (SP) , Ontario Council of University Libraries
<b>Production Date</b>	August 17, 2020
<b>Identification</b>	CES-E-2019-online

# Table of Contents

<a href="#">Overview.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">Scope &amp; Coverage.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">Producers &amp; Sponsors.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">Sampling.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">Data Collection.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">Accessibility.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">Rights &amp; Disclaimer.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">Files Description.....</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0.....</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">Variables Group(s).....</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">Campaign Period Survey.....</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">Post Election Survey.....</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">Weight.....</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">Metadata.....</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">Consent and quota demographics.....</a>	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">Democracy, voting and parties.....</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">Ideology.....</a>	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">Leader impressions.....</a>	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">Government spending.....</a>	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">Issue positions.....</a>	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">The economy.....</a>	<a href="#">15</a>
<a href="#">Party issue handling.....</a>	<a href="#">15</a>
<a href="#">Party chances.....</a>	<a href="#">16</a>
<a href="#">Election outcomes.....</a>	<a href="#">16</a>
<a href="#">Immigration and refugees.....</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">Efficacy.....</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">Election promises.....</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">SNC-Lavalin affair.....</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">News consumption.....</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">Political participation.....</a>	<a href="#">18</a>
<a href="#">Duty to vote.....</a>	<a href="#">18</a>
<a href="#">Quebec sovereignty.....</a>	<a href="#">18</a>
<a href="#">Personal finances.....</a>	<a href="#">18</a>
<a href="#">Political knowledge.....</a>	<a href="#">18</a>
<a href="#">Premier satisfaction.....</a>	<a href="#">18</a>
<a href="#">Party identification and membership.....</a>	<a href="#">19</a>
<a href="#">Groups thermometers.....</a>	<a href="#">19</a>
<a href="#">Past vote.....</a>	<a href="#">20</a>
<a href="#">Debates.....</a>	<a href="#">20</a>
<a href="#">Additional demographics.....</a>	<a href="#">20</a>
<a href="#">Consent and basic demographics.....</a>	<a href="#">23</a>
<a href="#">Main issue in campaign.....</a>	<a href="#">24</a>
<a href="#">Voting: turnout.....</a>	<a href="#">24</a>
<a href="#">Voting: reasons and methods.....</a>	<a href="#">24</a>
<a href="#">Satisfactions iwth democracy.....</a>	<a href="#">24</a>
<a href="#">Voting: vote choice.....</a>	<a href="#">24</a>
<a href="#">Attention to campaign.....</a>	<a href="#">25</a>
<a href="#">Party contact.....</a>	<a href="#">25</a>
<a href="#">Mandate.....</a>	<a href="#">25</a>
<a href="#">Government information.....</a>	<a href="#">25</a>
<a href="#">Party promises.....</a>	<a href="#">26</a>
<a href="#">Group thermometers.....</a>	<a href="#">26</a>
<a href="#">Party words.....</a>	<a href="#">26</a>
<a href="#">Economy.....</a>	<a href="#">26</a>

Electoral reform.....	27
Paid medical treatment.....	27
Senate.....	27
Environment versus jobs.....	27
Democratic values.....	27
Efficacy.....	27
Politicians lies, biligualism, and equal rights.....	28
Group identity.....	28
Assimilation and immitgrant taking jobs.....	28
Government effectiveness and programs.....	28
Ties with the US and China and country thermometers.....	28
Comparative Study of the Electoral System (Module 5) questions.....	29
Module questions.....	29
Interst in politics.....	33
Social networks.....	33
Participation.....	34
Voluntary associations.....	35
Party membership in lifetime.....	36
Importance of voting.....	36
Media statements.....	36
Representation by women.....	36
Corruption.....	37
Populism.....	37
Nativism.....	37
Canadian identification.....	38
Social Dominance Orientation.....	38
How much should be done for <group>.....	38
Taxes.....	38
Abortion.....	39
International trade.....	39
Private sector and jobs.....	39
Role of government in inequality.....	39
Deservingness.....	39
Get ahead & Gov't should.....	40
Trust.....	40
Inequality.....	40
Stronger Federal or Provincial government.....	40
Sexism.....	40
Climate Change.....	41
Party identification.....	41
Affective party identification.....	41
Quebec questions.....	42
New lifestyles.....	42
Life satisfaction.....	42
Cognition.....	42
Gender identity.....	42
Big 5.....	42
Health initial.....	43
Health follow-ups.....	43
Additional demographics.....	43
Metadata.....	44
Variables Description.....	46
2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0.....	47



## Canadian Election Study, 2019, Online Survey (CES 2019 Online)

### *Étude électorale canadienne 2019*

Overview	
Type	Canadian Election Study
Identification	CES-E-2019-online
Series	<p>The primary mandate of the Canadian Election Study is to provide a thorough account of the election, to underline the main reasons why people vote the way they do, to indicate what does and does not change during the campaign and from one election to another, and to highlight similarities and differences between voting and elections in Canada and in other democratic countries.</p> <p>The second mandate is to contribute to the development of scientific knowledge regarding the motivations of voters and the meanings of elections and election campaigns in democratic societies.</p> <p>The third mandate is to assemble a rich set of data about Canadians' attitudes and opinions on a wide variety of social, economic, and political issues, and to make that data publicly available to researchers in political science, sociology, economics, communications, and journalism.</p>
<b>Abstract</b> The 2019 Canadian Election Study was conducted to gather the attitudes and opinions of Canadians during and after the 2019 federal election. It continues the tradition of Canadian Election Studies started in 1965. There are 2 data files for the 2019 CES - online survey and phone survey.	
Kind of Data	Survey data
Unit of Analysis	Individuals

Scope & Coverage	
Keywords	Candidates, Debate, Election, Leaders, Media, Politics, Public Opinion, Votes, Voting
Topics	Election, Politics, Public Opinion
Time Period(s)	2019
Countries	Canada
<b>Geographic Coverage</b> Canada, Provinces	
<b>Universe</b> Canadian citizens and permanent residents, aged 18 or older	

Producers & Sponsors	
Primary Investigator(s)	Stephenson, Laura B, Western University Harell, Allison, Université du Québec à Montréal Rubenson, Daniel, Ryerson University Loewen, Peter John, University of Toronto
Other Producer(s)	Stephenson, Laura B , Western University Harell, Allison , Université du Québec à Montréal Rubenson, Daniel , Ryerson University Loewen, Peter John , University of Toronto
Funding Agency/ies	Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada (SSHRC)

## Sampling

### Sampling Procedure

The online sample for the 2019 Canadian Election Study was composed of a two-wave panel with a modified rolling-cross section during the campaign period and a post-election recontact wave.

### Weighting

Weight variables are provided; weights must be used to ensure that the data is representative of the population. <br />

- cps19\_weight\_general\_all - all campaign period respondents
- cps19\_weight\_general\_restricted - only high-quality campaign period respondents (see Data Quality section below)
- pes19\_weight\_general\_all - all post-election survey respondents
- pes19\_weight\_general\_restricted - only high-quality post-election survey respondents

## Data Collection

<b>Data Collection Dates</b>	Campaign period survey: start 2019-09-13 Campaign period survey: end 2019-10-21 Post-election survey: start 2019-10-24 Post-election survey: end 2019-11-11
<b>Data Collection Mode</b>	Online survey
<b>Data Collector(s)</b>	Advanis Inc.

## Accessibility

<b>Distributor(s)</b>	Canadian Opinion Research Archive
<b>Citation Requirements</b> Publications based on CES data collection should acknowledge those sources by means of bibliographic citations. To ensure that such source attributions are captured for social science bibliographic utilities, citations must appear in footnotes or in the reference section of publications.	

## Rights & Disclaimer

### Disclaimer

The original collector of the data and the relevant funding agency bear no responsibility for uses of this collection or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.

<b>Copyright</b>	Copyright (c) 2020
------------------	--------------------

# Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0	
# Cases	37822
# Variable(s)	634



# Variables Group(s)

Dataset contains 95 group(s)

## Group Campaign Period Survey

<b>Subgroup(s)</b>	Consent and quota demographics , Democarcy, voting and parties , Idealogy , Leader impressions , Government spending , Issue positions , The economy , Party issue handling , Party chances , Election outcomes , Immigration and refugees , Efficacy , Election promises , SNC-Lavalin affair , News consumption , Political participation , Duty to vote , Quebec sovereignty , Personal finances , Political knowledge , Premier satisfaction , Party identification and membership , Groups thermometers , Past vote , Debates , Additional demographics
--------------------	--

## Group Post Election Survey

<b>Subgroup(s)</b>	Consent and basic demographics , Main issue in campaign , Voting: turnout , Voting: resasons and methods , Satisfactions iwth democracy , Voting: vote choice , Attention to campaign , Party contact , Mandate , Government information , Party promises , Group thermometers , Party words , Economy , Electoral reform , Paid medical treatment , Senate , Environment versus jobs , Democratic values , Efficacy , Politicians lies, biligualism, and equal rights , Group identity , Assimilation and immitgrant taking jobs , Government effectiveness and programs , Ties with the US and China and country thermometers , Comparative Study of the Electoral System (Module 5) questions , Module questions , Interst in politics , Social networks , Participation , Voluntary associations , Party membership in lifetime , Importance of voting , Media statements , Representation by women , Corruption , Populism , Nativism , Canadian identification , Social Dominance Orientation , How much should be done for <group> , Taxes , Abortion , International trade , Private sector and jobs , Role of government in inequality , Deservingness , Get ahead & Gov't should , Trust , Inequality , Stronger Federal or Provincial government , Sexism , Climate Change , Party identification , Affective party identification , Quebec questions , New lifestyles , Life satisfaction , Cognition , Gender identity , Big 5 , Health initial , Health follow-ups , Additional demographics , Metadata
--------------------	---

## Group Weight

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cps19_weight_gen	CPS weight for age, gender, education, and province, all respondents	continuous	numeric-4.2	37733	89	-
2	cps19_weight_gen	CPS weight for age, gender, education, and province, restricted respondents	continuous	numeric-4.2	33822	4000	-
3	pes19_weight_gen	PES weight for age, gender, education, and province, all respondents	continuous	numeric-4.2	10325	27497	-
4	pes19_weight_gen	PES weight for age, gender, education, and province, restricted respondents	continuous	numeric-4.2	8299	29523	-

## Group Metadata

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cps19_current_da	Date 1	continuous	numeric-8.0	37822	0	-
2	cps19_current_da	Date 2	discrete	character-8	37822	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3	cps19_Q_Language	Language	discrete	character-5	37822	0	-
4	cps19_Q_TotalDuration	Q_TotalDuration	continuous	numeric-7.0	37822	0	-
5	get_news	get_news	discrete	character-1	37822	0	-
6	get_more_naming	get_more_naming	discrete	character-1	37822	0	-
7	get_not_vote_for	get_not_vote_for	discrete	character-1	37822	0	-
8	get_party_issue_h	get_party_issue_handling	discrete	character-1	37822	0	-
9	get_imp_loc_iss	get_imp_loc_iss	discrete	character-1	37822	0	-
10	get_outcome	get_outcome	discrete	character-1	37822	0	-
11	justice_law	justice_law	discrete	character-26	37822	0	-
12	justice_law_fr	justice_law_fr	discrete	character-36	37822	0	-
13	lr_scale_order	lr_scale_order	discrete	character-16	37822	0	-
14	ethnicity_intro	ethnicity_intro	discrete	character-81	37822	0	-
15	ethnicity_intro_fr	ethnicity_intro_fr	discrete	character-78	37822	0	-
16	premier	premier	discrete	character-17	37822	0	-
17	province_fr	province_fr	discrete	character-29	27446	0	-
18	pid_en	pid_en	discrete	character-16	31290	0	-
19	pid_party_en	pid_party_en	discrete	character-18	31290	0	-
20	pid_party_fr	pid_party_fr	discrete	character-18	31290	0	-
21	cps19_data_quality	Data quality category that respondent is in	discrete	numeric-2.0	37822	0	-
22	cps19_panel	Panel respondent was drawn from	discrete	character-12	32770	0	-
23	cps19_age	Age in years based on cps19_yob	continuous	numeric-2.0	37822	0	-
24	cps19_duplicates	Indicator for respondents that took the CPS multiple times	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	-
25	cps19_inattentive	Indicator that respondent took more than 60 minutes to complete the CPS	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	-
26	constituencynumb	Number of the FED respondent resides in	continuous	numeric-5.0	37262	560	-
27	constituencyname	Name of the FED respondent resides in	discrete	character-59	37262	0	-

### Group Consent and quota demographics

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cps19_StartDate	Start Date	discrete	character-10	37822	-	-
2	cps19_EndDate	End Date	discrete	character-10	37822	-	-
3	cps19_ResponseId	Response ID	discrete	character-17	37822	0	-
4	cps19_consent	Letter of Information and Consent Project Title	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	-
5	cps19_citizenship	Are you a...	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	-
6	cps19_yob	Cross section of Canadians estimate	discrete	numeric-2.0	37822	0	First, in what year were you born?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
7	cps19_yob_2001	How old are you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	293	37529	How old are you?
8	cps19_gender	Are you...	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	-
9	cps19_province	Province or territory currently living in	discrete	numeric-2.0	37822	0	Which province or territory are you currently living in?
10	cps19_education	Highest level of education completed	discrete	numeric-2.0	37822	0	What is the highest level of education that you have completed?

## Group Democracy, voting and parties

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cps19_demsat	Satisfaction with the way democracy works in Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Canada?
2	cps19_imp_iss	Most important issue to you in this federal election	discrete	character-255	30399	-	What is the most important issue to you personally in this federal election?
3	cps199	Most important issue to you in this federal election	discrete	character-255	62	-	-
4	cps19a	Most important issue to you in this federal election	discrete	character-255	7	-	-
5	cps19b	Most important issue to you in this federal election	discrete	character-255	1	-	-
6	cps19c	Most important issue to you in this federal election	discrete	character-253	1	-	-
7	cps19d	Most important issue to you in this federal election	discrete	character-88	1	0	-
8	cps19_imp_iss_pa	Party best addressing this issue	discrete	numeric-1.0	30400	7422	Which party is best at addressing this issue?
9	cps19_imp_iss_pa	Party best addressing this issue - Another party (please specify)	discrete	character-255	583	-	-
10	cps198	Party best addressing this issue - Another party (please specify)	discrete	character-60	3	0	-
11	cps19_imp_loc_iss	Most important local issue in this federal election	discrete	character-255	13527	-	What is the most important local issue to you personally in this federal election?
12	cps195	Most important local issue in this federal election	discrete	character-255	21	-	-
13	cps196	Most important local issue in this federal election	discrete	character-255	7	-	-
14	cps197	Most important local issue in this federal election	discrete	character-108	2	-	-
15	cps19_imp_loc_iss	Party best at addressing this local issue? - Selected Choice	discrete	numeric-1.0	13527	24295	Which party is best at addressing this local issue?
16	cps19_imp_loc_iss	Party best at addressing this local issue? - Another party	discrete	character-255	266	-	-
17	cps194	Party best at addressing this local issue? - Another party	discrete	character-116	1	-	-
18	cps19_interest_ge	How interested are you in politics	discrete	numeric-2.0	35409	2413	How interested are you in politics generally? Set the slider to a number from 0 to 10, where 0 means no interest at all, and 10 means a great deal of interest.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
19	cps19_interest_el	How interested are you in this federal election	discrete	numeric-2.0	35536	2286	How interested are you in this federal election? Set the slider to a number from 0 to 10, where 0 means no interest at all, and 10 means a great deal of interest.
20	cps19_v_likely	On election day, are you...	discrete	numeric-1.0	36480	1342	-
21	cps19_v_likely_p	Likelihood to vote in the first election	discrete	numeric-1.0	1342	36480	If you become a Canadian citizen, how likely are you to vote in the first election for which you are eligible?
22	cps19_votechoice	Which party do you think you will vote for	discrete	numeric-1.0	31564	6258	Which party do you think you will vote for?
23	cps19_votechoice	Which party do you think you will vote for - Another party	discrete	character-180	201	-	-
24	cps19_votechoice	If you could vote, which party would you vote for	discrete	numeric-1.0	1292	36530	If you could vote in this election, which party do you think you would vote for?
25	cps19_votechoice	If you could vote in this election, which party do you think you would vote for?	discrete	character-17	3	0	-
26	cps19_vote_unlik	Which party do you think you will vote for	discrete	numeric-1.0	1303	36519	If you decide to vote, which party do you think you will vote for?
27	cps19_vote_unlik	Which party do you think you will vote for 2	discrete	character-99	21	0	-
28	cps19_vote_unlik	Which party do you think you will vote for 3	discrete	numeric-1.0	108	37714	If you could vote in this election, and decided to vote, which party do you think you would vote for?
29	cps19_vote_unlik	Which party do you think you will vote for 4	discrete	character-1	0	0	-
30	cps19_v_advance	For which party did you vote? - Selected Choice	discrete	numeric-1.0	2329	35493	For which party did you vote?
31	cps19_v_advance	For which party did you vote? - Another party	discrete	character-40	16	0	-
32	cps19_vote_lean	Is there a party you are leaning towards	discrete	numeric-1.0	594	37228	Is there a party you are leaning towards?
33	cps19_vote_lean	Is there a party you are leaning towards? - Another party	discrete	character-105	5	-	-
34	cps19_vote_lean	Is there a party you would be leaning toward	discrete	numeric-1.0	55	37767	If you could vote in this election, is there a party you would be leaning towards?
35	cps19_vote_lean	Is there a party you would be leaning toward 2	discrete	character-1	0	0	-
36	cps19_2nd_choice	And which party would be your second choice	discrete	numeric-1.0	30380	7442	And which party would be your second choice?
37	cps19_2nd_choice	And which party would be your second choice - Another party	discrete	character-187	647	-	-
38	cps19_2nd_choice	And which party would be your second choice? - Selected Choice	discrete	numeric-1.0	1266	36556	And which party would be your second choice?
39	cps19_2nd_choice	And which party would be your second choice - Another party	discrete	character-20	6	0	-
40	cps19_not_vote_f	Any parties that you would absolutely not vote for	discrete	numeric-1.0	5543	32279	Are there any parties that you would absolutely not vote for?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
41	cps19_not_vote_f	Any parties that you would absolutely not vote for 2	discrete	numeric-1.0	6574	31248	-
42	cps19_not_vote_f	Any parties that you would absolutely not vote for 3	discrete	numeric-1.0	3669	34153	-
43	cps19_not_vote_f	Any parties that you would absolutely not vote for 4	discrete	numeric-1.0	1148	36674	-
44	cps19_not_vote_f	Any parties that you would absolutely not vote for 5	discrete	numeric-1.0	3655	34167	-
45	cps19_not_vote_f	Any parties that you would absolutely not vote for 6	discrete	numeric-1.0	6212	31610	-
46	cps19_not_vote_f	Any parties that you would absolutely not vote for 7	discrete	numeric-1.0	258	37564	-
47	cps19_not_vote_f	Any parties that you would absolutely not vote for 8	discrete	numeric-1.0	814	37008	-
48	cps19_not_vote_f	Any parties that you would absolutely not vote for 9	discrete	numeric-1.0	1051	36771	-
49	cps19_not_vote_f	Any parties that you would absolutely not vote for 10	discrete	character-136	258	-	-
50	cps19_fed_gov_sa	Satisfaction with the performance of the federal government	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	How satisfied are you with the performance of the federal government under Justin Trudeau?
51	cps19_party_ratin	How do you feel about the Liberal Party	continuous	numeric-3.0	35176	2646	How do you feel about the federal political parties below? Set the slider to a number from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislike the party and 100 means you really like the party.
52	cps19_party_ratin	How do you feel about the Conservative Party	continuous	numeric-3.0	35022	2800	-
53	cps19_party_ratin	How do you feel about the NDP	continuous	numeric-3.0	33962	3860	-
54	cps19_party_ratin	How do you feel about the Bloc Quebecois	continuous	numeric-3.0	33916	3906	-
55	cps19_party_ratin	How do you feel about the Green Party	continuous	numeric-3.0	33136	4686	-
56	cps19_party_ratin	How do you feel about the People's Party	continuous	numeric-3.0	32725	5097	-
57	cps19_lead_rating	How do you feel about Justin Trudeau	continuous	numeric-3.0	35406	2416	How do you feel about the federal party leaders below? Set the slider to a number from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislike the leader and 100 means you really like the leader.
58	cps19_lead_rating	How do you feel about Andrew Scheer	continuous	numeric-3.0	34054	3768	-
59	cps19_lead_rating	How do you feel about Jagmeet Singh	continuous	numeric-3.0	33310	4512	-
60	cps19_lead_rating	How do you feel about Yves-Francois Blanchet	continuous	numeric-3.0	31898	5924	-
61	cps19_lead_rating	How do you feel about Elizabeth May	continuous	numeric-3.0	32227	5595	-
62	cps19_lead_rating	How do you feel about Maxime Bernier	continuous	numeric-3.0	32418	5404	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
63	cps19_cand_rating	How do you feel about your local riding candidate - Liberal candidate	continuous	numeric-3.0	29331	8491	How do you feel about the candidates in your local riding? Set the slider to a number from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislike the candidate and 100 means you really like the candidate
64	cps19_cand_rating	How do you feel about your local riding candidate - Conservative candid	continuous	numeric-3.0	29106	8716	-
65	cps19_cand_rating	How do you feel about your local riding candidate - NDP candidate	continuous	numeric-3.0	27680	10142	-
66	cps19_cand_rating	How do you feel about your local riding candidate - Bloc Quebecois	continuous	numeric-3.0	6287	31535	-
67	cps19_cand_rating	How do you feel about your local riding candidate - Green candidate	continuous	numeric-3.0	26886	10936	-
68	cps19_cand_rating	How do you feel about your local riding candidate - People's Party	continuous	numeric-3.0	27094	10728	-

## Group Ideology

NOTE: Respondents were randomly assigned to receive either cps19\_lr\_scale\_bef or cps19\_lr\_scale\_aft, as part of an experiment on ordering effects. If the embedded data field lr\_scale\_order was equal to "individual\_first", they received the individual self-placement question cps19\_lr\_scale\_bef, and then the party placement question cps19\_lr\_parties. If the embedded data field lr\_scale\_order was equal to "party\_first", they received the the party placement question cps19\_lr\_parties, and then the individual self-placement question cps19\_lr\_scale\_aft.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cps19_lr_scale_bef	Where would you place yourself on the political spectrum	discrete	numeric-2.0	14503	23319	In politics, people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place yourself on this scale?
2	cps19_lr_parties_	Where would you place the federal political parties - Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	27743	10079	In politics, people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place the federal political parties on a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right?
3	cps19_lr_parties_	Where would you place the federal political parties - Conservative Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	28210	9612	-
4	cps19_lr_parties_	Where would you place the federal political parties - NDP	discrete	numeric-2.0	27351	10471	-
5	cps19_lr_parties_	Where would you place the federal political parties - Bloc Quebecois	discrete	numeric-2.0	25445	12377	-
6	cps19_lr_parties_	Where would you place the federal political parties - Green Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	26639	11183	-
7	cps19_lr_parties_	Where would you place the federal political parties - People's Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	25781	12041	-
8	cps19_lr_scale_aft	Where would you place yourself on the political spectrum	discrete	numeric-2.0	14833	22989	In politics, people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place yourself on this scale?

<b>Group Leader impressions</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cps19_lead_int_1	Which party leader(s) below do you think are intelligent	discrete	numeric-1.0	16503	21319	Which party leader(s) below do you think is/are intelligent?
2	cps19_lead_int_1	Which party leader(s) below do you think are intelligent 2	discrete	numeric-1.0	13434	24388	-
3	cps19_lead_int_1	Which party leader(s) below do you think are intelligent 3	discrete	numeric-1.0	19356	18466	-
4	cps19_lead_int_1	Which party leader(s) below do you think are intelligent 4	discrete	numeric-1.0	6703	31119	-
5	cps19_lead_int_1	Which party leader(s) below do you think are intelligent 5	discrete	numeric-1.0	15388	22434	-
6	cps19_lead_int_1	Which party leader(s) below do you think are intelligent 6	discrete	numeric-1.0	6298	31524	-
7	cps19_lead_int_1	Which party leader(s) below do you think are intelligent 7	discrete	numeric-1.0	2635	35187	-
8	cps19_lead_int_1	Which party leader(s) below do you think are intelligent 8	discrete	numeric-1.0	4116	33706	-
9	cps19_lead_strong	Which party leader(s) do you think provide strong leadership	discrete	numeric-1.0	13310	24512	Which party leader(s) below do you think provide(s) strong leadership?
10	cps19_lead_strong	Which party leader(s) do you think provide strong leadership 2	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	-
11	cps19_lead_strong	Which party leader(s) do you think provide strong leadership 3	discrete	numeric-1.0	11417	26405	-
12	cps19_lead_strong	Which party leader(s) do you think provide strong leadership 4	discrete	numeric-1.0	3718	34104	-
13	cps19_lead_strong	Which party leader(s) do you think provide strong leadership 5	discrete	numeric-1.0	7994	29828	-
14	cps19_lead_strong	Which party leader(s) do you think provide strong leadership 6	discrete	numeric-1.0	3499	34323	-
15	cps19_lead_strong	Which party leader(s) do you think provide strong leadership 7	discrete	numeric-1.0	4871	32951	-
16	cps19_lead_strong	Which party leader(s) do you think provide strong leadership 8	discrete	numeric-1.0	4382	33440	-
17	cps19_lead_trust	Which party leader(s) are trustworthy	discrete	numeric-1.0	8919	28903	Which party leader(s) below do you think is/are trustworthy?
18	cps19_lead_trust	Which party leader(s) are trustworthy 2	discrete	numeric-1.0	7806	30016	-
19	cps19_lead_trust	Which party leader(s) are trustworthy 3	discrete	numeric-1.0	12627	25195	-
20	cps19_lead_trust	Which party leader(s) are trustworthy 4	discrete	numeric-1.0	3345	34477	-
21	cps19_lead_trust	Which party leader(s) are trustworthy 5	discrete	numeric-1.0	11226	26596	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
22	cps19_lead_trust_6	Which party leader(s) are trustworthy 6	discrete	numeric-1.0	2412	35410	-
23	cps19_lead_trust_7	Which party leader(s) are trustworthy 7	discrete	numeric-1.0	7322	30500	-
24	cps19_lead_trust_8	Which party leader(s) are trustworthy 8	discrete	numeric-1.0	4517	33305	-
25	cps19_lead_cares_1	Which party leader(s) do you think care(s) about people like you	discrete	numeric-1.0	10194	27628	Which party leader(s) below do you think really care(s) about people like you?
26	cps19_lead_cares_2	Which party leader(s) do you think care(s) about people like you 2	discrete	numeric-1.0	7931	29891	-
27	cps19_lead_cares_3	Which party leader(s) do you think care(s) about people like you 3	discrete	numeric-1.0	13253	24569	-
28	cps19_lead_cares_4	Which party leader(s) do you think care(s) about people like you 4	discrete	numeric-1.0	2887	34935	-
29	cps19_lead_cares_5	Which party leader(s) do you think care(s) about people like you 5	discrete	numeric-1.0	10414	27408	-
30	cps19_lead_cares_6	Which party leader(s) do you think care(s) about people like you 6	discrete	numeric-1.0	2527	35295	-
31	cps19_lead_cares_7	Which party leader(s) do you think care(s) about people like you 7	discrete	numeric-1.0	7008	30814	-
32	cps19_lead_cares_8	Which party leader(s) do you think care(s) about people like you 8	discrete	numeric-1.0	4088	33734	-

### Group Government spending

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cps19_spend_edu	How much should the federal government spend on education	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	How much should the federal government spend on education?
2	cps19_spend_env	How much should the federal government spend on the environment	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	How much should the federal government spend on the environment?
3	cps19_spend_just	How much should the federal government spend on Field-justice law	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	How much should the federal government spend on justice and law?
4	cps19_spend_defe	How much should the federal government spend on defence	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	How much should the federal government spend on defence?
5	cps19_spend_imn	How much should the federal government spend on immigrants and minorities	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	How much should the federal government spend on immigrants and minorities?

### Group Issue positions

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
---	------	-------	------	--------	-------	---------	----------



#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cps19_pos_fntp	Canada should change its electoral system	discrete	numeric-1.0	12634	25188	Canada should change its electoral system from "First Past the Post" to a "proportional representation" system.
2	cps19_pos_life	Individuals who are terminally ill should be allowed to end their lives	discrete	numeric-1.0	12636	25186	Individuals who are terminally ill should be allowed to end their lives with the assistance of a doctor.
3	cps19_pos_canna	Possession of cannabis should be a criminal offence	discrete	numeric-1.0	12404	25418	Possession of cannabis should be a criminal offence.
4	cps19_pos_carbon	To help reduce greenhouse gas emissions...	discrete	numeric-1.0	12549	25273	To help reduce greenhouse gas emissions, the federal government should continue the carbon tax.
5	cps19_pos_energy	The federal government should do more to help Canada's energy sector	discrete	numeric-1.0	12645	25177	The federal government should do more to help Canada's energy sector, including building oil pipelines.
6	cps19_pos_envreg	Environmental regulation should be stricter	discrete	numeric-1.0	12617	25205	Environmental regulation should be stricter, even if it leads to consumers having to pay higher prices.
7	cps19_pos_jobs	When there is a conflict between protecting the environment and creating jobs...	discrete	numeric-1.0	12819	25003	When there is a conflict between protecting the environment and creating jobs, jobs should come first.
8	cps19_pos_subsid	The federal government should end all corporate and economic subsidies...	discrete	numeric-1.0	12433	25389	The federal government should end all corporate and economic development subsidies.
9	cps19_pos_trade	There should be more free trade with other countries	discrete	numeric-1.0	12729	25093	There should be more free trade with other countries, even if it hurts some industries in Canada.

### Group The economy

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cps19_econ_retro	Over the past year, has Canada's economy:	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	Over the past year, has Canada's economy:
2	cps19_econ_fed	Have the policies of the federal government made Canada's economy...	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	Have the policies of the federal government made Canada's economy...
3	cps19_ownfinanc	Have the policies of the federal government made your financial situation...	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	Have the policies of the federal government made your financial situation...

### Group Party issue handling

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cps19_issue_hand	Which party would do the best at handling each of the following issues 1	discrete	numeric-1.0	18942	18880	Which party would do the best job at handling each of the following issues?
2	cps19_issue_hand	Which party would do the best at handling each of the following issues 2	discrete	numeric-1.0	18942	18880	-
3	cps19_issue_hand	Which party would do the best at handling each of the following issues 3	discrete	numeric-1.0	18942	18880	-
4	cps19_issue_hand	Which party would do the best at handling each of the following issues 4	discrete	numeric-1.0	18942	18880	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5	cps19_issue_hand	Which party would do the best at handling each of the following issues 5	discrete	numeric-1.0	18942	18880	-
6	cps19_issue_hand	Which party would do the best at handling each of the following issues 6	discrete	numeric-1.0	18942	18880	-
7	cps19_issue_hand	Which party would do the best at handling each of the following issues 7	discrete	numeric-1.0	18942	18880	-
8	cps19_issue_hand	Which party would do the best at handling each of the following issues 8	discrete	numeric-1.0	18942	18880	-

### Group Party chances

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cps19_most_seats	[...] how likely is each party to win the most seats [...] - Liberal Party	continuous	numeric-3.0	30479	7343	For each of the parties below, how likely is each party to win the most seats in the House of Commons?
2	cps19_most_seats	[...] how likely is each party to win the most seats [...] - Conservative Party	continuous	numeric-3.0	30014	7808	-
3	cps19_most_seats	[...] how likely is each party to win the most seats [...] - NDP	continuous	numeric-3.0	30206	7616	-
4	cps19_most_seats	[...] how likely is each party to win the most seats [...] - Bloc Quebecois	continuous	numeric-3.0	30273	7549	-
5	cps19_most_seats	[...] how likely is each party to win the most seats [...] - Green Party	continuous	numeric-3.0	30346	7476	-
6	cps19_most_seats	[...] how likely is each party to win the most seats [...] - People's Party	continuous	numeric-3.0	30166	7656	-
7	cps19_win_local	[...] how likely [...] win the seat in your own local riding? - Liberal Party	continuous	numeric-3.0	29532	8290	For each of the parties below, how likely is each party to win the seat in your own local riding?
8	cps19_win_local	[...] how likely [...] win the seat in your own local riding? - Conservative Par	continuous	numeric-3.0	29089	8733	-
9	cps19_win_local	[...] how likely [...] win the seat in your own local riding? - NDP	continuous	numeric-3.0	28414	9408	-
10	cps19_win_local	[...] how likely [...] win the seat in your own local riding? - Bloc Quebecois	continuous	numeric-3.0	5998	31824	-
11	cps19_win_local	[...] how likely [...] win the seat in your own local riding? - Green Party	continuous	numeric-3.0	28170	9652	-
12	cps19_win_local	[...] how likely [...] win the seat in your own local riding? - People's Party	continuous	numeric-3.0	28063	9759	-

### Group Election outcomes

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cps19_outcome_n	Which election outcome would you most prefer? - Selected Choice	discrete	numeric-2.0	18736	19086	Which election outcome would you most prefer?
2	cps19_outcome_n	Which election outcome would you most prefer? - Other government	discrete	character-255	559	-	-
3	cps193	Which election outcome would you most prefer? - Other government	discrete	character-189	1	-	Which election outcome would you least prefer?
4	cps19_outcome_le	Which election outcome would you least prefer? - Selected Choice	discrete	numeric-2.0	18424	19398	-
5	cps19_outcome_le	Which election outcome would you least prefer? - Other government	discrete	character-89	183	0	-

### Group Immigration and refugees

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cps19_imm	Do you think Canada should admit:	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	Do you think Canada should admit:
2	cps19_refugees	Do you think Canada should admit:	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	Do you think Canada should admit:

### Group Efficacy

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cps19_govt_confu	Politics and government seem so complicated at times	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	Sometimes, politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.
2	cps19_govt_say	People like me don't have any say about what the government does	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	People like me don't have any say about what the government does.
3	cps19_pol_eth	It is important that politicians behave ethically in office	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	It is important that politicians behave ethically in office.

### Group Election promises

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cps19_lib_promis	Justin Trudeau kept the election promises he made in 2015	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	Justin Trudeau kept the election promises he made in 2015.

### Group SNC-Lavalin affair

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cps19_snclav	Rate how the government handled the SNC-Lavalin Affair	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	How would you rate how the government handled the SNC-Lavalin Affair? If you haven't heard about this, please indicate don't know.

### Group News consumption

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cps19_news_cons	Time spend watching, reading, and listening...	discrete	numeric-1.0	18711	19111	On average, how much time do you usually spend watching, reading, and listening to news each day?

### Group Political participation

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cps19_volunteer	Times volunteering for a group or organization...	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	In the past 12 months, how many times did you volunteer for a group or organization such as a school, a religious organization, or sports or community associations?

### Group Duty to vote

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cps19_duty_choic	People have different views about voting...	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	For you personally, is voting first and foremost a Duty or a Choice?

### Group Quebec sovereignty

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cps19_quebec_sov	Are you very favourable or opposed to...	discrete	numeric-1.0	8399	29423	Are you very favourable, somewhat favourable, somewhat opposed, or very opposed to Quebec sovereignty, that is Quebec is no longer a part of Canada?

### Group Personal finances

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cps19_own_fin_re	Over the past year, has your financial situation:	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	Over the past year, has your financial situation:

### Group Political knowledge

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cps19_premier_na	How widely known some political figures are:	discrete	character-133	29107	-	Do you happen to recall the name of the Premier of your Province?
2	cps19_finmin_na	Name of the federal Minister of Finance	discrete	character-132	12051	-	And the name of the federal Minister of Finance?
3	cps19_govgen_na	Name of the Governor-General of Canada	discrete	character-180	7449	-	And the name of the Governor-General of Canada?
4	cps19_presrus_na	Name of the President of Russia	discrete	character-77	14425	0	And the name of the President of Russia?

### Group Premier satisfaction

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cps19_prov_gov_	Satisfaction with the performance of your provincial government	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	How satisfied are you with the performance of your provincial government under (premier's name)

<b>Group Party identification and membership</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cps19_fed_id	In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:
2	cps19_fed_id_7_1	In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:	discrete	character-255	265	-	-
3	cps192	In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:	discrete	character-26	2	0	How strongly \${e://Field/pid_en}\${cps19_fed_id/ChoiceTextEntryValue/7} do you feel?
4	cps19_fed_id_str	How strongly do you feel	discrete	numeric-1.0	31555	6267	-
5	cps19_prov_id	In provincial politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:	discrete	numeric-3.0	37771	51	In provincial politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:
6	cps19_prov_id_29	In provincial politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:	discrete	character-101	482	-	-
7	cps19_prov_id_str	How strongly do you feel	discrete	numeric-1.0	30515	7307	How strongly \${cps19_prov_id/ChoiceGroup/SelectedChoicesTextEntry} do you feel?
8	cps19_party_mem	Are you currently... A dues-paying member of a federal party	discrete	numeric-1.0	1615	36207	-
9	cps19_party_mem	Are you currently... A dues-paying member of a provincial party	discrete	numeric-1.0	1945	35877	-
10	cps19_party_mem	Are you currently... A 'registered' supporter of the Liberal party	discrete	numeric-1.0	1551	36271	-
11	cps19_party_mem	Are you currently... None of the above	discrete	numeric-1.0	31074	6748	-
12	cps19_party_mem	Are you currently... Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	discrete	numeric-1.0	2637	35185	-
13	cps19_fed_memb	Which federal party are you a dues-paying member of	discrete	numeric-2.0	1615	36207	Which federal party are you a dues-paying member of?
14	cps19_fed_memb	Which federal party are you a dues-paying member of	discrete	character-24	5	0	-
15	cps19_prov_mem	Which provincial party are you a dues-paying member of	discrete	numeric-3.0	1938	35884	Which provincial party are you a dues-paying member of?
16	cps19_prov_mem	Which provincial party are you a dues-paying member of	discrete	character-28	27	0	-
17	cps19_fed_donate	Have you donated money to any federal political party	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	Since the 2015 federal election, have you donated money to any federal political party or candidate, beyond buying a party membership?

<b>Group Groups thermometers</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cps19_groups_the	How do you feel about the following groups - Racial minorities	continuous	numeric-3.0	31088	6734	How do you feel about the following groups? Set the slider to any number from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislike the group and 100 means you really like the group.
2	cps19_groups_the	How do you feel about the following groups - Immigrants	continuous	numeric-3.0	31574	6248	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3	cps19_groups_the	How do you feel about the following groups - Francophones	continuous	numeric-3.0	30946	6876	-
4	cps19_groups_the	How do you feel about the following groups - Feminists	continuous	numeric-3.0	31639	6183	-
5	cps19_groups_the	How do you feel about the following groups - Politicians in general	continuous	numeric-3.0	31988	5834	-

### Group Past vote

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cps19_spoil	Have you intentionally spoiled your ballot in an election	discrete	numeric-1.0	27446	10376	Have you ever intentionally spoiled your ballot in an election (e.g. intentionally filled out your ballot so your vote would not be counted for any candidate)?
2	cps19_turnout_20	Did you happen to vote in the last Federal election in 2015	discrete	numeric-1.0	36480	1342	Did you happen to vote in the last Federal election in 2015?
3	cps19_vote_2015	Which party did you vote for in the Federal election in 2015	discrete	numeric-1.0	29944	7878	Which party did you vote for in the Federal election in 2015?
4	cps19_vote_2015	Which party did you vote for in the Federal election in 2015 - Another party	discrete	character-91	138	0	-

### Group Debates

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cps19_debate_en	Did you watch or listen to the English-language federal leaders debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	15313	22509	Did you happen to watch or listen to the English-language federal leaders debate on Monday, Oct. 7?
2	cps19_debate_fr	Did you watch or listen to the French-language federal leaders debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	12993	24829	Did you happen to watch or listen to the French-language federal leaders debate on Thursday, Oct. 10?

### Group Additional demographics

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cps19_religion	What is your religion, if you have one	discrete	numeric-2.0	37822	0	Please tell me what is your religion, if you have one?
2	cps19_religion_22	What is your religion, if you have one - Another	discrete	character-255	1226	-	-
3	cps191	What is your religion, if you have one - Another	discrete	character-56	1	0	-
4	cps19_rel_imp	In your life, you would say religion is:	discrete	numeric-1.0	27988	9834	In your life, you would say religion is:
5	cps19_bornin_can	Were you born in Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	Were you born in Canada?
6	cps19_bornin_oth	What country were you born in	discrete	numeric-4.0	6046	31776	What country were you born in?
7	cps19_imm_year	In what year did you come to live in Canada	discrete	numeric-3.0	6046	31776	In what year did you come to live in Canada?
8	cps19_ethnicity_2	Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 1	discrete	numeric-1.0	1738	36084	{e://Field/ethnicity_intro} Please select all that apply.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
9	cps19_ethnicity_2	Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 2	discrete	numeric-1.0	6749	31073	-
10	cps19_ethnicity_2	Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 3	discrete	numeric-1.0	1493	36329	-
11	cps19_ethnicity_2	Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 4	discrete	numeric-1.0	1210	36612	-
12	cps19_ethnicity_2	Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 5	discrete	numeric-1.0	9053	28769	-
13	cps19_ethnicity_2	Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 6	discrete	numeric-1.0	3025	34797	-
14	cps19_ethnicity_2	Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 7	discrete	numeric-1.0	6829	30993	-
15	cps19_ethnicity_3	Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 8	discrete	numeric-1.0	3073	34749	-
16	cps19_ethnicity_3	Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 9	discrete	numeric-1.0	480	37342	-
17	cps19_ethnicity_3	Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 10	discrete	numeric-1.0	882	36940	-
18	cps19_ethnicity_3	Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 11	discrete	numeric-1.0	45	37777	-
19	cps19_ethnicity_3	Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 12	discrete	numeric-1.0	4082	33740	-
20	cps19_ethnicity_3	Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 13	discrete	numeric-1.0	1394	36428	-
21	cps19_ethnicity_3	Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 14	discrete	numeric-1.0	605	37217	-
22	cps19_ethnicity_3	Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 15	discrete	numeric-1.0	1025	36797	-
23	cps19_ethnicity_3	Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 16	discrete	numeric-1.0	2944	34878	-
24	cps19_ethnicity_3	Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 17	discrete	numeric-1.0	4294	33528	-
25	cps19_ethnicity_4	Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 18	discrete	numeric-1.0	1753	36069	-
26	cps19_ethnicity_4	Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 19	discrete	numeric-1.0	5225	32597	-
27	cps19_ethnicity_4	Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 20	discrete	numeric-1.0	552	37270	-
28	cps19_ethnicity_4	Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 21	discrete	numeric-1.0	2783	35039	-
29	cps19_ethnicity_4	Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 22	discrete	character-195	5225	-	-
30	cps19_ethnicity_4	Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 23	discrete	character-84	552	0	-
31	cps19_sexuality	Do you consider yourself to be: - Selected Choice	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	Do you consider yourself to be:
32	cps19_sexuality_4	Do you consider yourself to be: - Other - Text	discrete	character-255	314	-	-
33	cps190	Do you consider yourself to be: - Other - Text	discrete	character-85	1	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
34	cps19_language_6	Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 1	discrete	numeric-1.0	29213	8609	Which language(s) did you learn as a child and still understand today? (Select all that apply)
35	cps19_language_6	Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 2	discrete	numeric-1.0	12007	25815	-
36	cps19_language_7	Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 3	discrete	numeric-1.0	163	37659	-
37	cps19_language_7	Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 4	discrete	numeric-1.0	417	37405	-
38	cps19_language_7	Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 5	discrete	numeric-1.0	1198	36624	-
39	cps19_language_7	Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 6	discrete	numeric-1.0	549	37273	-
40	cps19_language_7	Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 7	discrete	numeric-1.0	833	36989	-
41	cps19_language_7	Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 8	discrete	numeric-1.0	763	37059	-
42	cps19_language_7	Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 9	discrete	numeric-1.0	611	37211	-
43	cps19_language_7	Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 10	discrete	numeric-1.0	79	37743	-
44	cps19_language_7	Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 11	discrete	numeric-1.0	314	37508	-
45	cps19_language_7	Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 12	discrete	numeric-1.0	92	37730	-
46	cps19_language_8	Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 13	discrete	numeric-1.0	276	37546	-
47	cps19_language_8	Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 14	discrete	numeric-1.0	793	37029	-
48	cps19_language_8	Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 15	discrete	numeric-1.0	95	37727	-
49	cps19_language_8	Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 16	discrete	numeric-1.0	105	37717	-
50	cps19_language_8	Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 17	discrete	numeric-1.0	1838	35984	-
51	cps19_language_8	Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 18	discrete	numeric-1.0	261	37561	-



#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
52	cps19_language_7	Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 19	discrete	character-64	163	0	-
53	cps19_language_8	Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 20	discrete	character-164	1838	-	-
54	cps19_employment	Employment status	discrete	numeric-2.0	37822	0	What is your employment status? Are you currently...
55	cps19_employment2	Employment status 2	discrete	character-178	434	-	-
56	cps19_sector	Do you work in the private sector, public sector, or non-profit sector	discrete	numeric-1.0	19539	18283	Do you work in the private sector, public sector, or non-profit sector?
57	cps19_union	Do you belong to a union	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	Do you belong to a union?
58	cps19_children	Do you have any children	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	Do you have any children?
59	cps19_income_nu	Total household income, before taxes, for 2018	continuous	numeric-11.2	25556	12266	What was your total household income, before taxes, for the year 2018? Be sure to include income from all sources, to the nearest thousand dollars.
60	cps19_income_ca	Does your household income fall into one of these brackets	discrete	numeric-1.0	12263	25559	We don't need the exact amount; does your household income fall into one of these broad categories?
61	cps19_property_1	Do you or a member of your household own a residence 1	discrete	numeric-1.0	24799	13023	Do you or a member of your household own a residence (for example, a home or an apartment), own a business (for example, a piece of property, a farm, or livestock), have stocks or bonds, or have savings? Please check all that apply.
62	cps19_property_2	Do you or a member of your household own a residence 2	discrete	numeric-1.0	3370	34452	-
63	cps19_property_3	Do you or a member of your household own a residence 3	discrete	numeric-1.0	10617	27205	-
64	cps19_property_4	Do you or a member of your household own a residence 4	discrete	numeric-1.0	17929	19893	-
65	cps19_property_5	Do you or a member of your household own a residence 5	discrete	numeric-1.0	5988	31834	-
66	cps19_property_6	Do you or a member of your household own a residence 6	discrete	numeric-1.0	1492	36330	-
67	cps19_marital	Are you presently married, living with a partner, divorced...	discrete	numeric-1.0	37822	0	Are you presently married, living with a partner, divorced, separated, widowed, or have you never been married?
68	cps19_household	Counting yourself how many people live in your household	continuous	numeric-7.0	35916	1906	Counting yourself how many people live in your household?

### Group Consent and basic demographics

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_StartDate	Start Date	discrete	character-10	10340	-	-
2	pes19_EndDate	End Date	discrete	character-10	10340	-	-
3	pes19_ResponseId	Response ID	discrete	character-17	10340	0	-
4	pes19_consent	Letter of Information and Consent Project Title	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5	pes19_province	In which province or territory are you currently living	discrete	numeric-2.0	10340	27482	In which province or territory are you currently living?
6	pes19_citizen	Are you a...	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Are you a...

### Group Main issue in campaign

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_mostimpiss	Questions about the recent federal election	discrete	character-244	7558	-	Now we'd like to ask you some questions about the recent federal election. What was the main issue in the campaign?

### Group Voting: turnout

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_turnout201	Vote or not	discrete	numeric-1.0	5207	32615	The federal election was held on Monday, October 21. In any election, some people are not able to vote because they are sick or busy, or for some other reason. Others do not want to vote. Did you vote in the recent federal election?
2	pes19_turnout201	Vote or not 2	discrete	numeric-1.0	5133	32689	Did you vote in the recent federal election?

### Group Voting: resasons and methods

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_notvotereas	Main reason you did not vote	discrete	numeric-1.0	372	37450	What is the main reason you did not vote?
2	pes19_notvotereas	Main reason you did not vote	discrete	character-228	321	-	What is the main reason you did not vote?
3	pes19_howvote	How did you vote	discrete	numeric-1.0	9560	28262	There are many options for people wanting to vote in an election. How did you vote?
4	pes19_howvote_7	How did you vote 2	discrete	character-137	12	-	-
5	pes19_votereason	Did you vote mainly because...	discrete	numeric-1.0	9509	28313	Did you vote mainly because...

### Group Satisfactions iwth democracy

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_dem_sat	Satisfied with...	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	On the whole, are you very satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied, or not satisfied at all with the way democracy works in Canada?

### Group Voting: vote choice

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_votechoice	Which party did you vote for? - Selected Choice	discrete	numeric-1.0	8607	29215	Which party did you vote for?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2	pes19_votechoice	Which party did you vote for? - Another party	discrete	character-117	44	-	-
3	pes19_pr_votecho	If you could have voted in the federal election on Oct. 21...	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	37822	If you could have voted in the federal election on Oct. 21, which party would you have voted for?
4	pes19_pr_votecho	If you could have voted in the federal election on Oct. 21...	discrete	character-1	0	0	-

### Group Attention to campaign

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_campatt	How much attention did you pay to the election campaign	discrete	numeric-1.0	10335	27487	How much attention did you pay to the election campaign?

### Group Party contact

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_contact1	During the campaign, did a party or candidate contact you...	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	During the campaign, did a party or candidate contact you in person or by any other means?
2	pes19_contact2_1	Do you recall which parties or candidates contacted you 1	discrete	numeric-1.0	2582	35240	Do you recall which parties or candidates contacted you? (Please select all that that apply)
3	pes19_contact2_2	Do you recall which parties or candidates contacted you 2	discrete	numeric-1.0	2885	34937	-
4	pes19_contact2_3	Do you recall which parties or candidates contacted you 3	discrete	numeric-1.0	1394	36428	-
5	pes19_contact2_4	Do you recall which parties or candidates contacted you 4	discrete	numeric-1.0	191	37631	-
6	pes19_contact2_5	Do you recall which parties or candidates contacted you 5	discrete	numeric-1.0	637	37185	-
7	pes19_contact2_8	Do you recall which parties or candidates contacted you 6	discrete	numeric-1.0	263	37559	-
8	pes19_contact2_6	Do you recall which parties or candidates contacted you 7	discrete	numeric-1.0	58	37764	-
9	pes19_contact2_7	Do you recall which parties or candidates contacted you 8	discrete	numeric-1.0	159	37663	-
10	pes19_contact2_6	Do you recall which parties or candidates contacted you 9	discrete	character-146	55	-	-

### Group Mandate

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_mandate	Given the results of the October 21 election...	discrete	numeric-1.0	10334	27488	Given the results of the October 21 election, does the winning party have a legitimate mandate to implement its policies?

### Group Government information

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
---	------	-------	------	--------	-------	---------	----------

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_formgovt	Which should be more important to forming the government in Canada:	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Which should be more important to forming the government in Canada:

### Group Party promises

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_keeppromise	Do political parties keep their election promises:	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Do political parties keep their election promises:

### Group Group thermometers

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_groups1_1	How do you feel about the following groups 1	continuous	numeric-3.0	9212	28610	How do you feel about the following groups? Set the slider to any number from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislike the group and 100 means you really like the group.
2	pes19_groups1_2	How do you feel about the following groups 2	continuous	numeric-3.0	9082	28740	-
3	pes19_groups1_3	How do you feel about the following groups 3	continuous	numeric-3.0	9115	28707	-
4	pes19_groups1_4	How do you feel about the following groups 4	continuous	numeric-3.0	9319	28503	-

### Group Party words

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_libwords	Comes to mind immediately when you think about the Liberal Party	discrete	character-244	1701	-	In a few words, what come to mind immediately when you think about the Liberal Party ?
2	pes19_conwords	Comes to mind immediately when you think about the Conservative party	discrete	character-244	1681	-	In a few words, what come to mind immediately when you think about the Conservative Party?
3	pes19_ndpwords	Comes to mind immediately when you think about the New Democratic party	discrete	character-244	1642	-	In a few words, what come to mind immediately when you think about the New Democratic Party?
4	pes19_bqwords	Comes to mind immediately when you think about the Bloc Quebecois	discrete	character-151	234	-	In a few words, what come to mind immediately when you think about the Bloc Québécois?
5	pes19_greenwords	Comes to mind immediately when you think about the Green Party	discrete	character-244	1762	-	In a few words, what come to mind immediately when you think about the Green Party?
6	pes19_peopleswo	Comes to mind immediately when you think about the People's party	discrete	character-244	1461	-	In a few words, what come to mind immediately when you think about the People's Party?

### Group Economy

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_econ_retro	The state of the economy in Canada has...	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Would you say that over the past twelve months, the state of the economy in Canada has gotten...

<b>Group Electoral reform</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_pos_fptp	Canada should change its electoral system	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Canada should change its electoral system from “First Past the Post” to a “proportional representation” system.

<b>Group Paid medical treatment</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_paymed	People who are willing to pay should be allowed to get medical treatment sooner	discrete	numeric-1.0	5212	32610	People who are willing to pay should be allowed to get medical treatment sooner.

<b>Group Senate</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_senate	The Senate should be abolished	discrete	numeric-1.0	5146	32676	The Senate should be abolished.

<b>Group Environment versus jobs</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_envirojob	When there is a conflict between protecting the environment and creating jobs...	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	When there is a conflict between protecting the environment and creating jobs, jobs should come first.

<b>Group Democratic values</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_hatespeech	It should be illegal to say hateful things publicly	discrete	numeric-1.0	5257	32565	It should be illegal to say hateful things publicly about racial, ethnic and religious groups.

<b>Group Efficacy</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_losetouch	Those elected to Parliament soon lose touch with the people	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Those elected to Parliament soon lose touch with the people.
2	pes19_womenhon	Society would be better off if fewer women worked outside the home	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Society would be better off if fewer women worked outside the home.
3	pes19_govtcare	The government does not care much about what people like me think	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	The government does not care much about what people like me think.
4	pes19_complicate	Politics and government seem so complicated that I don't understand	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Sometimes, politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.
5	pes19_famvalues	This country would have many fewer problems if...	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	This country would have many fewer problems if there was more emphasis on traditional family values.

**Group Politicians lies, biligualism, and equal rights**

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_pollie	Politicians are willing to lie to get elected	discrete	numeric-1.0	5152	32670	Politicians are willing to lie to get elected.
2	pes19_bilingualism	We have gone too far in pushing bilingualism in Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	5188	32634	We have gone too far in pushing bilingualism in Canada.
3	pes19_equalrights	We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country	discrete	numeric-1.0	5188	32634	We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country.

**Group Group identity**

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_ethid	My ethnicity and language are important parts of my identity	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	My ethnicity and language are important parts of my identity.
2	pes19_fitin	Too many recent immigrants just don't want to fit in to Canadian society	discrete	numeric-1.0	5203	32619	Too many recent immigrants just don't want to fit in to Canadian society.

**Group Assimilation and immitgrant taking jobs**

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_immigjobs	Immigrants take jobs away from other Canadians	discrete	numeric-1.0	5203	32619	Immigrants take jobs away from other Canadians.

**Group Government effectiveness and programs**

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_govteff	Governments used to be better at getting things done	discrete	numeric-1.0	5168	32654	Governments used to be better at getting things done.
2	pes19_govtprogra	Government can no longer...	discrete	numeric-1.0	5168	32654	Government can no longer\$ {e://Field/ govt_programs_word}the kinds of programs and services people want.

**Group Ties with the US and China and country thermometers**

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_tieus	Do you think Canada's ties with the United States should be...	discrete	numeric-1.0	5156	32666	Do you think Canada's ties with the United States should be...
2	pes19_tiechina	Do you think Canada's ties with China should be...	discrete	numeric-1.0	5161	32661	Do you think Canada's ties with China should be...
3	pes19_country_1	How do you feel about the following places - Canada	continuous	numeric-3.0	5035	32787	How do you feel about the following countries or places? Set the slider to any number from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislikeit and 100 means you really likeit.
4	pes19_country_2	How do you feel about the following places - United States	continuous	numeric-3.0	4813	33009	How do you feel about the following countries or places? Set the slider to any number from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislikeit and 100 means you really like it.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5	pes19_country_3	How do you feel about the following places - Quebec	continuous	numeric-3.0	4813	33009	How do you feel about the following countries or places? Set the slider to any number from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislike it and 100 means you really like it.
6	pes19_country_4	How do you feel about the following places - China	continuous	numeric-3.0	4607	33215	How do you feel about the following countries or places? Set the slider to any number from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislike it and 100 means you really like it.

### Group Comparative Study of the Electoral System (Module 5) questions

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_can_id_1	Important for being truly Canadian 1	discrete	numeric-1.0	5152	32670	Some people say that the following things are important for being truly Canadian. Others say they are not important. How important do you think the following is for being truly Canadian...
2	pes19_can_id_2	Important for being truly Canadian 2	discrete	numeric-1.0	5152	32670	Some people say that the following things are important for being truly Canadian. Others say they are not important. How important do you think the following is for being truly Canadian...
3	pes19_can_id_3	Important for being truly Canadian 3	discrete	numeric-1.0	5152	32670	Some people say that the following things are important for being truly Canadian. Others say they are not important. How important do you think the following is for being truly Canadian...
4	pes19_can_id_4	Important for being truly Canadian 4	discrete	numeric-1.0	5152	32670	Some people say that the following things are important for being truly Canadian. Others say they are not important. How important do you think the following is for being truly Canadian...
5	pes19_ottawa_per	Performance of the government in Ottawa in general	discrete	numeric-1.0	5152	32670	Now thinking about the performance of the government in Ottawa in general, how good or bad a job do you think the government in Ottawa did over the past four years?
6	pes19_party_rep	Do any federal parties in Canada represent your views	discrete	numeric-1.0	5117	32705	Would you say that any of the federal parties in Canada represent your views reasonably well?
7	pes19_party_rep_	Which party represents your views best	discrete	numeric-1.0	2809	35013	Which party represents your views best?
8	pes19_party_rep_	Which party represents your views best - Other	discrete	character-74	12	0	-

### Group Module questions

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_party_rate_	[...] think about each of our political parties [...] - Federal Conservative Part	discrete	numeric-2.0	4998	32824	<a href="#">pes19_party_rate_10 question details</a>

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2	pes19_party_rate_11	[...] think about each of our political parties [...] - Federal Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	5017	32805	<a href="#">pes19_party_rate_11 question details</a>
3	pes19_party_rate_12	[...] think about each of our political parties [...] - Federal NDP	discrete	numeric-2.0	4968	32854	<a href="#">pes19_party_rate_12 question details</a>
4	pes19_party_rate_13	[...] think about each of our political parties [...] - Bloc Quebecois	discrete	numeric-2.0	4564	33258	<a href="#">pes19_party_rate_13 question details</a>
5	pes19_party_rate_14	[...] think about each of our political parties [...] - Federal Green Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	4742	33080	<a href="#">pes19_party_rate_14 question details</a>
6	pes19_lead_rate_7	And what do you think of the party leaders? [...] - Andrew Scheer	discrete	numeric-2.0	4952	32870	<a href="#">pes19_lead_rate_7 question details</a>
7	pes19_lead_rate_8	And what do you think of the party leaders? [...] - Justin Trudeau	discrete	numeric-2.0	5059	32763	<a href="#">pes19_lead_rate_8 question details</a>
8	pes19_lead_rate_9	And what do you think of the party leaders? [...] - Jagmeet Singh	discrete	numeric-2.0	4897	32925	<a href="#">pes19_lead_rate_9 question details</a>
9	pes19_lead_rate_10	And what do you think of the party leaders? [...] - Elizabeth May	discrete	numeric-2.0	4705	33117	<a href="#">pes19_lead_rate_10 question details</a>
10	pes19_lead_rate_11	And what do you think of the party leaders? [...] - Yves-Francois Blanchet	discrete	numeric-2.0	4048	33774	<a href="#">pes19_lead_rate_11 question details</a>
11	pes19_lr_parties_1	[...] where would you place each party? - Federal Conservative Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	4366	33456	In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. On a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right, where would you place each party?
12	pes19_lr_parties_2	[...] where would you place each party? - Federal Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	4315	33507	In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. On a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right, where would you place each party?
13	pes19_lr_parties_3	[...] where would you place each party? - Federal New Democratic Party (NDP)	discrete	numeric-2.0	4317	33505	In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. On a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right, where would you place each party?
14	pes19_lr_parties_4	[...] where would you place each party? - Federal Green Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	4261	33561	In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. On a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right, where would you place each party?
15	pes19_lr_parties_5	[...] where would you place each party? - Bloc Quebecois	discrete	numeric-2.0	4174	33648	In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. On a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right, where would you place each party?
16	pes19_lr_self_1	Are you left or right leaning	discrete	numeric-2.0	4369	33453	In politics, people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place yourself on this scale?
17	pes19_emb_none	Election ballots should have the option 'None of the above'...	discrete	numeric-1.0	5188	32634	Election ballots should have the option 'None of the above' for those who do not support any of the candidates.
18	pes19_emb_id	Canadian electors should be issued a national identification card...	discrete	numeric-1.0	5188	32634	Canadian electors should be issued a national identification card to help them prove their identity and address when voting in federal elections.



#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
19	pes19_emb_vote1	The voting age should be lowered from 18 to 16...	discrete	numeric-1.0	5188	32634	The voting age for voting in a federal election should be lowered from 18 to 16 years old.
20	pes19_lowturnout	Low voter turnout weakens Canadian democracy...	discrete	numeric-1.0	5188	32634	Low voter turnout weakens Canadian democracy.
21	pes19_internetvot	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statement...	discrete	numeric-1.0	5188	32634	Low voter turnout weakens Canadian democracy.
22	pes19_internetvot	If you could vote over the internet, how likely...	discrete	numeric-1.0	5188	32634	If you could vote over the internet, how likely would you be to do so?
23	pes19_conf_inst1	Confidence in the following: - The federal governemnt	discrete	numeric-1.0	5188	32634	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following:
24	pes19_conf_inst1	Confidence in the following: - Your provincial government	discrete	numeric-1.0	5188	32634	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following:
25	pes19_conf_inst1	Confidence in the following: The media	discrete	numeric-1.0	5188	32634	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following:
26	pes19_conf_inst2	Confidence in the following: The courts	discrete	numeric-1.0	1809	36013	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following:
27	pes19_conf_inst2	Confidence in the following: Organized religion	discrete	numeric-1.0	1676	36146	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following:
28	pes19_conf_inst2	Confidence in the following: The armed forces	discrete	numeric-1.0	1718	36104	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following:
29	pes19_conf_inst2	Confidence in the following: Public schools	discrete	numeric-1.0	1750	36072	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following:
30	pes19_conf_inst2	Confidence in the following: Big business	discrete	numeric-1.0	1748	36074	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following:
31	pes19_conf_inst2	Confidence in the following: Labour unions	discrete	numeric-1.0	1662	36160	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following:
32	pes19_conf_inst2	Confidence in the following: The public service	discrete	numeric-1.0	1692	36130	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following:
33	pes19_conf_inst2	Confidence in the following: The police	discrete	numeric-1.0	1766	36056	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following:
34	pes19_conf_inst2	Confidence in the following: Elections Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	1743	36079	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following:
35	pes19_foreign	How [Field- confidence_institutions_word] are you that...	discrete	numeric-1.0	5188	32634	How\$ {e://Field/ confidence_institutions_word} are you that our elections are safe from foreign interference?
36	pes19_emb_satif	How satisfied are you with the way Elections Canada runs federal elections	discrete	numeric-1.0	5188	32634	How satisfied are you with the way Elections Canada runs federal elections?
37	pes19_emb8	Would you say that Elections Canada ran the election...	discrete	numeric-1.0	5188	32634	Thinking about this election, would you say that Elections Canada ran the election...
38	pes19_internetreg	To complete voter registration online...	discrete	numeric-1.0	5188	32634	To complete voter registration online, electors must provide their date of birth, home address, and driver's license number on Elections Canada's website. How comfortable are you with providing this information online to Elections Canada?
39	pes19_internetrisk	Which statement comes closest to your own view...	discrete	numeric-1.0	5188	32634	Which statement comes closest to your own view?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
40	pes19_internetrisk	Which statement comes closest to your own view...	discrete	numeric-1.0	5188	32634	Which statement comes closest to your own view?
41	pes19_emb_regist	Did you receive a voter registration card in the mail...	discrete	numeric-1.0	5177	32645	Did you receive a voter registration card in the mail?
42	pes19_emb_card	Was the information on your voter registration card correct...	discrete	numeric-1.0	4780	33042	Was the information on your voter registration card correct?
43	pes19_emb_regist	Did you register to vote during the election...	discrete	numeric-1.0	320	37502	Did you register to vote during the election?
44	pes19_emb_reg_h	How did you register to vote...	discrete	numeric-1.0	190	37632	How did you register to vote?
45	pes19_emb_regist	How easy or difficult did you find it to register to vote...	discrete	numeric-1.0	190	37632	How easy or difficult did you find it to register to vote?
46	pes19_emb4_1	Which source did you get information about voting 1	discrete	numeric-1.0	1272	36550	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)
47	pes19_emb4_2	Which source did you get information about voting 2	discrete	numeric-1.0	3739	34083	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)
48	pes19_emb4_3	Which source did you get information about voting 3	discrete	numeric-1.0	1306	36516	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)
49	pes19_emb4_4	Which source did you get information about voting 4	discrete	numeric-1.0	2239	35583	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)
50	pes19_emb4_5	Which source did you get information about voting 5	discrete	numeric-1.0	1284	36538	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)
51	pes19_emb4_6	Which source did you get information about voting 6	discrete	numeric-1.0	822	37000	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)
52	pes19_emb4_7	Which source did you get information about voting 7	discrete	numeric-1.0	1025	36797	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)
53	pes19_emb4_8	Which source did you get information about voting 8	discrete	numeric-1.0	598	37224	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)
54	pes19_emb4_9	Which source did you get information about voting 9	discrete	numeric-1.0	132	37690	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)
55	pes19_emb4_10	Which source did you get information about voting 10	discrete	numeric-1.0	106	37716	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)
56	pes19_emb4_11	Which source did you get information about voting 11	discrete	numeric-1.0	37	37785	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)
57	pes19_emb4_12	Which source did you get information about voting 12	discrete	numeric-1.0	314	37508	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)
58	pes19_emb4_13	Which source did you get information about voting 13	discrete	numeric-1.0	873	36949	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)
59	pes19_emb4_14	Which source did you get information about voting 14	discrete	numeric-1.0	46	37776	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
60	pes19_emb4_15	Which source did you get information about voting 15	discrete	numeric-1.0	95	37727	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)
61	pes19_emb4_16	Which source did you get information about voting 16	discrete	numeric-1.0	49	37773	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)
62	pes19_emb4_11_	Which source did you get information about voting 17	discrete	character-18	37	0	-
63	pes19_emb4_14_	Which source did you get information about voting 18	discrete	character-193	46	-	-
64	pes19_emb7_2	How informed did you feel about the following...	discrete	numeric-2.0	5085	32737	Thinking about the recent election, how informed did you feel about the following elements of the voting process?
65	pes19_emb7_3	How informed did you feel about the following...	discrete	numeric-2.0	5093	32729	Thinking about the recent election, how informed did you feel about the following elements of the voting process?
66	pes19_emb7_5	How informed did you feel about the following...	discrete	numeric-2.0	5057	32765	Thinking about the recent election, how informed did you feel about the following elements of the voting process?
67	pes19_emb_info	How easy or difficult was it to find info needed to vote	discrete	numeric-1.0	5188	32634	How easy or difficult was it to find the information you needed to vote?
68	pes19_provvote	If a provincial election were held today in...	discrete	numeric-3.0	5188	32634	If a provincial election were held today in \${pes19_province/ChoiceGroup/SelectedChoices}, which party would you vote for?
69	pes19_provvote_2	If a provincial election were held today in...	discrete	character-43	115	0	-
70	pes19_interest_1	How interested are you in politics generally	discrete	numeric-2.0	9952	27870	How interested are you in politics generally? Use a scale from 0 to 10, where zero means no interest at all, and ten means a great deal of interest.

### Group Interst in politics

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_discfam	How often do you discuss politics with...	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	How often do you discuss politics with family and friends?
2	pes19_discwork	How often do you discuss politics with...	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	How often do you discuss politics with people at your work or school?
3	pes19_disagreed	During the last month, how often have you...	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	During the last month, how often have you discussed politics with someone whodisagreed with your political views?

### Group Social networks

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_socnet1	Thinking about people who are NOT family members...	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Thinking about people who are NOT family members or relatives, how many close friends do you have?
2	pes10_socnet3	How often do you get together in person with your close friends...	discrete	numeric-1.0	9598	28224	In an average month, how often do you get together in person with your close friends?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3	pes19_socnet2_1	How many of your close friends...Belong to a visibly different ethnicity	discrete	numeric-1.0	9598	28224	How many of your close friends ...
4	pes19_socnet2_2	How many of your close friends...Tend to disagree with you about politics	discrete	numeric-1.0	9598	28224	How many of your close friends ...
5	pes19_socnet2_3	How many of your close friends...Have a graduate or professional degree	discrete	numeric-1.0	9598	28224	How many of your close friends ...

## Group Participation

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_partic1_1	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics 1	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics. Please indicate how many times you've done these things over the past 12 months.
2	pes19_partic1_2	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics 2	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics. Please indicate how many times you've done these things over the past 12 months.
3	pes19_partic1_3	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics 3	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics. Please indicate how many times you've done these things over the past 12 months.
4	pes19_partic2_1	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics 4	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics. Please indicate how many times you've done these things over the past 12 months.
5	pes19_partic2_2	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics 5	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics. Please indicate how many times you've done these things over the past 12 months.
6	pes19_partic2_3	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics 6	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics. Please indicate how many times you've done these things over the past 12 months.
7	pes19_partic2_4	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics 7	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics. Please indicate how many times you've done these things over the past 12 months.
8	pes19_partic3_1	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics 8	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics. Please indicate how many times you've done these things over the past 12 months.
9	pes19_partic3_2	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics 9	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics. Please indicate how many times you've done these things over the past 12 months.
10	pes19_partic3_3	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics 10	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics. Please indicate how many times you've done these things over the past 12 months.
11	pes19_partic3_4	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics 11	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics. Please indicate how many times you've done these things over the past 12 months.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
12	pes19_partic3_5	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics 12	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics. Please indicate how many times you've done these things over the past 12 months.
13	pes19_partic3_6	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics 13	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics. Please indicate how many times you've done these things over the past 12 months.

### Group Voluntary associations

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_volassoc_1	Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 1	discrete	numeric-1.0	1254	36568	Please indicate whether you have been active in any of the following voluntary associations during the past five years. Select all that apply.
2	pes19_volassoc_2	Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 2	discrete	numeric-1.0	316	37506	Please indicate whether you have been active in any of the following voluntary associations during the past five years. Select all that apply.
3	pes19_volassoc_3	Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 3	discrete	numeric-1.0	818	37004	Please indicate whether you have been active in any of the following voluntary associations during the past five years. Select all that apply.
4	pes19_volassoc_4	Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 4	discrete	numeric-1.0	258	37564	Please indicate whether you have been active in any of the following voluntary associations during the past five years. Select all that apply.
5	pes19_volassoc_5	Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 5	discrete	numeric-1.0	377	37445	Please indicate whether you have been active in any of the following voluntary associations during the past five years. Select all that apply.
6	pes19_volassoc_6	Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 6	discrete	numeric-1.0	499	37323	Please indicate whether you have been active in any of the following voluntary associations during the past five years. Select all that apply.
7	pes19_volassoc_7	Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 7	discrete	numeric-1.0	124	37698	Please indicate whether you have been active in any of the following voluntary associations during the past five years. Select all that apply.
8	pes19_volassoc_8	Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 8	discrete	numeric-1.0	733	37089	Please indicate whether you have been active in any of the following voluntary associations during the past five years. Select all that apply.
9	pes19_volassoc_9	Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 9	discrete	numeric-1.0	745	37077	Please indicate whether you have been active in any of the following voluntary associations during the past five years. Select all that apply.
10	pes19_volassoc_10	Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 10	discrete	numeric-1.0	317	37505	Please indicate whether you have been active in any of the following voluntary associations during the past five years. Select all that apply.
11	pes19_volassoc_11	Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 11	discrete	numeric-1.0	56	37766	Please indicate whether you have been active in any of the following voluntary associations during the past five years. Select all that apply.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
12	pes19_volassoc_1	Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 12	discrete	numeric-1.0	278	37544	Please indicate whether you have been active in any of the following voluntary associations during the past five years. Select all that apply.
13	pes19_volassoc_1	Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 13	discrete	numeric-1.0	2082	35740	Please indicate whether you have been active in any of the following voluntary associations during the past five years. Select all that apply.
14	pes19_volassoc_1	Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 14	discrete	numeric-1.0	170	37652	Please indicate whether you have been active in any of the following voluntary associations during the past five years. Select all that apply.
15	pes19_volassoc_1	Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 15	discrete	character-108	273	-	-

### Group Party membership in lifetime

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_partymemb	Have you ever been a member of a provincial or federal political party	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Have you ever been a member of a provincial or federal political party?

### Group Importance of voting

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_diff_power	Some people say that it doesn't make any difference who is in power...	discrete	numeric-1.0	9843	27979	Some people say that it doesn't make any difference who is in power. Others say that it makes a big difference who is in power. Using a scale where one means that it doesn't make any difference who is in power and five means that it makes a big difference who is in power, where would you place yourself?
2	pes19_diff_happ	Some people say that no matter who people vote for...	discrete	numeric-1.0	9790	28032	<a href="#">pes19_diff_happens_1 question details</a>

### Group Media statements

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_mediaelite	Mainstream news media is largely controlled by elite interests	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Mainstream news media is largely controlled by elite interests.
2	pes19_medianolie	Journalists who work for mainstream news media never lie	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Journalists who work for mainstream news media never lie.
3	pes19_opinion	Even opinions that are not based on fact should be given consideration in public	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Even opinions that are not based on fact should be given consideration in public life.
4	pes19_lookslike	I feel that I am better represented by someone who looks like me	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	I feel that I am better represented by someone who looks like me.

### Group Representation by women

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_womenpar	The best way to protect women's interests is to...	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	The best way to protect women's interests is to have more women in Parliament.

### Group Corruption

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_corruption	How widespread is corruption among politicians	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	How widespread do you think corruption such as bribe taking is among politicians in Canada?

### Group Populism

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_populism_1	Do you understand the most important political issues of this country	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	You feel you understand the most important political issues of this country.
2	pes19_populism_2	What people call compromise in politics is...	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	What people call compromise in politics is really just selling out one's principles.
3	pes19_populism_3	Most politicians do not care about the people	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Most politicians do not care about the people.
4	pes19_populism_4	Most politicians are trustworthy	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Most politicians are trustworthy.
5	pes19_populism_5	Politicians are the main problem in Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Politicians are the main problem in Canada.
6	pes19_populism_6	Having a strong leader in government is good for Canada...	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Having a strong leader in government is good for Canada even if the leader bends the rules to get things done.
7	pes19_populism_7	The people should make our most important policy decisions	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	The people, and not politicians, should make our most important policy decisions.
8	pes19_populism_8	Most politicians care only about the interests of the rich and powerful	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Most politicians care only about the interests of the rich and powerful.

### Group Nativism

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_nativism1	Minorities should adapt to the customs and traditions of Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Minorities should adapt to the customs and traditions of Canada.
2	pes19_nativism2	The will of the majority should always prevail	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	The will of the majority should always prevail, even over the rights of minorities.
3	pes19_nativism3	Immigrants are generally good for Canada's economy	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Immigrants are generally good for Canada's economy.
4	pes19_nativism4	Canada's culture is generally harmed by immigrants	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Canada's culture is generally harmed by immigrants.
5	pes19_nativism5	Immigrants increase crime rates in Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Immigrants increase crime rates in Canada.

<b>Group Canadian identification</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_canid1	I have a lot in common with other Canadians	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	I have a lot in common with other Canadians
2	pes19_canid2	I often think about the fact that I am Canadian	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	I often think about the fact that I am Canadian.
3	pes19_canid3	In general, I am glad to be Canadian	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	In general, I am glad to be Canadian

<b>Group Social Dominance Orientation</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_sdo1	If certain groups stayed in their place, we would have fewer problems	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	If certain groups stayed in their place, we would have fewer problems.
2	pes19_sdo2	We should do what we can to equalize conditions for different groups	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	We should do what we can to equalize conditions for different groups.
3	pes19_sdo3	Group equality should be our ideal	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Group equality should be our ideal.
4	pes19_sdo4	It's a good thing that certain groups are at the top...	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	It's probably a good thing that certain groups are at the top and other groups are at the bottom.

<b>Group How much should be done for &lt;group&gt;</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_donerm	How much do you think should be done for racial minorities	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	How much do you think should be done for racial minorities?
2	pes19_donew	How much do you think should be done for women	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	How much do you think should be done for women?
3	pes19_donegl	How much do you think should be done for gays and lesbians	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	How much do you think should be done for gays and lesbians?
4	pes19_doneqc	How much do you think should be done for Quebec	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	How much do you think should be done for Quebec?

<b>Group Taxes</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_taxes_1	How much should each of the following pay in taxes? - Small business	discrete	numeric-1.0	5253	32569	How much should each of the following pay in taxes?
2	pes19_taxes_2	How much should each of the following pay in taxes? - Big Corporations	discrete	numeric-1.0	5254	32568	How much should each of the following pay in taxes?
3	pes19_taxes_3	How much should each of the following pay in taxes? - The Middle Class	discrete	numeric-1.0	5255	32567	How much should each of the following pay in taxes?
4	pes19_taxes_4	How much should each of the following pay in taxes? - Wealthy Canadians	discrete	numeric-1.0	5255	32567	How much should each of the following pay in taxes?



#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5	pes19_taxes_5	How much should each of the following pay in taxes? - Poor Canadians	discrete	numeric-1.0	5255	32567	How much should each of the following pay in taxes?

### Group Abortion

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_abort1	Should abortion be banned	discrete	numeric-1.0	844	36978	Should abortion be banned?
2	pes19_abort2	Should abortion be banned 2	discrete	numeric-1.0	847	36975	Should abortion be banned?
3	pes19_abort3	Agree or disagree with the following statement...	discrete	numeric-1.0	907	36915	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statement. There should be more restrictions on abortion.
4	pes19_abort4	Views on abortion...	discrete	numeric-2.0	851	36971	Now we would like to get your views on abortion. Of the following three positions, which is closest to your own opinion?
5	pes19_abort5	And now a question on abortion...	discrete	numeric-1.0	794	37028	And now a question on abortion. How easy or difficult should it be for women to get an abortion?
6	pes19_abort6	Agree or disagree with the following statement	discrete	numeric-1.0	876	36946	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statement. The decision to have an abortion should be the responsibility of the pregnant woman.

### Group International trade

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_trade	International trade creates more jobs in Canada than it destroys	discrete	numeric-1.0	5138	32684	International trade creates more jobs in Canada than it destroys.

### Group Private sector and jobs

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_privjobs	The government should leave it entirely to the private sector to create jobs	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	The government should leave it entirely to the private sector to create jobs.

### Group Role of government in inequality

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_govt_act_in	The government should take measures to reduce differences in income levels	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	The government should take measures to reduce differences in income levels.

### Group Deservingness

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_deserve1	If people really want to work, they can find a job	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	If people really want to work, they can find a job.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2	pes19_deserve2	The welfare state makes people less willing to look after themselves	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	The welfare state makes people less willing to look after themselves.

### Group Get ahead & Gov't should

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_blame	People who don't get ahead should blame themselves, not the system	discrete	numeric-1.0	5055	32767	People who don't get ahead should blame themselves, not the system.
2	pes19_stdofliving	The government should:	discrete	numeric-1.0	5055	32767	The government should:

### Group Trust

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_trust	Generally speaking...	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Generally speaking would you say that most people can be trusted, or that you need to be very careful when dealing with people?

### Group Inequality

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_inequal	Is income inequality a big problem in Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Is income inequality a big problem in Canada?
2	pes19_gap	How much should be done to reduce the gap between the rich and poor	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	How much do you think should be done to reduce the gap between the rich and the poor in Canada?

### Group Stronger Federal or Provincial government

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_provfed	Which do you prefer:	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Which do you prefer:

### Group Sexism

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_hostile1	Most women fail to appreciate all that men do for them	discrete	numeric-1.0	5280	32542	Most women fail to appreciate all that men do for them.
2	pes19_hostile2	Women seek to gain power by getting control over men	discrete	numeric-1.0	5280	32542	Women seek to gain power by getting controlover men.
3	pes19_hostile3	Most women interpret innocent remarks or acts as being sexist	discrete	numeric-1.0	5280	32542	Most women interpret innocent remarks or acts as being sexist.
4	pes19_benevolent	Women should be cherished and protected by men	discrete	numeric-1.0	5280	32542	Women should be cherished and protected by men.
5	pes19_benevolent	Many women have a quality of purity that few men possess	discrete	numeric-1.0	5280	32542	Many women have a quality of purity that few men possess.
6	pes19_benevolent	A good woman ought to be set on a pedestal by her man	discrete	numeric-1.0	5280	32542	A good woman ought to be set on a pedestal by her man.

<b>Group Climate Change</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_pos_carbon	To help reduce greenhouse gas emissions...	discrete	numeric-1.0	1017	36805	-
2	pes19_pos_energy	The federal government should do more to help the energy sector...	discrete	numeric-1.0	1017	36805	-
3	pes19_cc1	Do you think that climate change is happening	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Do you think that climate change is happening?
4	pes19_cc2	What do you think is the main cause of climate change	discrete	numeric-1.0	8571	29251	What do you think is the main cause of climate change?
5	pes19_cc2_3_TEX	What do you think is the main cause of climate change	discrete	character-244	440	-	-

<b>Group Party identification</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_pid_close	Do you usually think of yourself as close to a federal political party	discrete	numeric-1.0	5152	32670	Do you usually think of yourself as close to any particular federal political party?
2	pes19_little_close	Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties	discrete	numeric-1.0	2903	34919	Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others?
3	pes19_pid	Which party do you feel closest to? - Selected Choice	discrete	numeric-1.0	3308	34514	Which party do you feel closest to?
4	pes19_pid_5_TEX	Which party do you feel closest to? - Other	discrete	character-52	15	0	-
5	pes19_pid_strengt	How close do you feel to this party	discrete	numeric-1.0	3265	34557	How close do you feel to this party?
6	pes19_pidtrad	In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a: - Selected Choice	discrete	numeric-1.0	5188	32634	In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:
7	pes19_pidtrad_7_	In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a: - Another party	discrete	character-125	23	-	-
8	pes19_pidtradstro	How strongly do you feel	discrete	numeric-1.0	4487	33335	How strongly do you feel?

<b>Group Affective party identification</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_affective_1	Now thinking about the party you feel closest to 1	discrete	numeric-1.0	7713	30109	Now thinking about the party you feel closest to, how often do you think about it in the following ways?
2	pes19_affective_2	Now thinking about the party you feel closest to 2	discrete	numeric-1.0	7713	30109	Now thinking about the party you feel closest to, how often do you think about it in the following ways?
3	pes19_affective_3	Now thinking about the party you feel closest to 3	discrete	numeric-1.0	7713	30109	Now thinking about the party you feel closest to, how often do you think about it in the following ways?
4	pes19_affective_4	Now thinking about the party you feel closest to 4	discrete	numeric-1.0	7713	30109	Now thinking about the party you feel closest to, how often do you think about it in the following ways?

**Group Quebec questions**

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_langQC	Is the French language threatened in Quebec	discrete	numeric-1.0	1625	36197	In your opinion, is the French language threatened in Quebec?
2	pes19_cultureQC	Is the French culture threatened in Quebec	discrete	numeric-1.0	1625	36197	In your opinion, is the French culture threatened in Quebec?
3	pes19_qclang	If Quebec separates from Canada, do you think that...	discrete	numeric-1.0	1625	36197	If Quebec separates from Canada, do you think that the situation of the French language in Quebec will...
4	pes19_qcsol	If Quebec separates from Canada, do you think your standard of living will...	discrete	numeric-1.0	1625	36197	If Quebec separates from Canada, do you think your standard of living will...

**Group New lifestyles**

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_newerlife	Newer lifestyles are contributing to the breakdown of our society	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Newer lifestyles are contributing to the breakdown of our society.

**Group Life satisfaction**

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_happy	In most ways, my life is close to my ideal	discrete	numeric-1.0	5197	32625	In most ways, my life is close to my ideal.
2	pes19_satisfied	I am satisfied with my life	discrete	numeric-1.0	5197	32625	I am satisfied with my life.

**Group Cognition**

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_cognition	I like to have responsibility for handling situations that...	discrete	numeric-1.0	5124	32698	I like to have responsibility for handling situations that require a lot of thinking.

**Group Gender identity**

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_feminine_1	How much do you identify as feminine	continuous	numeric-3.0	4711	33111	How much do you identify as feminine on a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means not at all feminine and 100 means very feminine.
2	pes19_masculine_1	How much do you identify as masculine	continuous	numeric-3.0	4756	33066	How much do you identify as masculine on a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means not at all masculine and 100 means very masculine.

**Group Big 5**

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_big5_1	We're interested in how you see yourself 1	discrete	numeric-1.0	4881	32941	<a href="#">pes19_big5_1 question details</a>
2	pes19_big5_2	We're interested in how you see yourself 2	discrete	numeric-1.0	4938	32884	<a href="#">pes19_big5_2 question details</a>

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3	pes19_big5_3	We're interested in how you see yourself 3	discrete	numeric-1.0	5057	32765	<a href="#">pes19_big5_3 question details</a>
4	pes19_big5_4	We're interested in how you see yourself 4	discrete	numeric-1.0	4926	32896	<a href="#">pes19_big5_4 question details</a>
5	pes19_big5_5	We're interested in how you see yourself 5	discrete	numeric-1.0	4980	32842	<a href="#">pes19_big5_5 question details</a>
6	pes19_big5_6	We're interested in how you see yourself 6	discrete	numeric-1.0	4934	32888	<a href="#">pes19_big5_6 question details</a>
7	pes19_big5_7	We're interested in how you see yourself 7	discrete	numeric-1.0	4991	32831	<a href="#">pes19_big5_7 question details</a>
8	pes19_big5_8	We're interested in how you see yourself 8	discrete	numeric-1.0	5020	32802	<a href="#">pes19_big5_8 question details</a>
9	pes19_big5_9	We're interested in how you see yourself 9	discrete	numeric-1.0	4947	32875	<a href="#">pes19_big5_9 question details</a>
10	pes19_big5_10	We're interested in how you see yourself 10	discrete	numeric-1.0	4881	32941	<a href="#">pes19_big5_10 question details</a>

### Group Health initial

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_health	How would you describe your health	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Compared to other people your age, how would you describe your health?

### Group Health follow-ups

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_phealth	How would you describe your physical health	discrete	numeric-1.0	5143	32679	Compared to other people your age, how would you describe your physicalhealth?
2	pes19_mhealth	How would you describe your mental health	discrete	numeric-1.0	5143	32679	Compared to other people your age, how would you describe your mentalhealth?

### Group Additional demographics

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_yob	To make sure we are talking to a cross section of Canadians...	discrete	numeric-2.0	10340	27482	To make sure we are talking to a cross section of Canadians, we need to get a little information about your background. First, in what year were you born?
2	pes19_month_of_	In what month were you born	discrete	numeric-2.0	10340	27482	In what month were you born?
3	pes19_service_fre	How often do you attend religious services	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	How often do you attend religious services, excluding special occasions such as weddings and funerals?
4	pes19_parents_bo	Was either or both of your parents born outside of Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Was either or both of your parents born outside of Canada?
5	pes19_rural_urban	Do you live in...	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	Do you live in...
6	pes19_lived	How many years have you lived in your current city or community	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	For how many years have you lived in your current city or community?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
7	pes19_follow_pol	How closely do you follow politics on TV, radio, newspapers, or the Internet	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	And how closely do you follow politics on TV, radio, newspapers, or the Internet?
8	pes19_lang	Which language do you usually speak at home? - Selected Choice	discrete	numeric-2.0	10340	27482	Which language do you usually speak at home?
9	pes19_lang_70_T	Which language do you usually speak at home? - Aboriginal language	discrete	character-12	6	0	-
10	pes19_lang_84_T	Which language do you usually speak at home: other	discrete	character-59	78	0	-
11	pes19_occ_text	What is your main occupation	discrete	character-122	9103	-	What is your main occupation? If you are retired, please enter your former occupation.
12	pes19_occ_cat	Broad categories that best describes your occupation	discrete	numeric-2.0	1235	36587	Which of the following broad categories best describes your occupation? If you do not work currently, select the category of your most recent job.
13	pes19_occ_cat_28	Broad categories that best describes your occupation	discrete	character-61	81	0	-
14	pes19_employment	What is your employment status	discrete	numeric-2.0	491	37331	-
15	pes19_employment	What is your employment status	discrete	character-47	3	0	-

## Group Metadata

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pes19_current_date	current_date	continuous	numeric-8.0	10340	27482	-
2	pes19_current_date	current_date	discrete	character-8	10340	0	-
3	pes19_Q_Language	Q_Language	discrete	character-5	10340	0	-
4	pes19_Q_TotalDuration	Q_TotalDuration	continuous	numeric-6.0	10340	27482	-
5	notvote_split	notvote_split	discrete	character-11	10340	0	-
6	splitsample	splitsample	discrete	character-7	10340	0	-
7	confidence_institutions_word	confidence_institutions_word	discrete	character-9	10340	0	-
8	confidence_institutions_word	confidence_institutions_word	discrete	character-8	10340	0	-
9	govt_programs_word	govt_programs_word	discrete	character-7	10340	0	-
10	govt_programs_word_fr	govt_programs_word_fr	discrete	character-21	10340	0	-
11	split_taxes	split_taxes	discrete	character-1	10340	0	-
12	split_senate	split_senate	discrete	character-1	10340	0	-
13	split_trade	split_trade	discrete	character-1	10340	0	-
14	split_lifesat	split_lifesat	discrete	character-1	10340	0	-
15	split_responsibility	split_responsibility	discrete	character-1	10340	0	-
16	split_sexism	split_sexism	discrete	character-1	10340	0	-
17	split_abortion	split_abortion	discrete	character-1	10340	0	-
18	split_getahead	split_getahead	discrete	character-1	10340	0	-
19	split_att_div	split_att_div	discrete	character-1	10340	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
20	split_govt_eff	split_govt_eff	discrete	character-1	10340	0	-
21	split_medical	split_medical	discrete	character-1	10340	0	-
22	split_ties	split_ties	discrete	character-1	10251	0	-
23	split_health_follow	split_health_followups	discrete	character-1	10340	0	-
24	split_gender_id	split_gender_id	discrete	character-1	10340	0	-
25	split_big5	split_big5	discrete	character-1	10251	0	-
26	split_hatespeech	split_hatespeech	discrete	character-1	10251	0	-
27	split_vol_assoc	split_vol_assoc	discrete	character-1	10251	0	-
28	pes19_data_quality	Data quality category the respondent is in	discrete	numeric-2.0	10340	27482	-
29	pes19_panel	Panel respondent was drawn from	discrete	character-10	10340	0	-
30	pes19_age	Age in years based on pes19_yob	continuous	numeric-2.0	10340	27482	-
31	pes19_duplicates	Indicator for respondents that took the PES multiple times	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	-
32	pes19_inattentive	Indicator that respondent took more than 60 minutes to complete the PES	discrete	numeric-1.0	10340	27482	-

# Variables Description

**Dataset contains 634 variable(s)**



# File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

# cps19\_StartDate: Start Date

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=37822 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2019-09-13		1125	3.0%
2019-09-14		1067	2.8%
2019-09-15		678	1.8%
2019-09-16		732	1.9%
2019-09-17		1060	2.8%
2019-09-18		765	2.0%
2019-09-19		1188	3.1%
2019-09-20		753	2.0%
2019-09-21		308	0.8%
2019-09-22		475	1.3%
2019-09-23		998	2.6%
2019-09-24		1039	2.7%
2019-09-25		1003	2.7%
2019-09-26		965	2.6%
2019-09-27		937	2.5%
2019-09-28		1011	2.7%
2019-09-29		966	2.6%
2019-09-30		840	2.2%
2019-10-01		939	2.5%
2019-10-02		1002	2.6%
2019-10-03		797	2.1%
2019-10-04		971	2.6%
2019-10-05		943	2.5%
2019-10-06		880	2.3%
2019-10-07		1067	2.8%
2019-10-08		670	1.8%
2019-10-09		788	2.1%
2019-10-10		862	2.3%
2019-10-11		895	2.4%
2019-10-12		783	2.1%
2019-10-13		1091	2.9%
2019-10-14		1027	2.7%
2019-10-15		737	1.9%
2019-10-16		1467	3.9%
2019-10-17		1327	3.5%
2019-10-18		1815	4.8%
2019-10-19		2080	5.5%
2019-10-20		1595	4.2%
2019-10-21		176	0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

# cps19\_EndDate: End Date

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=37822 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2019-09-13		1124	3.0%
2019-09-14		1066	2.8%
2019-09-15		675	1.8%
2019-09-16		726	1.9%
2019-09-17		1065	2.8%
2019-09-18		764	2.0%
2019-09-19		1186	3.1%
2019-09-20		753	2.0%
2019-09-21		311	0.8%
2019-09-22		475	1.3%
2019-09-23		995	2.6%
2019-09-24		1041	2.8%
2019-09-25		1002	2.6%
2019-09-26		966	2.6%
2019-09-27		930	2.5%
2019-09-28		1015	2.7%
2019-09-29		973	2.6%
2019-09-30		838	2.2%
2019-10-01		941	2.5%
2019-10-02		1001	2.6%
2019-10-03		792	2.1%
2019-10-04		969	2.6%
2019-10-05		949	2.5%
2019-10-06		876	2.3%
2019-10-07		1066	2.8%
2019-10-08		669	1.8%
2019-10-09		788	2.1%
2019-10-10		859	2.3%
2019-10-11		898	2.4%
2019-10-12		782	2.1%
2019-10-13		1082	2.9%
2019-10-14		1031	2.7%
2019-10-15		748	2.0%
2019-10-16		1463	3.9%
2019-10-17		1330	3.5%
2019-10-18		1797	4.8%
2019-10-19		2089	5.5%
2019-10-20		1592	4.2%
2019-10-21		190	0.5%
2019-10-23		1	0.0%
2019-10-24		2	0.0%

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

### # cps19\_EndDate: End Date

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2019-10-25		1	0.0%
2019-10-26		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps19\_ResponseId: Response ID

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

### # cps19\_consent: Letter of Information and Consent Project Title

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I consent to participate in this study. I have read all of t	37822	100.0%
2	I do not consent to participate.	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps19\_citizenship: Are you a...

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 4-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Notes	Respondents who responded with an "Other" citizenship were screened out of the survey.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Canadian citizen	36480	96.5%
5	Permanent resident	1342	3.5%
6	Other	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps19\_yob: Cross section of Canadians estimate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-91] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	To make sure we are talking to a cross section of Canadians, we need to get a little information about your background.
Literal question	First, in what year were you born?
Notes	Respondents who were born in 2002 or later were screened out of the survey. Respondents who were born in 2001 received an additional question to determine whether they were 18 years of age or not.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1920	63	0.2%
2	1921	10	0.0%
3	1922	14	0.0%
4	1923	7	0.0%
5	1924	5	0.0%
6	1925	3	0.0%
7	1926	5	0.0%
8	1927	2	0.0%
9	1928	14	0.0%
10	1929	10	0.0%

# File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

# cps19\_yob: Cross section of Canadians estimate

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	1930	15	0.0%
12	1931	18	0.0%
13	1932	18	0.0%
14	1933	27	0.1%
15	1934	21	0.1%
16	1935	42	0.1%
17	1936	52	0.1%
18	1937	60	0.2%
19	1938	99	0.3%
20	1939	107	0.3%
21	1940	156	0.4%
22	1941	167	0.4%
23	1942	234	0.6%
24	1943	253	0.7%
25	1944	282	0.7%
26	1945	334	0.9%
27	1946	460	1.2%
28	1947	511	1.4%
29	1948	564	1.5%
30	1949	585	1.5%
31	1950	653	1.7%
32	1951	689	1.8%
33	1952	733	1.9%
34	1953	782	2.1%
35	1954	795	2.1%
36	1955	799	2.1%
37	1956	763	2.0%
38	1957	791	2.1%
39	1958	757	2.0%
40	1959	763	2.0%
41	1960	794	2.1%
42	1961	763	2.0%
43	1962	719	1.9%
44	1963	729	1.9%
45	1964	724	1.9%
46	1965	706	1.9%
47	1966	671	1.8%
48	1967	649	1.7%
49	1968	603	1.6%
50	1969	620	1.6%
51	1970	703	1.9%
52	1971	634	1.7%
53	1972	583	1.5%

# File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

## # cps19\_yob: Cross section of Canadians estimate

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
54	1973	520	1.4%
55	1974	634	1.7%
56	1975	653	1.7%
57	1976	598	1.6%
58	1977	632	1.7%
59	1978	644	1.7%
60	1979	634	1.7%
61	1980	789	2.1%
62	1981	665	1.8%
63	1982	689	1.8%
64	1983	672	1.8%
65	1984	707	1.9%
66	1985	814	2.2%
67	1986	774	2.0%
68	1987	674	1.8%
69	1988	694	1.8%
70	1989	677	1.8%
71	1990	812	2.1%
72	1991	552	1.5%
73	1992	547	1.4%
74	1993	492	1.3%
75	1994	530	1.4%
76	1995	433	1.1%
77	1996	406	1.1%
78	1997	401	1.1%
79	1998	397	1.0%
80	1999	411	1.1%
81	2000	517	1.4%
82	2001	293	0.8%
83	2002	0	
84	2003	0	
85	2004	0	
86	2005	0	
87	2006	0	
88	2007	0	
89	2008	0	
90	2009	0	
91	2010	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*


## # cps19\_yob\_2001\_age: How old are you?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=293 /-] [Invalid=37529 /-]
Literal question	How old are you?

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

### # cps19\_yob\_2001\_age: How old are you?

**Notes** Respondents who were 17 years old were screened out of the survey.




Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	17	0	
2	18	293	 100.0%
Sysmiss		37529	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cps19\_gender: Are you...

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A man	15551	 41.1%
2	A woman	21980	 58.1%
3	Other (e.g. Trans, non-binary, two-spirit, gender-queer)	291	 0.8%














*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cps19\_province: Province or territory currently living in

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 14-26] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

**Literal question** Which province or territory are you currently living in?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
14	Alberta	4481	 11.8%
15	British Columbia	4354	 11.5%
16	Manitoba	1691	 4.5%
17	New Brunswick	858	 2.3%
18	Newfoundland and Labrador	633	 1.7%
19	Northwest Territories	25	 0.1%
20	Nova Scotia	1001	 2.6%
21	Nunavut	26	 0.1%
22	Ontario	14808	 39.2%
23	Prince Edward Island	168	 0.4%
24	Quebec	8399	 22.2%
25	Saskatchewan	1340	 3.5%
26	Yukon	38	 0.1%





*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cps19\_education: Highest level of education completed

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

**Literal question** What is the highest level of education that you have completed?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No schooling	48	 0.1%
2	Some elementary school	87	 0.2%
3	Completed elementary school	288	 0.8%
4	Some secondary/ high school	1649	 4.4%

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

### # cps19\_education: Highest level of education completed

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Completed secondary/ high school	5865	15.5%
6	Some technical, community college, CEGEP, College Classique	4394	11.6%
7	Completed technical, community college, CEGEP, College Class	7702	20.4%
8	Some university	3716	9.8%
9	Bachelor's degree	9192	24.3%
10	Master's degree	3207	8.5%
11	Professional degree or doctorate	1575	4.2%
12	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	99	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps19\_demsat: Satisfaction with the way democracy works in Canada

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Canada?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	5780	15.3%
2	Fairly satisfied	21145	55.9%
3	Not very satisfied	8027	21.2%
4	Not at all satisfied	1935	5.1%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	935	2.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps19\_imp\_iss: Most important issue to you in this federal election

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30399 /-]
Literal question	What is the most important issue to you personally in this federal election?

### # cps199: Most important issue to you in this federal election

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=62 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
, ils faut qu'ils se plient à nos coutumes et non le contraire !! Donner plus d'argent pour nos hôpitaux et aider plus les familles ! Que Justin Trudeau parte de som poste au plus vite!		1	1.6%
Am not a sour sprout but I feel like ending my efforts, but don't.		1	1.6%

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

### # cps199: Most important issue to you in this federal election

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
CE CONSEQUENCES THE PARTIES WOULD RATHER PUT EACH OTHER DOWN THAN WORK TOGETHER		1	1.6%
OME TO YOU AND HUMANITY A HOLE		1	1.6%
al recession.		1	1.6%
angst and division.		1	1.6%
ant stop there.or else we will be back.at.square one but now with folks on poverty line will be even worse with not making enough for bills let alone eat		1	1.6%
at are insulting to a persons intelligence		1	1.6%
been weak since 2015, many people lose their jobs because of its negative impact. The other thing is the crime rate, which is currently elevating. The RCMP and Police Department has to be more strict and do more rounds in their areas to implement public s		1	1.6%
beral party supporters has to stop and a means found to recover significant amount of patronage gifts must be foind		1	1.6%
but I don't feel like getting into it as I		1	1.6%



## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

### # cps199: Most important issue to you in this federal election

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
feel we have been screwed as Canadian citizens			
canada, help our own poor people first, AND no more immigrants		1	1.6%
creases.		1	1.6%
ded addressee, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action related to it is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify our office immediately and delete this message		1	1.6%
e care of that they will still need our tax money.		1	1.6%
e not permitted to release an employee when they turn 65 as a result of discrimination factors. Why is it that this double standard prevails. Why has no political leader promised to change this Canada wide? Many injured workers are living at poverty level		1	1.6%
e not recyclable.		1	1.6%
e to do.		1	1.6%
els out any more votes the rest of the Countries contributes. There for Western Canada has no say Federaly.		1	1.6%

# File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

## # cps199: Most important issue to you in this federal election

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
ement pour un service d'aide à domicile ils payent \$ 3,80 et les autres en paient \$ 18.00 alors pour ce seule service on en paie \$ 14,20 par heure de plus. Ce n'est qu'un exemple tant d'autre. Et les conditions de vie pour ces personnes âgées.		1	1.6%
en there worth. Property tax		1	1.6%
erous criminals and repeat offenders. I am sick of living in fear.		1	1.6%
he government.		1	1.6%
health services with shorter wait lists.		1	1.6%
here is very little subsidized housing available for low income seniors and people with disabilities.		1	1.6%
here with so much help from the government, and when i try to get any help, i get nothing		1	1.6%
homelis for 3 years and i am only abell 2 spend 500 a month 4 rent and the rent now in picton becuse of the air b&bs u r lucky if u can get a place u can live in 4 more then 6months b cus the air b&bs own all most all of it and we r in a housing crisis b		1	1.6%
ices will climb higher than it has been so I		1	1.6%

# File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

## # cps199: Most important issue to you in this federal election

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
do feel that it is important to put money back into Canadian pockets.			
into place		1	1.6%
money to the Aboriginals who just waste it, plus Canada should have appropriate infrastructure set up such as water treatment plants,etc.		1	1.6%
n we should be embracing gender non-conformity, not that what you like makes you the other sex.		1	1.6%
nding is greatly reduced.		1	1.6%
nion pension nor benefits, and as working poor did not have the availability to save for retirement.		1	1.6%
nth. It is frightening.		1	1.6%
o issues for now everything runing smooth ...		1	1.6%
on this task.		1	1.6%
ople that elected them.		1	1.6%
or us.		1	1.6%
our money away.		1	1.6%
publicly or shared with any third party.		1	1.6%
r 75 it should not be it should be 65 and up. Secondly climate change their needs to be new changes to Environmental and product Packaging so that the world doesn't suffer		1	1.6%

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

### # cps199: Most important issue to you in this federal election

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
from climate change.			
rst Nations).		1	1.6%
s dans la rue, des jeunes adultes de + en + dépressifs etc		1	1.6%
s of it, and its complete bull**it. There needs to be some authority above government that makes sure they are doing things legally and tax payer money is all accounted for and not being spent or invested in garbage that only benefits a few people and not		1	1.6%
s ont quitté. Eille chose retourne chez vous si t pas content. Pis pendant ce temps nous on se fait enlever nos propres affaires, nos croyances et tout pour pas leur nuire. Eille mangez dla merde		1	1.6%
s out of business, foreign companies bringing in people and using them as slave labour		1	1.6%
s self care, finance management, not getting lured (into purchases, by predators, etc.)		1	1.6%
s! This is a dangerous unsustainable way to run the country!		1	1.6%
sacs de plastique pour les legumes qui sont toujours disponibles		1	1.6%

# File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

## # cps199: Most important issue to you in this federal election

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
a exces dans les epiceries). S'assurer que tout est recycle adequatement ce qui n'est pas le cas au QC			
sgender male and have affiliations to the community.. I Believe it's important for ALL people to feel safe and included		1	1.6%
stand up for Canada's interests, not a leader with a drama teacher background.		1	1.6%
stop to this & make housing affordable to all!!!		1	1.6%
t because of your credit score. That is ridiculous years ago it was easy to get a place without it and now that's why a lot of people don't have a place to live or can't get it because of the score so stupid		1	1.6%
the fuck out!		1	1.6%
the marijuana under control of criminals!! I am angry & in pain.,n		1	1.6%
u port de tout ce qui rabaisse la femme pour montrer la sup�riorit� de l'homme...les couples seuls � faible revenu sont toujours oubli�s et laiss� pour compte ; 100\$ de diff�rence entre une personne sur l'aide sociale		1	1.6%

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

### # cps199: Most important issue to you in this federal election

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
et un revenu de 40h à salaire minimum			
usually covers dental for low income people or make it easier for someone to clear there history if there criminal history is from when they were super young and have made poor choices ... make it so an employer cant research history unless its working with		1	1.6%
vernments decision to treat ECE staff differently .leaving centres and Eces to join pre primary or risk losing their position because the centre cant operate without 2/3 trained staff and children of course		1	1.6%
ves and getting jobs other than looking for the next crime they can do to get their next hit		1	1.6%
ways in debt. it was no fun. I stayed out of debt all my life. If I could not pay cash, I did without.		1	1.6%
wfoundland and Labrador are in a different stratosphere than those for Alberta and New Brunswick.		1	1.6%
worked to put this country where it is and this is not what we expected when we paid into our CPP		1	1.6%

# File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

## # cps199: Most important issue to you in this federal election

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

## # cps19a: Most important issue to you in this federal election

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=7 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
a at risk population		1	14.3%
afety.		1	14.3%
as a result of WCB benefits being terminated at the age of 65. If legislation prevents an employer from terminating a worker when he or she turns 65, then there ought to be legislation preventing WCB from doing the same. This needs to be addressed.		1	14.3%
cus of it and thy all want 1300 and up 4 a room or a bachlers pad i have seen a cupple 1 bedrooms but what family can aford that and if u have kids were do thy go its not right and this needs 2 b fixed by making a cap lock on the rent price and if thy hav		1	14.3%
et un conjoint ou conjointe sans emploi ne donne pas envie de continuer surtout si on a des médicaments à payer... les montants pour enfants donnent l'idée aux jeunes qu'il faut faire des enfants pour avoir plus d'argent..		1	14.3%
from your computer.		1	14.3%

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

### # cps19a: Most important issue to you in this federal election

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
the population of Canada. Government is supposed to act on our behalf, not speak for us and tell us what they are going to do, and go against public opinion.		1	14.3%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cps19b: Most important issue to you in this federal election

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
e more then 1 air b&b here that thy have no chiose but 2 make the rest back in 2 housing 4 the ppl and familys that live here o and our censer ships r low here b cus if u r homelis u cant do a censer ship so it looks like u do not exsist in this area so no		1	100.0%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cps19c: Most important issue to you in this federal election

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1 knows how menny ppl live here that r homelis and if no 1 knows we cant b helped so i think that if u r homlis u should b abell 2 pick up a sencer ship at the ont works or odsp or alltrnatives 4 woman or h&r block or your town hall and some were on the		1	100.0%



# File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

## # cps19c: Most important issue to you in this federal election

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

## # cps19d: Most important issue to you in this federal election

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
sencer ship it should ask u if u r homelis and 4 how long so some 1 can fix this thank u		1	100.0%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

## # cps19\_imp\_iss\_party: Party best addressing this issue

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=30400 /-] [Invalid=7422 /-]

**Literal question** Which party is best at addressing this issue?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal Party	6889	22.7%
2	Conservative Party	8019	26.4%
3	ndp	4078	13.4%
4	Bloc Qu	1005	3.3%
5	Green Party	3911	12.9%
6	People's Party	652	2.1%
7	Another party (please specify)	583	1.9%
8	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	5263	17.3%
Sysmiss		7422	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

## # cps19\_imp\_iss\_party\_7\_TEXT: Party best addressing this issue - Another party (please specify)

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=583 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
A combintation of Liberal and conservative		1	0.2%
ABC		1	0.2%
AUCUN		7	1.2%
AUCUN NE PENSE QU.A NOUS SURTAXÉE AVEC LA TAXE CARBONE QU.ILS IMPOSE AUX COMPAGNIE ET QUI NOUS LA REFILENT		1	0.2%

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

# cps19\_imp\_iss\_party\_7\_TEXT: Party best addressing this issue - Another party (please specify)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Alberta Independence Party		1	0.2%
Alberta's independant party		1	0.2%
All of them		1	0.2%
All party leadership is rather shallow		1	0.2%
Animal Protection Party of Canada		1	0.2%
Animal protection party		1	0.2%
Anything but Liberal.		1	0.2%
At this stage of politics it so hard to say, they make promises they never intended to keep		1	0.2%
Aucun		35	6.0%
Aucun !		1	0.2%
Aucun ne l'aborde		1	0.2%
Aucun ne prend au sérieux le sujet		1	0.2%
Aucun parti		2	0.3%
Aucun parti combat le popularisme		1	0.2%
Aucune		1	0.2%
Aucune de ces reponses		1	0.2%
Bernie Sanders campaign		1	0.2%
Bloc Alberta		1	0.2%
CAQ		3	0.5%
CHP		3	0.5%
CHRISTIAN HERITAGE PARTY		1	0.2%
Canadian Nationalist Party		1	0.2%
Christian Heritage		5	0.9%
Christian Heritage Party		7	1.2%

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

# cps19\_imp\_iss\_party\_7\_TEXT: Party best addressing this issue - Another party (please specify)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Christian coalition party		1	0.2%
Christian heritage party		3	0.5%
Communist		1	0.2%
Conservative and People's party		1	0.2%
Cpp		1	0.2%
Don't know that any party has the public's best interest at heart.		1	0.2%
Don't remember Andrew Scheer		1	0.2%
Don't think any are		1	0.2%
God		1	0.2%
Gods kingdom		1	0.2%
Green		1	0.2%
Guvivu		1	0.2%
Hard to say as none of the parties answered the questions posed to them in the English debate		1	0.2%
I don't feel that any of these parties are helping those with restricted incomes 65 and up I know the Liberals had said that they would increase monthly payments over the age of 75 and that's just not fair some people don't even live till they're 75 so th		1	0.2%
I don't think any of them are truthful about what they will do.		1	0.2%
I think both NDP and Green		1	0.2%
INDEPENDENT		1	0.2%

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

# cps19\_imp\_iss\_party\_7\_TEXT: Party best addressing this issue - Another party (please specify)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Il faudra faire la preuve un coup au pouvoir		1	0.2%
Indecise pour le moment peut-être partie vert		1	0.2%
Independant		1	0.2%
Independent		3	0.5%
Independents		1	0.2%
Indécis		1	0.2%
It seems like none of them.		1	0.2%
It's not so much another party, as finding a way to maintain our democratic principles while working away from traditional political "parties" to a more honest approach, whereby individuals who have something to offer can run for office without party aff		1	0.2%
J'attends pour voir les combats politique		1	0.2%
Just the usual bottom feeders		1	0.2%
La vérité est que aucun ne pourrons faire ce qu'ils dissent, en fait, c'est surtout de la fausse publicité pour être élu		1	0.2%
Liberal, Green & NDP are all best at barring 'c'onservativeness		1	0.2%
Libertarian		4	0.7%
Like I said, give em all a chance		1	0.2%
Lol none		1	0.2%
Ma fesse gauche		1	0.2%
Marxist-Leninist		1	0.2%
Me		2	0.3%

# File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

# cps19\_imp\_iss\_party\_7\_TEXT: Party best addressing this issue - Another party (please specify)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
My Independent party		1	0.2%
NA		1	0.2%
NDP		2	0.3%
NDP / Green coalition		1	0.2%
NO ONE		1	0.2%
NO ONE IS ACCOUNTABLE		1	0.2%
NOBODY		1	0.2%
NONE		19	3.3%
NONE OF THE ABOVE		1	0.2%
NONE OF THEM		1	0.2%
NONE RIGHT NOW, THEY ARE ALL FIGHTING EACH OTHER		1	0.2%
NONE of the Parties are addressing this issue. It is unbelievable.		1	0.2%
NONE of them		1	0.2%
NSDAP/ HITLER PARTY		1	0.2%
National Citizens Alliance		1	0.2%
Neither		3	0.5%
Neither party		1	0.2%
Neither/mixed		1	0.2%
No One		1	0.2%
No Party		1	0.2%
No Party in Particular		1	0.2%
No body. They all lie.		1	0.2%
No of the current party		1	0.2%
No one		5	0.9%
No one is		1	0.2%
No one knows how to address it		1	0.2%
No one really - they don't listen to the people or opinions other than those they		1	0.2%

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

# cps19\_imp\_iss\_party\_7\_TEXT: Party best addressing this issue - Another party (please specify)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
feel will get them elected or reelected			
No one that I know of!		1	0.2%
No one they all lie		1	0.2%
No one, that's why it is most important		1	0.2%
No party		6	1.0%
No party addresses this issue		1	0.2%
No party addressing issue.		1	0.2%
No party is addressing this issue.		1	0.2%
No party is addressing this satisfactorily		1	0.2%
No party is particularly good at addressing these issues		1	0.2%
No party is really addressing this issue wholehearted		1	0.2%
No party, that I can tell.		1	0.2%
Nobody		3	0.5%
Nobody seems to care homeless are poor therfore they don't have a voice		1	0.2%
Non of the above, They are all overspending		1	0.2%
Non of the above		1	0.2%
Non of the parties		1	0.2%
None		104	17.8%
None at present		1	0.2%
None of them		1	0.2%
None - nobody is addressing this issue		1	0.2%
None - they are all capable of screwing up the country with		1	0.2%

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

# cps19\_imp\_iss\_party\_7\_TEXT: Party best addressing this issue - Another party (please specify)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
equal levels of incompetence			
None I am afraid		1	0.2%
None Of The Above		1	0.2%
None are addressing Bill 21		1	0.2%
None are addressing this issue		1	0.2%
None are doing great		1	0.2%
None at this moment		1	0.2%
None is addressing it		1	0.2%
None of the Above		4	0.7%
None of the above		9	1.5%
None of the above parties		1	0.2%
None of the above parties have adequately addressed the issue		1	0.2%
None of the above t present.		1	0.2%
None of the above!		1	0.2%
None of the above.		1	0.2%
None of the parties		2	0.3%
None of the parties are addressing this issue.		1	0.2%
None of the parties are doing enough to lower taxes		1	0.2%
None of them		30	5.1%
None of them are talking seniors		1	0.2%
None of them have a plan!		1	0.2%
None of them have brought it up they are all ignoring it		1	0.2%

# File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

# cps19\_imp\_iss\_party\_7\_TEXT: Party best addressing this issue - Another party (please specify)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
None of them they all lie		1	0.2%
None of them to be honest		1	0.2%
None of them.		1	0.2%
None of them. They only think of themselves and how much of our tax money they will get at the end of the 4 years		1	0.2%
None of your business		1	0.2%
None really. Or bits and pieces from more than one		1	0.2%
None they are all worried about climate change		1	0.2%
None!		1	0.2%
None, they all suck.		1	0.2%
None, they're all as equally corrupt		1	0.2%
None. All options are terrible.		1	0.2%
None. No party has addressed this issue.		1	0.2%
None. They are all trash		1	0.2%
Nonexistent		1	0.2%
Nono		1	0.2%
Nonr		1	0.2%
Not		1	0.2%
Not liberal!		1	0.2%
Nsp		1	0.2%
POPULAIRE		1	0.2%
PPC		3	0.5%
Pas encore décider		1	0.2%
Patriote		1	0.2%
People's Party of Canada		1	0.2%
Peoples party		1	0.2%
Personne		1	0.2%



# File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

# cps19\_imp\_iss\_party\_7\_TEXT: Party best addressing this issue - Another party (please specify)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Personne en parle beaucoup		1	0.2%
Plusieurs partis dont le fédéral ont apporté des solutions à ce sujet		1	0.2%
Pour le moment je suis indécis		1	0.2%
Pour moi, ce sont tous de beaux parleurs pour se faire élire		1	0.2%
Prefer not to answer		1	0.2%
Progressive Conservative		1	0.2%
Really no Government is doing anything about the cost of living.		1	0.2%
Really none. The alternative would be NDP		1	0.2%
Reste dur à diriger		1	0.2%
Rhino Party sadly		1	0.2%
Rhinocéros		1	0.2%
Rien		1	0.2%
Saskatchewan Party		1	0.2%
So far none		1	0.2%
Someone who will help our own people		1	0.2%
Spiritual Party		2	0.3%
Still on edge. Listening still		1	0.2%
Still thinking		1	0.2%
The conservative party, but i don't want they win		1	0.2%
They all seem to be the same.		1	0.2%
They are all the same unfortunately. Conservatives at least waste the least amount of money, Liberals		1	0.2%

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

# cps19\_imp\_iss\_party\_7\_TEXT: Party best addressing this issue - Another party (please specify)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
just want to give everything away to other countries or minorities, meanwhile I work more than 40 hours a week and have very little disposable income aft			
They're all awful		1	0.2%
To be honest none.		1	0.2%
Today i do not think any party is addressing my issues!!!		1	0.2%
Toutes pareil enrichi les riches et écrases le pauvres		1	0.2%
Undecided		1	0.2%
Union fait la force		1	0.2%
Veterans Coalition Party of Canada		1	0.2%
Veterans coalition		1	0.2%
Veterans party of Canada		1	0.2%
Voting Canadians		1	0.2%
We need a REVOLUTION!		1	0.2%
Whirit		1	0.2%
a mon avis		1	0.2%
all other parties		1	0.2%
all parties		1	0.2%
all parties are not to be trusted		1	0.2%
animal protection party		1	0.2%
argent passe avant decision		1	0.2%
aucun		21	3.6%
aucun des partis ne se préoccupent de l'enjeu climatique.		1	0.2%
aucun n'en parle		1	0.2%

# File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

# cps19\_imp\_iss\_party\_7\_TEXT: Party best addressing this issue - Another party (please specify)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
aucun ne veut		1	0.2%
aucun parti		3	0.5%
aucun parti n'en parle		1	0.2%
aucun, il s s'en co;is de toute facon ce sont les compagnies qui menent et ceux qui polluent le plus		1	0.2%
aucun, les vieux ne sont pas assez intéressant quelque soit le parti		1	0.2%
aucuns		2	0.3%
cant realy trust any party		1	0.2%
declining ballot		1	0.2%
do not think any of these are		1	0.2%
il n'existe pas		1	0.2%
independent		1	0.2%
individuals		1	0.2%
it doesn't seem that anyone has, except for some leaders saying to do away with pipelines		1	0.2%
je ne suis pas certaine		1	0.2%
lack of integrity is at least Liberal and Conservative		1	0.2%
literally no one, none of the parties running are favourable		1	0.2%
nil		2	0.3%
no one		1	0.2%
no one does it well		1	0.2%
no party		2	0.3%
no party adequately addresses the rights of the disabled		1	0.2%
no party is addressing this as a platform		1	0.2%

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

# cps19\_imp\_iss\_party\_7\_TEXT: Party best addressing this issue - Another party (please specify)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
no party is addressing this issue		1	0.2%
no party is dealing with this issue		1	0.2%
no party! they're all the same		1	0.2%
nobody		2	0.3%
none		53	9.1%
none are		1	0.2%
none at this point		1	0.2%
none ate		1	0.2%
none of the above		7	1.2%
none of the parties.		1	0.2%
none of them		8	1.4%
none of them are, to my satisfaction		1	0.2%
none-political		1	0.2%
not sure yey		1	0.2%
one is as bad as another		1	0.2%
personne ne parle de la pension pour les personnes de 65 ans		1	0.2%
platforms are similar		1	0.2%
ppc		1	0.2%
some new party which is not limited to 1 main policy with no liars		1	0.2%
still deciding		1	0.2%
the party that does not yet exist because none of the cuurent parties seem to care about the lower middle class		1	0.2%
there is no party in this election that talks about this issue		1	0.2%

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

### # cps19\_imp\_iss\_party\_7\_TEXT: Party best addressing this issue - Another party (please specify)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
they all doit current gov ,the worst		1	0.2%
they are all useless		1	0.2%
tous ces partis pour le moment		1	0.2%
veterans coalition		1	0.2%
veterans coalition party of canada		1	0.2%
western separation		1	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps198: Party best addressing this issue - Another party (please specify)

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
at's just ridiculous		1	33.3%
er paying for bills, taxes food etc.		1	33.3%
iliation and run on their own merits as true "independents".		1	33.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps19\_imp\_loc\_iss: Most important local issue in this federal election

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=13527 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What is the most important local issue to you personally in this federal election?
<b>Notes</b>	cps19_imp_loc_iss was set to receive a split sample of 50% (1/2), to make room in the survey for more questions. Whether a respondent was assigned to receive cps19_imp_loc_iss was randomly determined in the Survey Flow by setting the embedded data field get_imp_loc_iss to either 0 or 1. If get_imp_loc_iss was equal to 1, they were assigned to receive cps19_imp_loc_iss. get_imp_loc_iss can be used to filter the dataset to only respondents that were assigned to receive cps19_imp_loc_iss.

### # cps195: Most important local issue in this federal election

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=21 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
; step in where not economically feasible for a CCPC... if you look at per capita personal income taxes		1	4.8%

# File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

## # cps195: Most important local issue in this federal election

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
paid by residents of Flin Flon, Snow Lake, Thompson... we are NOT receiving our fare share of Government Infrastructure Spending			
d of Doug Ford.		1	4.8%
ded addressee, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action related to it is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify our office immediately and delete this message		1	4.8%
ealthcare system to Home/Vehicle insurance, to the companies that were allowed contracts to build various Power/Mining sites throughout B.C. which are just as toxic if not more than the Oilsands in AB?.		1	4.8%
ear with large wads of hundreds, pump it into the machine and cash out. The first time I witnessed this was about ten years ago, the lady I was sitting beside was approached by a man in a long cashmere overcoat, he handed her large stack of hundreds, th		1	4.8%

# File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

## # cps195: Most important local issue in this federal election

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
leave our country and are rich in there's. With our Canadian cash. Seriously, Canada is being taken advantage of and it's not impressing me at all. To the point where a Somalian gentleman wanted to hurt me because my dog wasn't tied up. Instead of explain		1	4.8%
lized schools).		1	4.8%
nd live healthier lives yet the cost of food especially healthy food continues to rise yet minimum wage and disability amount I know we're near letting us achieve this healthier lifestyle as well as adequate housing affordable to those living on or below		1	4.8%
nment is busy helping new immigrants n their own citizens and the Natives of this country.		1	4.8%
o save money for my retirement, not from any fault of my own kids are expensive, so I also had expensive medical bills , and now, I get 800 a month for my troubles, they have to stop giving themselves a raise every year		1	4.8%

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

### # cps195: Most important local issue in this federal election

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
of 3300.00 and give a little mor			
owing the rules of the law and making their own rules. Being held responsible for lying in court documents and while under oath. Rental pricer decreases		1	4.8%
preniurial business have disappeared. The Prime minister says that he will fight for work for the citizens, but he certainly does not for Albertans We have lost many large companies who could not count on fair dealings in the oil and gas industry. Ever		1	4.8%
re Provincial, as much as Fed polititions like to spout of about health care, education rtc, which are Provincial, Fewd govt could reduce Taxes, encourage people to save for their senior years, rather than penalizing seniors who save for senior years, I		1	4.8%
stealing from others or threatening others etc.		1	4.8%
tal gain tax		1	4.8%
thing		1	4.8%
this. There is too much fairy dust type of talk out there!		1	4.8%
tires. You guys need so many		1	4.8%



# File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

## # cps195: Most important local issue in this federal election

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
you should be paying us			
ugs. I wish and hope to have wise people win the candidacy in this year's federal election.		1	4.8%
unch. The dangers of another global financial crisis are big. As for local issues, there are none.		1	4.8%
y have.		1	4.8%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

## # cps196: Most important local issue in this federal election

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
E Clawing back to OAS and the age credit on line 301 of incometax form		1	<div></div> 14.3%
e to the Canadian Senior's and give a little more so kids can get a better education in collage or university, it would help , also our Veterens help them and find some way to help our homeless , and most of all, STOP GIVING MILLIONS AND MILLIONS OF DOL		1	<div></div> 14.3%
en walked away and disappeared into the crowd. The lady proceeded to pump hundreds into the machine. Be proceeded to win several jackpots as the result. The casino lady		1	<div></div> 14.3%

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

### # cps196: Most important local issue in this federal election

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
appeared again and kept saying "oh you won again, you are so lucky". It surprised			
from your computer.		1	14.3%
ing his culture he preferred stocking me to my apartment. Seriously, we do not need these people from other countries. We have enough people on the streets to give them jobs and actually help them instead of none Canadians.		1	14.3%
the poverty line and especially on disability the waiting list for government housing are far too long we should be concentrating on maybe building affordable housing for these people rather than fancy new buildings for business		1	14.3%
yone knows that it will take years to convert all our products to renewable manufacturing. Our federal govern,ment would rather we buy petroleum products from dictatorships than from our own people..		1	14.3%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cps197: Most important local issue in this federal election

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2 /-]

# File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

## # cps197: Most important local issue in this federal election

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
LARS TO FOREIGN COUNTRIES stop taking in more people until we can get our own house in order		1	50.0%
me that there wasn't any suspicions raised about this behaviour because it was so obvious. Fund our police.		1	50.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # cps19\_imp\_loc\_iss\_p: Party best at addressing this local issue? - Selected Choice

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13527 /-] [Invalid=24295 /-]
Literal question	Which party is best at addressing this local issue?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal Party	3139	23.2%
2	Conservative Party	3492	25.8%
3	ndp	1953	14.4%
4	Bloc Qu	566	4.2%
5	Green Party	937	6.9%
6	People's Party	246	1.8%
7	Another party (please specify)	274	2.0%
8	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	2920	21.6%
Sysmiss		24295	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # cps19\_imp\_loc\_iss\_p\_7\_TEXT: Party best at addressing this local issue? - Another party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=266 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
A party that will help all of Canadians, poor or wealthy, not one party for one class of Canadians ,Like we have now		1	0.4%
ALL OF THEM CORUPT		1	0.4%
AUCUN		4	1.5%

# File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

## # cps19\_imp\_loc\_iss\_p\_7\_TEXT: Party best at addressing this local issue? - Another party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Alberta Independence Party		1	0.4%
Alberta party		1	0.4%
All above		1	0.4%
All of these parties claim to have the desire to deal with the things I referenced just now, but the reality is, we are so far in debt at both the provincial and federal levels, even the most well-meaning (if one existed) political party would seemingly n		1	0.4%
Animal Protection Party of Canada		1	0.4%
Aucun		13	4.9%
Aucun d'entre eux		1	0.4%
Aucun et tous		1	0.4%
Aucun la fait		1	0.4%
Aucun parti		2	0.8%
CAQ		1	0.4%
Canadian Democratic Party		1	0.4%
Chp		1	0.4%
Christian Heritage		1	0.4%
Christian Heritage Party		1	0.4%
Community counselor		1	0.4%
Honestly no one has a very good plan for this.		1	0.4%
I really don't know. None of them seem competent		1	0.4%
I think it has come down to many groups protesting Ford and his		1	0.4%

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

### # cps19\_imp\_loc\_iss\_p\_7\_TEXT: Party best at addressing this local issue? - Another party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
decisions. Andrea Horvath is doing a good job at keeping on top of him too.			
Independent		3	1.1%
Independent candidate		1	0.4%
Les solution sont toutes intéressantes.		1	0.4%
Liberals and ndp tied		1	0.4%
Lol none		1	0.4%
Marijuana party		1	0.4%
Marxist-Leninist		1	0.4%
Maxime bernier		1	0.4%
Me		1	0.4%
My INDEPENDENT Party		1	0.4%
NDP		1	0.4%
NO ONE GIVES a SHIT ABOUT CANADIANS		1	0.4%
NOBODY		1	0.4%
NONE		6	2.3%
NONE!!		1	0.4%
NOT REALLY ALL THE SAME		1	0.4%
Neither		1	0.4%
Neither/mixed		1	0.4%
Ni l'un ni l'autre ils parlent mais ne font rien		1	0.4%
No One		2	0.8%
No idea		1	0.4%
No one		4	1.5%
No one is addressing		1	0.4%
No one is very good at addressing this issue right now		1	0.4%
No one really.		1	0.4%
No one, right now it is only "promises"		1	0.4%
No other party		1	0.4%

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

### # cps19\_imp\_loc\_iss\_p\_7\_TEXT: Party best at addressing this local issue? - Another party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
No party		5	1.9%
No party from above ,has actually addressed this issues this issue		1	0.4%
No party is handling it.		1	0.4%
Nobody is talking about creating equality between provinces		1	0.4%
Non		1	0.4%
Non of the above		2	0.8%
None		60	22.6%
None of them		1	0.4%
None I am afraid		1	0.4%
None Of The Above		1	0.4%
None are addressing		1	0.4%
None at present		1	0.4%
None of the Above		3	1.1%
None of the above		3	1.1%
None of the above, at present.		1	0.4%
None of the parties		2	0.8%
None of the parties at this time		1	0.4%
None of them		8	3.0%
None of them are		1	0.4%
None, they are all as corrupt		1	0.4%
None.		3	1.1%
Not any		1	0.4%
Not the current.		1	0.4%
PPC		2	0.8%
Personne		1	0.4%
Peut-être patie vert		1	0.4%
Ppc		1	0.4%
Provincial government		1	0.4%

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

### # cps19\_imp\_loc\_iss\_p\_7\_TEXT: Party best at addressing this local issue? - Another party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Quebec solidaire		1	0.4%
SON CENTRER JUSTE SUR LEURS PETITE PERSONNE		1	0.4%
Sask Party		1	0.4%
Saskatchewan Party		2	0.8%
Still listening to all the talking/ debating		1	0.4%
Street party		1	0.4%
Ta mere en shorts		1	0.4%
That's a loaded question b/c some parties have good education platforms, but I don't know where they will get the money		1	0.4%
They all suck		1	0.4%
They are all the same		1	0.4%
They do not		1	0.4%
Trésor peu important pour eux		1	0.4%
Veterans coalition		1	0.4%
again none		1	0.4%
alberta independant party		1	0.4%
aucun		6	2.3%
aucun l'argent est dilapidé sans avoir bien géré		1	0.4%
aucun ne semble en parler		1	0.4%
aucun parti		3	1.1%
aucun partie		1	0.4%
aucun selon moi		1	0.4%
aucuns		1	0.4%
don't know		1	0.4%
god		1	0.4%
im not sure which party can		1	0.4%
libéral et NPD		1	0.4%

# File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

## # cps19\_imp\_loc\_iss\_p\_7\_TEXT: Party best at addressing this local issue? - Another party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
mix of liberal and conservative views		1	0.4%
nil		1	0.4%
no body		1	0.4%
no one		1	0.4%
no one is		1	0.4%
no party		3	1.1%
no party addresses significantly		1	0.4%
no party since it is provincial issue		1	0.4%
no peoples party in my area		1	0.4%
nobody		2	0.8%
none		19	7.1%
none are addressing this issue		1	0.4%
none of the above		4	1.5%
none of them		3	1.1%
none!		1	0.4%
none-political		1	0.4%
none, all do poorly		1	0.4%
not sure		1	0.4%
one		1	0.4%
populaire		1	0.4%
still no one, but the NDP has made a miniscule dent		1	0.4%
western separation		1	0.4%
À date aucun		1	0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # cps194: Party best at addressing this local issue? - Another party

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
ot be able to dent this challenge. It could be done, but I'm not sure the current political parties		1	100.0%



## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0












### # cps194: Party best at addressing this local issue? - Another party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
really know how.			

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps19\_interest\_gen\_1: How interested are you in politics












<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=35409 /-] [Invalid=2413 /-] [Mean=6.453 /-] [StdDev=2.479 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How interested are you in politics generally? Set the slider to a number from 0 to 10, where 0 means no interest at all, and 10 means a great deal of interest.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		690	 1.9%
1		818	 2.3%
2		1671	 4.7%
3		2481	 7.0%
4		2128	 6.0%
5		2225	 6.3%
6		4446	 12.6%
7		7233	 20.4%
8		6781	 19.2%
9		3658	 10.3%
10		3278	 9.3%
Sysmiss		2413	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps19\_interest\_elxn\_1: How interested are you in this federal election

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=35536 /-] [Invalid=2286 /-] [Mean=7.087 /-] [StdDev=2.475 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How interested are you in this federal election? Set the slider to a number from 0 to 10, where 0 means no interest at all, and 10 means a great deal of interest.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		609	 1.7%
1		664	 1.9%
2		1134	 3.2%
3		1667	 4.7%
4		1635	 4.6%
5		1732	 4.9%
6		3785	 10.7%
7		6108	 17.2%
8		6912	 19.5%
9		4941	 13.9%
10		6349	 17.9%
Sysmiss		2286	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.








### # cps19\_v\_likely: On election day, are you...

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

### # cps19\_v\_likely: On election day, are you...

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=36480 /-] [Invalid=1342 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Certain to vote	26090	 71.5%
2	Likely to vote	5474	 15.0%
3	Unlikely to vote	1303	 3.6%
4	Certain not to vote	589	 1.6%
5	I am not eligible to vote	101	 0.3%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	594	 1.6%
7	I voted in an advance poll	2329	 6.4%
Sysmiss		1342	






Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps19\_v\_likely\_pr: Likeliness to vote in the first election

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1342 /-] [Invalid=36480 /-]

Literal question If you become a Canadian citizen, how likely are you to vote in the first election for which you are eligible?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Certain to vote	891	 66.4%
2	Likely to vote	300	 22.4%
3	Unlikely to vote	53	 3.9%
4	Certain not to vote	43	 3.2%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	55	 4.1%
Sysmiss		36480	









Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps19\_votechoice: Which party do you think you will vote for

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=31564 /-] [Invalid=6258 /-]

Literal question Which party do you think you will vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal Party	8949	 28.4%
2	Conservative Party	8713	 27.6%
3	ndp	4328	 13.7%
4	Bloc Qu	1404	 4.4%
5	Green Party	2456	 7.8%
6	People's Party	605	 1.9%
7	Another party (please specify)	201	 0.6%
9	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	4908	 15.5%
Sysmiss		6258	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.



























### # cps19\_votechoice\_7\_TEXT: Which party do you think you will vote for - Another party

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=201 /-]

# File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

# cps19\_votechoice\_7\_TEXT: Which party do you think you will vote for - Another party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
ALL SHITTY		1	 0.5%
ANNULER MON VOTE		1	 0.5%
An independent prior MP		1	 0.5%
Andre Scheer		1	 0.5%
Animal Protection Party of Canada (si possible).		1	 0.5%
Annule mon votr		1	 0.5%
Annuler		1	 0.5%
Annuler mon vote		1	 0.5%
Any		1	 0.5%
Attend pour faire mon choix après les combats		1	 0.5%
Aucun - Nous n'avons pas de candidat Vert. J'annule mon vote.		1	 0.5%
Aucun des partis ne m'attire ...		1	 0.5%
Aucun parti correspond a mes attente		1	 0.5%
Aucun. Annulation du vote		1	 0.5%
Between Liberals & Conservatives		1	 0.5%
Bloc Québécois		1	 0.5%
Bloc quebecois		1	 0.5%
CAQ		2	 1.0%
CHP		2	 1.0%
CHRISTIAN HERITAGE PARTY		1	 0.5%
Can't tell you		1	 0.5%
Canada Heritage Party		1	 0.5%
Chp		1	 0.5%
Christian Heritage		5	 2.5%
Christian Heritage Party		3	 1.5%
Christian Heritage party		1	 0.5%

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

# cps19\_votechoice\_7\_TEXT: Which party do you think you will vote for - Another party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Christian coalition party		1	0.5%
Christian heritage party		2	1.0%
Communist		2	1.0%
Conservative or NDP		1	0.5%
DECLINE MY BALLOT		1	0.5%
Declining my vote		1	0.5%
Gods kingdom		1	0.5%
Green,NDP or Liberal		1	0.5%
Haven't made up my mind yet		1	0.5%
Hitler		1	0.5%
I PLAN TO SPOIL MY BALLOT		1	0.5%
I am actually not sure as to which party I will vote for....		1	0.5%
I am waiting to see all of the local candidates		1	0.5%
I don't have a candidate of my preferred party in my riding so I will vote against the conservatives		1	0.5%
I haven't decided.		1	0.5%
I want to vote NDP, but there is no candidate in my riding.		1	0.5%
I will burn the vote		1	0.5%
I will cancel my vote		1	0.5%
I will cancel my vote as no party truly represents my values.		1	0.5%
I will spoil my ballot as there is no one I can vote.		1	0.5%
I will spoil my ballot		1	0.5%

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

### # cps19\_votechoice\_7\_TEXT: Which party do you think you will vote for - Another party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
I will spoil or decline my vote		1	0.5%
I would love to vote NDP but I feel I have to vote liberal so conservatives don't win		1	0.5%
I'm not sure yet. Either Liberal or Conservative		1	0.5%
INDEPENDENT		2	1.0%
If a centrist party existed federally, I would vote for them. Probably will vote Conservative because I disagree with them the least		1	0.5%
Independant		1	0.5%
Independant Jane Philpot		1	0.5%
Independant candidate		1	0.5%
Independent		13	6.5%
Independent candidate		5	2.5%
Independent-Jody		1	0.5%
Indécis		1	0.5%
Indépendamment		1	0.5%
It's a toss up none of them are addressing any issues.		1	0.5%
Jared Davis party		1	0.5%
Je ne sais pas encore		1	0.5%
Je suis vraiment indécise en ce moment		1	0.5%
LE PLUS RÉALISTES CE QUI VEUT DIRE AUCUN		1	0.5%
Le mien		1	0.5%
Libertarian		2	1.0%
Marxist-Leninist if available		1	0.5%
Maxime bernier		1	0.5%

# File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

## # cps19\_votechoice\_7\_TEXT: Which party do you think you will vote for - Another party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
May be Liberal as PC kept their dirty on Trudeau when it is a disguise he did when he was not even aware he will be in politics afterwards.		1	0.5%
NOT LIBERAL		1	0.5%
Ndp		1	0.5%
No confidence		1	0.5%
No real winner so far		1	0.5%
None		1	0.5%
None of the above		3	1.5%
None of them. I plan to spoil my ballot		1	0.5%
None of your business		1	0.5%
Not 100% sure who I will vote for yet		1	0.5%
Not liberal		1	0.5%
Not liberal. Trudeau has to go		1	0.5%
Not sure		1	0.5%
Not sure . But definitely not liberals		1	0.5%
Not sure right now....still undecided		1	0.5%
Not sure yet		3	1.5%
PPC		4	2.0%
Parti Patriote et si pas candidat j'annulerai mon vote		1	0.5%
Parti communiste		1	0.5%
Pas décider n'importe qui sauf Trudeau		1	0.5%
Paty is not imperative, candidate is		1	0.5%
People's party of Canada		1	0.5%

# File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

## # cps19\_votechoice\_7\_TEXT: Which party do you think you will vote for - Another party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Peoples Party		1	0.5%
Peoples party		1	0.5%
Ppc		1	0.5%
QUEBEC SOLIDAIRE		1	0.5%
Rhino		1	0.5%
Rhino Party		1	0.5%
Rhinoceros		2	1.0%
Rhinoceros		1	0.5%
Rhinoceros Party		1	0.5%
Rhinocéros		4	2.0%
Spoiling my ballot		1	0.5%
Still thinking		1	0.5%
Still undecided		1	0.5%
Still unsure		1	0.5%
That's my choice, not for anyone to know who I'm voting for.		1	0.5%
The party that will most likely unseat the Liberal Incumbent		1	0.5%
UNDECIDED		1	0.5%
Undecided		4	2.0%
Undecided at the moment		1	0.5%
Undecided, but not Liberal		1	0.5%
United Party		1	0.5%
Usually NDP, but now uncertain		1	0.5%
Veterans ABC vote with veterans movement based on the riding.		1	0.5%
Veterans party of Canada		1	0.5%
Well conservative all my life, but since my young adult showed me the light, both parties are very raciat lib and pc.		1	0.5%

# File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

## # cps19\_votechoice\_7\_TEXT: Which party do you think you will vote for - Another party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Unfortunately ndp dont stand a chance. So im not sure prob ndp			
Which ever one will beat out the sitting Liberal MP		1	0.5%
Whichever one I believe in		1	0.5%
Who is asking?!		1	0.5%
You are not allowed to ask this question		1	0.5%
also rans		1	0.5%
annuler mon vote		1	0.5%
another party		1	0.5%
at this time not at all certain		1	0.5%
aucun		1	0.5%
between NDP & Liberal		1	0.5%
blanc		1	0.5%
incertain		1	0.5%
indécis pour 2 parti		1	0.5%
independant		1	0.5%
independent		2	1.0%
indécis		2	1.0%
j'attends les promesses non tenu qu'on va nous promette		1	0.5%
libertarian		1	0.5%
majuanna party		1	0.5%
my pet cat		1	0.5%
no idea yet		1	0.5%
none of the above		1	0.5%
none-political		1	0.5%
not certain at this time		1	0.5%
not sure		1	0.5%
not sure yet		1	0.5%
parti pour les nuls		1	0.5%
pas fait mon choix encore		1	0.5%



## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

### # cps19\_votechoice\_7\_TEXT: Which party do you think you will vote for - Another party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
people's party of canada		1	0.5%
ppc		1	0.5%
probably ruin the ballot		1	0.5%
quebec solidaire		1	0.5%
rhino		1	0.5%
rhinocéros		1	0.5%
still haven't decided		1	0.5%
undecided		4	2.0%
veterans coalition party of canada		1	0.5%
western separation		1	0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps19\_votechoice\_pr: If you could vote, which party would you vote for

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1292 /-] [Invalid=36530 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	If you could vote in this election, which party do you think you would vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal Party	521	40.3%
2	Conservative Party	284	22.0%
3	ndp	160	12.4%
4	Bloc Qu	20	1.5%
5	Green Party	109	8.4%
6	People's Party	48	3.7%
7	Another party (please specify)	3	0.2%
9	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	147	11.4%
Sysmiss		36530	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps19\_votechoice\_pr\_7\_TEXT: If you could vote in this election, which party do you think you would vote for?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Libertarian party		1	33.3%
None		1	33.3%
Treaty rights		1	33.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps19\_vote\_unlikely: Which party do you think you will vote for

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1303 /-] [Invalid=36519 /-]

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

### # cps19\_vote\_unlikely: Which party do you think you will vote for

**Literal question** If you decide to vote, which party do you think you will vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal Party	256	19.6%
2	Conservative Party	241	18.5%
3	ndp	205	15.7%
4	Bloc Qu	38	2.9%
5	Green Party	175	13.4%
6	People's Party	39	3.0%
7	Another party (please specify)	21	1.6%
9	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	328	25.2%
Sysmiss		36519	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cps19\_vote\_unlikely\_7\_TEXT: Which party do you think you will vote for 2

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=21 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Any one of the opposition's. Fewest numbers most likely just to even the opposition		1	4.8%
Christian party		1	4.8%
Crocodile		1	4.8%
I will NOT be voting all are a bunch of lying crooks		1	4.8%
Il n'existe pas		1	4.8%
Independent party		1	4.8%
Libertarian		2	9.5%
Marxist Leninist		1	4.8%
NONE		1	4.8%
None		2	9.5%
None are worthy		1	4.8%
None of them because they are all crooked and lie		1	4.8%
Ppc		1	4.8%
Right now i am undecided		1	4.8%
They're all partisan hacks, none of them are worth a shit		1	4.8%
Unless the concerns I stated		1	4.8%

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

### # cps19\_vote\_unlikely\_7\_TEXT: Which party do you think you will vote for 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
are addressed by one of the parties, the party with the least support.			
do not have any choice except liberal or bloq		1	4.8%
god knows		1	4.8%
none of the above		1	4.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps19\_vote\_unlike\_pr: Which party do you think you will vote for 3

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=108 /-] [Invalid=37714 /-]
Literal question	If you could vote in this election, and decided to vote, which party do you think you would vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal Party	20	18.5%
2	Conservative Party	12	11.1%
3	ndp	5	4.6%
4	Bloc Qu	1	0.9%
5	Green Party	17	15.7%
6	People's Party	6	5.6%
7	Another party (please specify)	0	
9	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	47	43.5%
Sysmiss		37714	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps19\_vote\_unlike\_pr\_7\_TEXT: Which party do you think you will vote for 4

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

### # cps19\_v\_advance: For which party did you vote? - Selected Choice

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2329 /-] [Invalid=35493 /-]
Literal question	For which party did you vote?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal Party	670	28.8%
2	Conservative Party	611	26.2%
3	ndp	367	15.8%
4	Bloc Qu	200	8.6%
5	Green Party	144	6.2%
6	People's Party	39	1.7%
7	Another party (please specify)	16	0.7%
9	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	282	12.1%

# File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

## # cps19\_v\_advance: For which party did you vote? - Selected Choice

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		35493	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

## # cps19\_v\_advance\_7\_TEXT: For which party did you vote? - Another party

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
CHP		1	6.2%
Canada's fourth front		1	6.2%
I Voted for the candidate; not the party		1	6.2%
Independent		1	6.2%
J'ai annulé mon vote		1	6.2%
Libertarian		2	12.5%
Mindset Trickster		1	6.2%
Parti rhinocéros		1	6.2%
People's Party		1	6.2%
Prefer not to answer		1	6.2%
Refused my vote, bo good options		1	6.2%
annuler mon vote		1	6.2%
independent		1	6.2%
j'ai annulé mon vote		1	6.2%
local party		1	6.2%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

## # cps19\_vote\_lean: Is there a party you are leaning towards

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=594 /-] [Invalid=37228 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Is there a party you are leaning towards?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal Party	98	16.5%
2	Conservative Party	87	14.6%
3	ndp	56	9.4%
4	Bloc Qu	11	1.9%
5	Green Party	47	7.9%
6	People's Party	10	1.7%
7	Another party (please specify)	5	0.8%
8	I do not intend to vote	42	7.1%

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0






### # cps19\_vote\_lean: Is there a party you are leaning towards

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	238	 40.1%
Sysmiss		37228	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps19\_vote\_lean\_7\_TEXT: Is there a party you are leaning towards? - Another party








Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Communist		1	 20.0%
House party		1	 20.0%
Non		1	 20.0%
Que l'ont vote ou pas rien change en positif tout pareille		1	 20.0%
im completely undecided because i have never followed politics so i dont know enough about the candidates		1	 20.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps19\_vote\_lean\_pr: Is there a party you would be leaning toward

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=55 /-] [Invalid=37767 /-]
Literal question	If you could vote in this election, is there a party you would be leaning towards?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal Party	10	 18.2%
2	Conservative Party	6	 10.9%
3	ndp	6	 10.9%
4	Bloc Qu	1	 1.8%
5	Green Party	7	 12.7%
6	People's Party	0	
7	Another party (please specify)	0	
8	I do not intend to vote	3	 5.5%
9	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	22	 40.0%
Sysmiss		37767	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps19\_vote\_lean\_pr\_7\_TEXT: Is there a party you would be leaning toward 2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

### # cps19\_2nd\_choice: And which party would be your second choice

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

# File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

## # cps19\_2nd\_choice: And which party would be your second choice

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=30380 /-] [Invalid=7442 /-]

Literal question And which party would be your second choice?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal Party	4090	13.5%
2	Conservative Party	2788	9.2%
3	ndp	7055	23.2%
4	Bloc Qu	955	3.1%
5	Green Party	5373	17.7%
6	People's Party	2003	6.6%
7	Another party (please specify)	647	2.1%
9	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	7469	24.6%
Sysmiss		7442	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # cps19\_2nd\_choice\_7\_TEXT: And which party would be your second choice - Another party

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=647 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2nd Independent		2	0.3%
A B C		1	0.2%
A honest one		1	0.2%
A transparent, forward going party which addresses social and environmental issues and proposes resolutions.		1	0.2%
AUCUN		5	0.8%
Again, I am not certian, however, if I had to choose, it could be the NDP as a result of the promises made relating to the Health Care modifications		1	0.2%
Alberta party		1	0.2%
An independent		1	0.2%
Annuler		1	0.2%
Annuler mon vote		1	0.2%
Any		2	0.3%
Any other party than the above		1	0.2%
Any party except liberals		1	0.2%

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

### # cps19\_2nd\_choice\_7\_TEXT: And which party would be your second choice - Another party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Any party with Western Canada agenda		1	0.2%
Anything but liberal		1	0.2%
Anything but liberal and NDP as they seem to be one in the same		1	0.2%
Aucun		23	3.6%
Aucun autre		4	0.6%
Aucun autre choix		1	0.2%
Aucun de ceux-ci		1	0.2%
Aucun j'annule mon vote		1	0.2%
Aucun, j'annulerai mon vote		1	0.2%
Aucune		1	0.2%
Bernier's party		1	0.2%
Between Liberal and Conservative		1	0.2%
Bloc		3	0.5%
Bloc Alberta		1	0.2%
Bloc Quebecois		2	0.3%
Bloc Québécois		1	0.2%
CAQ		1	0.2%
CHP		6	0.9%
Canadian Nationalist Party		1	0.2%
Chp		3	0.5%
Christian		2	0.3%
Christian Heritage		4	0.6%
Christian Heritage Party		2	0.3%
Christian Heritage Party of Canada		2	0.3%
Christian Party		1	0.2%
Christian heritage		2	0.3%
Christian heritage party		1	0.2%
Christian party		2	0.3%

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

### # cps19\_2nd\_choice\_7\_TEXT: And which party would be your second choice - Another party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Chrstian Heritage		1	0.2%
Conservative		7	1.1%
Conservative or NDP		1	0.2%
Conservatives		2	0.3%
Conservatives or Liberals		1	0.2%
DEMOCRATIC		1	0.2%
Difficult to choose at this point		1	0.2%
Don't have a second choice		1	0.2%
Don't have one		1	0.2%
Don't know		1	0.2%
Donald Trump		1	0.2%
Done have a second choice		1	0.2%
FÃ©dÃ©ral		1	0.2%
GREEN		3	0.5%
Green		5	0.8%
Green /Liberal undecided		1	0.2%
Green Party		2	0.3%
Green party		3	0.5%
I dobt vote by paty		1	0.2%
I don not have a second choice		1	0.2%
I have no 2nd choice		1	0.2%
I will always vote coservitive		1	0.2%
I will spoil my ballot		1	0.2%
I will spoil or decline my vote		1	0.2%
I wish I knew more about the peoples party and the green party. Because one of those 2 would be my second choice.		1	0.2%
I would like for ALL parties to be Equally together moving forward		1	0.2%



## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

### # cps19\_2nd\_choice\_7\_TEXT: And which party would be your second choice - Another party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
I would never ever vote for any other party		1	0.2%
I would rather spoil my ballot than vote for the other scary parties.		1	0.2%
I wouldn't		1	0.2%
I'd waste my vote before voting for another party.		1	0.2%
INDEPENDENT		2	0.3%
If JustinnTrudeau didn't break the law, possibly Liberal. But unfortunately he did		1	0.2%
Independant		4	0.6%
Independence		1	0.2%
Independent		11	1.7%
Independent Candidate		1	0.2%
Independent candidate in my riding, Jane Philpott		1	0.2%
Is no other worth voting for		1	0.2%
J annule mon vote sinon		1	0.2%
J"annulerais mon bulletin de vote		1	0.2%
Je suis pour la séparation du Québec		1	0.2%
Le B'loc si J'étais au Québec		1	0.2%
Le partie Rino		1	0.2%
Le sida de ton père		1	0.2%
Liberal		2	0.3%
Liberal party		1	0.2%
Liberals without Trudeau		1	0.2%
Libertarian		15	2.3%
Libertarian Party		1	0.2%
Libertarian party		1	0.2%

# File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

## # cps19\_2nd\_choice\_7\_TEXT: And which party would be your second choice - Another party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Libertarians		1	0.2%
Libéral		1	0.2%
Marxiste-léniniste		1	0.2%
Maxine Bernier		1	0.2%
Mixed answer. I would vote for Green Party but my vote will count better towards NDP second.		1	0.2%
My party		1	0.2%
N/A		1	0.2%
NA		1	0.2%
NDP		4	0.6%
NDP only		1	0.2%
NO OTHER PARTY		1	0.2%
NO SECOND CHIOCE		1	0.2%
NO SECOND CHOICE		1	0.2%
NO second choice		1	0.2%
NONE		15	2.3%
NOT LIBERAL		1	0.2%
No Other Party		1	0.2%
No Vote		1	0.2%
No good second choice. Every one promises much but delivers little value.		1	0.2%
No one		3	0.5%
No other		3	0.5%
No other I'm liberal all the way		1	0.2%
No other choice		3	0.5%
No other choice.		1	0.2%
No other party		10	1.5%
No other party offers a realistic trust worthy approach		1	0.2%
No other party they are not interested in our economy		1	0.2%

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

### # cps19\_2nd\_choice\_7\_TEXT: And which party would be your second choice - Another party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
No party		1	0.2%
No second choice		10	1.5%
No second option		1	0.2%
Nobody		5	0.8%
Nobody else		1	0.2%
None		83	12.8%
None of the Above		1	0.2%
None of the above		6	0.9%
None of them		2	0.3%
None of them have a good leader that deserved my vote		1	0.2%
None party is better than liberals		1	0.2%
None, but I am holding my nose to vote Liberal. Local candidate is a known quantity. Others are not.		1	0.2%
None.		1	0.2%
None. Green Party or spoiled ballot		1	0.2%
None...there is no 2nd choice		1	0.2%
Not applicable		1	0.2%
Not sure		1	0.2%
Not sure yet		1	0.2%
Only Conservative		1	0.2%
Only conservative		2	0.3%
Only voting NDP		1	0.2%
Or Scratch the vote		1	0.2%
PAS LIBÉRAL (AUCUN)		1	0.2%
PP/NDP		1	0.2%
PPC		10	1.5%
Parti Populaire		1	0.2%

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

# cps19\_2nd\_choice\_7\_TEXT: And which party would be your second choice - Another party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Parti Populaire du Canada		1	0.2%
Parti marijuana		1	0.2%
Parti populaire du Canada		1	0.2%
Partie Rhinocéros		1	0.2%
Pcc		1	0.2%
People's Party		1	0.2%
People's party		2	0.3%
Peoples PArty		1	0.2%
Peoples Party		1	0.2%
Peoples party of canada		1	0.2%
Pink elephant		1	0.2%
Pirate		1	0.2%
Ppc		3	0.5%
Pq		1	0.2%
Progressive Conservatives - Reverend Dorian Baxter		1	0.2%
Rhino		2	0.3%
Rhino party		1	0.2%
Rhinoceris		1	0.2%
Rhinoceros		3	0.5%
Rhinoceros Party		3	0.5%
Rhinoceros party		1	0.2%
Rhinocerosus Party		2	0.3%
Rhinocerus		1	0.2%
Rhinocéros		4	0.6%
Rhinosorus party		1	0.2%
Rino		1	0.2%
Rino Party		1	0.2%
Rinoceroze		1	0.2%
Ryno		1	0.2%
SASK PARTY		1	0.2%
Same as last response		1	0.2%
Spoil ballot		1	0.2%
Spoiling My Ballot		1	0.2%
Spoiling my ballot		1	0.2%
Still thinking		1	0.2%
The party party		1	0.2%

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

### # cps19\_2nd\_choice\_7\_TEXT: And which party would be your second choice - Another party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
There is another party		1	0.2%
There is no other choice		1	0.2%
There is no second choice		2	0.3%
There is no second choice.		1	0.2%
There isn't another		1	0.2%
There's only one choice: Conservative Party of Canada		1	0.2%
Think they all suck		1	0.2%
Tous des menteurs		1	0.2%
Undecided		3	0.5%
Veterans coalition		1	0.2%
Veterans party of Canada		1	0.2%
Vetrans party of Canada		1	0.2%
Voir 1 autre réponse		1	0.2%
Vote blanc		1	0.2%
Western Canada Independence Party		1	0.2%
Whoever wants to separate western and eastern Canada		1	0.2%
Work less party		1	0.2%
Would not vote for others		1	0.2%
absolutely no other party		1	0.2%
aime pas lss autres		1	0.2%
an independent		1	0.2%
annuler vote		1	0.2%
any one who can get rid of Trudeau		1	0.2%
anybody but Justin		1	0.2%
anything but PC & Liberal they keep putting us		1	0.2%

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

### # cps19\_2nd\_choice\_7\_TEXT: And which party would be your second choice - Another party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
in this messy circle ITs time for a big change and I am willing to take it no strategic voting this time to keep one or the other party out			
anything other than liberal/truduea		1	0.2%
aucun		24	3.7%
aucun annulerais le vote		1	0.2%
aucun autre		4	0.6%
aucun autre parti		1	0.2%
aucun bon parti politique		1	0.2%
aucun parti		1	0.2%
aucun second choix		1	0.2%
bernier		1	0.2%
bloc québécois		1	0.2%
communist party		1	0.2%
conservative		3	0.5%
destroyed ballot		1	0.2%
do not have a second choice		1	0.2%
doesn't exist yet		1	0.2%
don't have a second choice		1	0.2%
encore indécis		1	0.2%
green party		1	0.2%
i don't have a second choice		1	0.2%
i'd rather spoil my ballot		1	0.2%
idem j'attends les promesses qui a mon avis ne seront pas tenu		1	0.2%
incertain		1	0.2%
independant		4	0.6%
independant candidate		1	0.2%
independent		3	0.5%
independent candidate		1	0.2%
indépendantiste		1	0.2%

## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

### # cps19\_2nd\_choice\_7\_TEXT: And which party would be your second choice - Another party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
j'annule mon vote		1	0.2%
j-independent		1	0.2%
libertarian		2	0.3%
me		1	0.2%
my pet dog		1	0.2%
n'importe quel autre		1	0.2%
ndp		2	0.3%
neither		1	0.2%
nil,will spoil ballot		1	0.2%
no idea yet		1	0.2%
no one		2	0.3%
no one els is qualafied		1	0.2%
no one in this list		1	0.2%
no other		3	0.5%
no other choice		2	0.3%
no other in this election		1	0.2%
no other party		12	1.9%
no party		1	0.2%
no ppc candidate		1	0.2%
no second choice		5	0.8%
no second choise		2	0.3%
no second party		1	0.2%
non		3	0.5%
non of the other parties		1	0.2%
none		53	8.2%
none I only vote for ndp		1	0.2%
none of the above		3	0.5%
none of them		1	0.2%
not applicable		1	0.2%
not sure		1	0.2%
nothing		1	0.2%
parti rhinoceros		1	0.2%
people's party		1	0.2%
ppc		3	0.5%
quebecois		1	0.2%
results same with all parties		1	0.2%
rhinoceros		1	0.2%

# File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

## # cps19\_2nd\_choice\_7\_TEXT: And which party would be your second choice - Another party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
rien		1	0.2%
sais pas		1	0.2%
socialist		1	0.2%
some independent party		1	0.2%
spoilt ballot		1	0.2%
there is no second choice		2	0.3%
undecided		1	0.2%
undecided at this time		1	0.2%
vert		1	0.2%
veterans		1	0.2%
western separatism		1	0.2%
wouldn't vote		1	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # cps19\_2nd\_choice\_pr: And which party would be your second choice? - Selected Choice

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1266 /-] [Invalid=36556 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	And which party would be your second choice?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal Party	226	17.9%
2	Conservative Party	174	13.7%
3	ndp	260	20.5%
4	Bloc Qu	31	2.4%
5	Green Party	212	16.7%
6	People's Party	120	9.5%
7	Another party (please specify)	6	0.5%
9	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	237	18.7%
Sysmiss		36556	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # cps19\_2nd\_choice\_pr\_7\_TEXT: And which party would be your second choice - Another party

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Independent		1	16.7%
LIBERAL		1	16.7%
None		1	16.7%
Spoilef		1	16.7%
Vote for Leona freed		1	16.7%
conservite party		1	16.7%



## File : 2019CESOnlineSurveydatav1.0

### # cps19\_2nd\_choice\_pr\_7\_TEXT: And which party would be your second choice - Another party

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cps19\_not\_vote\_for\_1: Any parties that you would absolutely not vote for

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5543 /-] [Invalid=32279 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are there any parties that you would absolutely not vote for?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal Party	5543	100.0%
Sysmiss		32279	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*






























# cps19_not_vote_for_2: Any parties that you would absolutely not vote for 2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6574 -/] [Invalid=31248 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative Party	6574	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		31248	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_not_vote_for_3: Any parties that you would absolutely not vote for 3			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3669 -/] [Invalid=34153 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	ndp	3669	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		34153	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_not_vote_for_4: Any parties that you would absolutely not vote for 4			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1148 -/] [Invalid=36674 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Bloc Qu	1148	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		36674	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_not_vote_for_5: Any parties that you would absolutely not vote for 5			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3655 -/] [Invalid=34167 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Green Party	3655	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		34167	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_not_vote_for_6: Any parties that you would absolutely not vote for 6			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6212 -/] [Invalid=31610 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	People's Party	6212	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		31610	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_not_vote_for_7: Any parties that you would absolutely not vote for 7			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=258 -/] [Invalid=37564 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Another party (please specify)	258	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37564	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# cps19_not_vote_for_8: Any parties that you would absolutely not vote for 8			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=814 /-] [Invalid=37008 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I could vote for any of the parties	814	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37008	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_not_vote_for_9: Any parties that you would absolutely not vote for 9			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1051 /-] [Invalid=36771 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	1051	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		36771	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_not_vote_for_7_TEXT: Any parties that you would absolutely not vote for 10			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=258 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
"Fringe" parties		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
All of them. I will only vote for a leader that does not promise anything. Because once in power they do not have control.		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Any other extremely right wing or left wing.		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Any others that show up		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Any related communist party		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Any right-wing party		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
BLOC		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
BLOC QUEBEC		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
BLOC QUEBECOIS		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
BLOC QUEBEQOIS		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
BLOQ		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
BQ		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
BQP		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Bernier		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Bernier's party		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Bloc		20	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
Bloc Q & PPP		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%

# **# cps19\_not\_vote\_for\_7\_TEXT: Any parties that you would absolutely not vote for 10**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Bloc Quebec		1	0.4%
Bloc Quebecois		23	8.9%
Bloc Quebequois		1	0.4%
Bloc Québécois		2	0.8%
Bloc Québécois		8	3.1%
Bloc and People's Party		1	0.4%
Bloc du Quebecois		1	0.4%
Bloc quebecois		1	0.4%
Bloc québécois		1	0.4%
Bloc-Québécois		1	0.4%
Block		3	1.2%
Block Q		1	0.4%
Block Quebecois		1	0.4%
Block Quebecoise		1	0.4%
Bloq		2	0.8%
Bloq Quebecois		1	0.4%
Bloq, PPC		1	0.4%
Bloque		1	0.4%
CHP		1	0.4%
CPP		1	0.4%
Christian Heritage		4	1.6%
Christian Heritage Party		4	1.6%
Christian heritage		1	0.4%
Christian heritage party		1	0.4%
Christian reform		1	0.4%
Communist		9	3.5%
Communist Party		2	0.8%
Communist Party - if it exists		1	0.4%
Communist Party of Canada		1	0.4%
Communiste		1	0.4%
Communists		1	0.4%
Conservateur		1	0.4%
Conservatibe		1	0.4%
Forget???		1	0.4%
Francois		1	0.4%
Green		1	0.4%
Green Party		2	0.8%
I don't think ANY of the		1	0.4%

# cps19\_not\_vote\_for\_7\_TEXT: Any parties that you would absolutely not vote for 10

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
parties have MY best interest at heart			
I would cancel my vote		1	 0.4%
I would never ever vote for another party		1	 0.4%
Independents or any other party		1	 0.4%
It depends		1	 0.4%
Je crois qu'il sont tous bon avec de la volonte		1	 0.4%
LIBERAL		1	 0.4%
LIBERALS		1	 0.4%
Le bloc québécois		1	 0.4%
Libarl		1	 0.4%
Liberal		2	 0.8%
Liberal;		1	 0.4%
Libertarian		1	 0.4%
Libertarian, Veterans Coalition, Canadian Nationalist		1	 0.4%
Libertarian/ Communist		1	 0.4%
Libéraux		1	 0.4%
Majority parties as they only benefit right of minorities !		1	 0.4%
Marijuana		1	 0.4%
Marxism/ Leninism		1	 0.4%
Marxist leninist, Bloc Pot		1	 0.4%
Marxist lenonist		1	 0.4%
Marxist-Lennonist		1	 0.4%
Marxist/Leninist		1	 0.4%
NDP		3	 1.2%
NO		1	 0.4%
NONE OF ABOVE		1	 0.4%
No one		1	 0.4%
None		1	 0.4%
None are worthy		1	 0.4%
None other than Lib/NDP		1	 0.4%

# cps19\_not\_vote\_for\_7\_TEXT: Any parties that you would absolutely not vote for 10

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
- unless I knew the candidate personally and wanted to support them			
Not all parties are represented in my area		1	0.4%
PC		1	0.4%
PCP		1	0.4%
PEOPLES PARTY		1	0.4%
PPC		14	5.4%
PQ		1	0.4%
Parti Populaire		1	0.4%
Parti marijuane		1	0.4%
Parti populaire		1	0.4%
Parti populaire du Canada		1	0.4%
Parti québécois		1	0.4%
Party of five		1	0.4%
People Party		1	0.4%
People party		1	0.4%
People's Party		5	1.9%
People's Party of Canada		3	1.2%
People's Party, Bloc		1	0.4%
People's Party, Bloc Quebecois		1	0.4%
People's party of Canada		1	0.4%
Peoples Party		2	0.8%
Peoples party		1	0.4%
Ppc		2	0.8%
Quebec		5	1.9%
Quebec Party		1	0.4%
Quebecois		1	0.4%
Quebecque		1	0.4%
Rhino		2	0.8%
Rhinoceros party		1	0.4%
Rhinoceros		1	0.4%
Rhinocéros		1	0.4%
THE QUEBEC ONLY PARTY (DO NOT EVEN REMEMBER ITS NAME!)		1	0.4%
The Bloc		2	0.8%

# **# cps19\_not\_vote\_for\_7\_TEXT: Any parties that you would absolutely not vote for 10**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
The Canadian Nationalist Party		1	<div></div> 0.4%
The Party Quebecquacks		1	<div></div> 0.4%
The block		1	<div></div> 0.4%
UCP		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Va chiez		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Voting veterans ABC movement (veterans anything but Conservatives movement it's trustworthy) they do not endorse greens or conservatives		1	<div></div> 0.4%
all not good		1	<div></div> 0.4%
all the other parties		1	<div></div> 0.4%
animal protection party of canada		1	<div></div> 0.4%
any right wing party		1	<div></div> 0.4%
any separatist bloc		1	<div></div> 0.4%
aucun		1	<div></div> 0.4%
bloc		3	<div></div> 1.2%
bloc Quebecois		1	<div></div> 0.4%
bloc quebecois		3	<div></div> 1.2%
bloc quebecoise		1	<div></div> 0.4%
bloc quebocis,		1	<div></div> 0.4%
bloc, ndp		1	<div></div> 0.4%
block quebequois		1	<div></div> 0.4%
communist		2	<div></div> 0.8%
communist or Marxist/leninist		1	<div></div> 0.4%
comunist		1	<div></div> 0.4%
conservateur		1	<div></div> 0.4%
green		2	<div></div> 0.8%
je connais pas les partis		1	<div></div> 0.4%
ndp		1	<div></div> 0.4%
none		1	<div></div> 0.4%
people popular party ( Maxime Bernier)		1	<div></div> 0.4%
people's party		1	<div></div> 0.4%
peoples		1	<div></div> 0.4%

# cps19_not_vote_for_7_TEXT: Any parties that you would absolutely not vote for 10			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
peoples party (maxime Bernier)		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
peoples party w/ Bernier		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
qubec		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
the block		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
the nationalist party		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
those small racist ones		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
trudeau		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_fed_gov_sat: Satisfaction with the performance of the federal government			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	How satisfied are you with the performance of the federal government under Justin Trudeau?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	2890	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
2	Fairly satisfied	13215	<div><div></div></div> 34.9%
3	Not very satisfied	9943	<div><div></div></div> 26.3%
4	Not at all satisfied	11100	<div><div></div></div> 29.3%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	674	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_party_rating_23: How do you feel about the Liberal Party			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=35176 /-] [Invalid=2646 /-] [Mean=48.362 /-] [StdDev=33.28 /-]		
Literal question	How do you feel about the federal political parties below? Set the slider to a number from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislike the party and 100 means you really like the party.		
# cps19_party_rating_24: How do you feel about the Conservative Party			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=35022 /-] [Invalid=2800 /-] [Mean=43.612 /-] [StdDev=33.949 /-]		
# cps19_party_rating_25: How do you feel about the NDP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=33962 /-] [Invalid=3860 /-] [Mean=50.033 /-] [StdDev=29.395 /-]		
# cps19_party_rating_26: How do you feel about the Bloc Quebecois			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=33916 /-] [Invalid=3906 /-] [Mean=23.321 /-] [StdDev=27.31 /-]		
# cps19_party_rating_27: How do you feel about the Green Party			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=33136 /-] [Invalid=4686 /-] [Mean=47.459 /-] [StdDev=28.995 /-]		
# cps19_party_rating_28: How do you feel about the People's Party			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]		



<b># cps19_party_rating_28: How do you feel about the People's Party</b>	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=32725 /-] [Invalid=5097 /-] [Mean=25.801 /-] [StdDev=26.549 /-]
<b># cps19_lead_rating_23: How do you feel about Justin Trudeau</b>	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=35406 /-] [Invalid=2416 /-] [Mean=44.867 /-] [StdDev=34.546 /-]
Literal question	How do you feel about the federal party leaders below? Set the slider to a number from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislike the leader and 100 means you really like the leader.
<b># cps19_lead_rating_24: How do you feel about Andrew Scheer</b>	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=34054 /-] [Invalid=3768 /-] [Mean=39.516 /-] [StdDev=33.074 /-]
<b># cps19_lead_rating_25: How do you feel about Jagmeet Singh</b>	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=33310 /-] [Invalid=4512 /-] [Mean=50.372 /-] [StdDev=29.86 /-]
<b># cps19_lead_rating_26: How do you feel about Yves-Francois Blanchet</b>	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31898 /-] [Invalid=5924 /-] [Mean=27.781 /-] [StdDev=27.373 /-]
<b># cps19_lead_rating_27: How do you feel about Elizabeth May</b>	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=32227 /-] [Invalid=5595 /-] [Mean=46.861 /-] [StdDev=28.763 /-]
<b># cps19_lead_rating_28: How do you feel about Maxime Bernier</b>	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=32418 /-] [Invalid=5404 /-] [Mean=26.133 /-] [StdDev=26.431 /-]
<b># cps19_cand_rating_23: How do you feel about your local riding candidate - Liberal candidate</b>	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=29331 /-] [Invalid=8491 /-] [Mean=47.406 /-] [StdDev=32.72 /-]
Literal question	How do you feel about the candidates in your local riding? Set the slider to a number from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislike the candidate and 100 means you really like the candidate
<b># cps19_cand_rating_24: How do you feel about your local riding candidate - Conservative candid</b>	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=29106 /-] [Invalid=8716 /-] [Mean=44.324 /-] [StdDev=33.17 /-]
<b># cps19_cand_rating_25: How do you feel about your local riding candidate - NDP candidate</b>	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27680 /-] [Invalid=10142 /-] [Mean=43.959 /-] [StdDev=29.839 /-]
<b># cps19_cand_rating_26: How do you feel about your local riding candidate - Bloc Quebecois</b>	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6287 /-] [Invalid=31535 /-] [Mean=42.98 /-] [StdDev=32.373 /-]
<b># cps19_cand_rating_27: How do you feel about your local riding candidate - Green candidate</b>	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26886 /-] [Invalid=10936 /-] [Mean=39.569 /-] [StdDev=28.573 /-]

# cps19_cand_rating_28: How do you feel about your local riding candidate - People's Party			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27094 /-] [Invalid=10728 /-] [Mean=25.373 /-] [StdDev=25.984 /-]		
# cps19_lr_scale_bef_1: Where would you place yourself on the political spectrum			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14503 /-] [Invalid=23319 /-] [Mean=5.095 /-] [StdDev=2.423 /-]		
Literal question	In politics, people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place yourself on this scale?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		571	<div></div> 3.9%
1		558	<div></div> 3.8%
2		1110	<div></div> 7.7%
3		1577	<div></div> 10.9%
4		1516	<div></div> 10.5%
5		3111	<div></div> 21.5%
6		1790	<div></div> 12.3%
7		1864	<div></div> 12.9%
8		1274	<div></div> 8.8%
9		529	<div></div> 3.6%
10		603	<div></div> 4.2%
Sysmiss		23319	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lr_parties_1: Where would you place the federal political parties - Liberal Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27743 /-] [Invalid=10079 /-] [Mean=4.271 /-] [StdDev=2.79 /-]		
Literal question	In politics, people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place the federal political parties on a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3580	<div></div> 12.9%
1		1715	<div></div> 6.2%
2		2412	<div></div> 8.7%
3		3350	<div></div> 12.1%
4		4219	<div></div> 15.2%
5		3613	<div></div> 13.0%
6		2510	<div></div> 9.0%
7		2229	<div></div> 8.0%
8		1889	<div></div> 6.8%
9		1080	<div></div> 3.9%
10		1146	<div></div> 4.1%
Sysmiss		10079	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lr_parties_2: Where would you place the federal political parties - Conservative Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=28210 /-] [Invalid=9612 /-] [Mean=6.9 /-] [StdDev=2.789 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1388	<div></div> 4.9%

### # cps19\_lr\_parties\_2: Where would you place the federal political parties - Conservative Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		653	2.3%
2		845	3.0%
3		1045	3.7%
4		1225	4.3%
5		2000	7.1%
6		2361	8.4%
7		4014	14.2%
8		5388	19.1%
9		4000	14.2%
10		5291	18.8%
Sysmiss		9612	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps19\_lr\_parties\_3: Where would you place the federal political parties - NDP

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=27351 /-] [Invalid=10471 /-] [Mean=3.55 /-] [StdDev=2.553 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3549	13.0%
1		2796	10.2%
2		4187	15.3%
3		4442	16.2%
4		3429	12.5%
5		2992	10.9%
6		1910	7.0%
7		1696	6.2%
8		1143	4.2%
9		630	2.3%
10		577	2.1%
Sysmiss		10471	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps19\_lr\_parties\_4: Where would you place the federal political parties - Bloc Quebecois

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=25445 /-] [Invalid=12377 /-] [Mean=4.577 /-] [StdDev=2.965 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3353	13.2%
1		1464	5.8%
2		1980	7.8%
3		2568	10.1%
4		2855	11.2%
5		3834	15.1%
6		2344	9.2%
7		2321	9.1%
8		1838	7.2%
9		1145	4.5%
10		1743	6.9%

# cps19_lr_parties_4: Where would you place the federal political parties - Bloc Quebecois			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		12377	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lr_parties_5: Where would you place the federal political parties - Green Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26639 /-] [Invalid=11183 /-] [Mean=3.53 /-] [StdDev=2.527 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3696	<div></div> 13.9%
1		2692	<div></div> 10.1%
2		3709	<div></div> 13.9%
3		4078	<div></div> 15.3%
4		3505	<div></div> 13.2%
5		3370	<div></div> 12.7%
6		1962	<div></div> 7.4%
7		1541	<div></div> 5.8%
8		1033	<div></div> 3.9%
9		530	<div></div> 2.0%
10		523	<div></div> 2.0%
Sysmiss		11183	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lr_parties_6: Where would you place the federal political parties - People's Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=25781 /-] [Invalid=12041 /-] [Mean=5.947 /-] [StdDev=3.315 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2344	<div></div> 9.1%
1		1022	<div></div> 4.0%
2		1434	<div></div> 5.6%
3		1780	<div></div> 6.9%
4		1914	<div></div> 7.4%
5		3330	<div></div> 12.9%
6		1897	<div></div> 7.4%
7		1979	<div></div> 7.7%
8		1956	<div></div> 7.6%
9		2338	<div></div> 9.1%
10		5787	<div></div> 22.4%
Sysmiss		12041	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lr_scale_aft_1: Where would you place yourself on the political spectrum			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14833 /-] [Invalid=22989 /-] [Mean=5.25 /-] [StdDev=2.476 /-]		
Literal question	In politics, people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place yourself on this scale?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		539	<div></div> 3.6%
1		517	<div></div> 3.5%

# cps19_lr_scale_aft_1: Where would you place yourself on the political spectrum			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		1139	<div></div> 7.7%
3		1668	<div></div> 11.2%
4		1516	<div></div> 10.2%
5		2507	<div></div> 16.9%
6		2040	<div></div> 13.8%
7		2079	<div></div> 14.0%
8		1489	<div></div> 10.0%
9		615	<div></div> 4.1%
10		724	<div></div> 4.9%
Sysmiss		22989	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lead_int_113: Which party leader(s) below do you think are intelligent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16503 /-] [Invalid=21319 /-]		
Literal question	Which party leader(s) below do you think is/are intelligent?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Justin Trudeau	16503	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		21319	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lead_int_114: Which party leader(s) below do you think are intelligent 2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13434 /-] [Invalid=24388 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Andrew Scheer	13434	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		24388	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lead_int_115: Which party leader(s) below do you think are intelligent 3			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19356 /-] [Invalid=18466 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Jagmeet Singh	19356	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		18466	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lead_int_116: Which party leader(s) below do you think are intelligent 4			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6703 /-] [Invalid=31119 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yves-Fran	6703	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		31119	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lead_int_117: Which party leader(s) below do you think are intelligent 5			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		

# cps19_lead_int_117: Which party leader(s) below do you think are intelligent 5			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=15388 /-] [Invalid=22434 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Elizabeth May	15388	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		22434	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lead_int_118: Which party leader(s) below do you think are intelligent 6			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6298 /-] [Invalid=31524 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Maxime Bernier	6298	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		31524	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lead_int_119: Which party leader(s) below do you think are intelligent 7			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2635 /-] [Invalid=35187 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None of these	2635	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		35187	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lead_int_120: Which party leader(s) below do you think are intelligent 8			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4116 /-] [Invalid=33706 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	4116	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		33706	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lead_strong_113: Which party leader(s) do you think provide strong leadership			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=13310 /-] [Invalid=24512 /-]	
Literal question		Which party leader(s) below do you think provide(s) strong leadership?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Justin Trudeau	13310	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		24512	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lead_strong_114: Which party leader(s) do you think provide strong leadership 2			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Andrew Scheer	10340	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# cps19_lead_strong_115: Which party leader(s) do you think provide strong leadership 3			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=11417 /-] [Invalid=26405 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Jagmeet Singh	11417	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		26405	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cps19_lead_strong_116: Which party leader(s) do you think provide strong leadership 4			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=3718 /-] [Invalid=34104 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yves-Fran	3718	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		34104	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cps19_lead_strong_117: Which party leader(s) do you think provide strong leadership 5			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=7994 /-] [Invalid=29828 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Elizabeth May	7994	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		29828	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cps19_lead_strong_118: Which party leader(s) do you think provide strong leadership 6			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=3499 /-] [Invalid=34323 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Maxime Bernier	3499	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		34323	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# cps19_lead_strong_119: Which party leader(s) do you think provide strong leadership 7			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4871 /-] [Invalid=32951 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None of these	4871	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		32951	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lead_strong_120: Which party leader(s) do you think provide strong leadership 8			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4382 /-] [Invalid=33440 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	4382	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		33440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lead_trust_113: Which party leader(s) are trustworthy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8919 /-] [Invalid=28903 /-]		
Literal question	Which party leader(s) below do you think is/are trustworthy?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Justin Trudeau	8919	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		28903	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lead_trust_114: Which party leader(s) are trustworthy 2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7806 /-] [Invalid=30016 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Andrew Scheer	7806	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		30016	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lead_trust_115: Which party leader(s) are trustworthy 3			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12627 /-] [Invalid=25195 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Jagmeet Singh	12627	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		25195	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lead_trust_116: Which party leader(s) are trustworthy 4			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3345 /-] [Invalid=34477 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yves-Fran	3345	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		34477	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			





















# cps19_lead_trust_117: Which party leader(s) are trustworthy 5			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11226 /-] [Invalid=26596 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Elizabeth May	11226	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		26596	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lead_trust_118: Which party leader(s) are trustworthy 6			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2412 /-] [Invalid=35410 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Maxime Bernier	2412	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		35410	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lead_trust_119: Which party leader(s) are trustworthy 7			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7322 /-] [Invalid=30500 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None of these	7322	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		30500	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lead_trust_120: Which party leader(s) are trustworthy 8			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4517 /-] [Invalid=33305 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	4517	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		33305	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lead_cares_113: Which party leader(s) do you think care(s) about people like you			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10194 /-] [Invalid=27628 /-]		
Literal question	Which party leader(s) below do you think really care(s) about people like you?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Justin Trudeau	10194	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		27628	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lead_cares_114: Which party leader(s) do you think care(s) about people like you 2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7931 /-] [Invalid=29891 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Andrew Scheer	7931	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		29891	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# cps19_lead_cares_115: Which party leader(s) do you think care(s) about people like you 3			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13253 /-] [Invalid=24569 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Jagmeet Singh	13253	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		24569	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lead_cares_116: Which party leader(s) do you think care(s) about people like you 4			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2887 /-] [Invalid=34935 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yves-Fran	2887	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		34935	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lead_cares_117: Which party leader(s) do you think care(s) about people like you 5			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10414 /-] [Invalid=27408 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Elizabeth May	10414	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		27408	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lead_cares_118: Which party leader(s) do you think care(s) about people like you 6			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2527 /-] [Invalid=35295 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Maxime Bernier	2527	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		35295	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lead_cares_119: Which party leader(s) do you think care(s) about people like you 7			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7008 /-] [Invalid=30814 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None of these	7008	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		30814	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lead_cares_120: Which party leader(s) do you think care(s) about people like you 8			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4088 /-] [Invalid=33734 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	4088	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		33734	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# cps19_spend_educ: How much should the federal government spend on education				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Literal question	How much should the federal government spend on education?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Spend less	1297	<div><div></div></div>	3.4%
2	Spend about the same as now	10634	<div><div></div></div>	28.1%
3	Spend more	25002	<div><div></div></div>	66.1%
4	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	889	<div><div></div></div>	2.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# cps19_spend_env: How much should the federal government spend on the environment				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Literal question	How much should the federal government spend on the environment?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Spend less	3240	<div><div></div></div>	8.6%
2	Spend about the same as now	10098	<div><div></div></div>	26.7%
3	Spend more	23515	<div><div></div></div>	62.2%
4	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	969	<div><div></div></div>	2.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# cps19_spend_just_law: How much should the federal government spend on Field-justice law				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Literal question	How much should the federal government spend on justice and law?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Spend less	2857	<div><div></div></div>	7.6%
2	Spend about the same as now	18553	<div><div></div></div>	49.1%
3	Spend more	14908	<div><div></div></div>	39.4%
4	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	1504	<div><div></div></div>	4.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# cps19_spend_defence: How much should the federal government spend on defence				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Literal question	How much should the federal government spend on defence?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Spend less	7579	<div><div></div></div>	20.0%
2	Spend about the same as now	19257	<div><div></div></div>	50.9%
3	Spend more	8987	<div><div></div></div>	23.8%
4	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	1999	<div><div></div></div>	5.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# cps19_spend_imm_min: How much should the federal government spend on immigrants and minorities				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			

# cps19_spend_imm_min: How much should the federal government spend on immigrants and minorities			
<b>Literal question</b>		How much should the federal government spend on immigrants and minorities?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Spend less	15710	<div></div> 41.5%
2	Spend about the same as now	14886	<div></div> 39.4%
3	Spend more	5849	<div></div> 15.5%
4	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	1377	<div></div> 3.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cps19_pos_fptp: Canada should change its electoral system			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=12634 /-] [Invalid=25188 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		Canada should change its electoral system from “First Past the Post” to a “proportional representation” system.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	896	<div></div> 7.1%
2	Somewhat disagree	1021	<div></div> 8.1%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	3185	<div></div> 25.2%
4	Somewhat agree	3031	<div></div> 24.0%
5	Strongly agree	2148	<div></div> 17.0%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	2353	<div></div> 18.6%
Sysmiss		25188	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cps19_pos_life: Individuals who are terminally ill should be allowed to end their lives			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=12636 /-] [Invalid=25186 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		Individuals who are terminally ill should be allowed to end their lives with the assistance of a doctor.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	814	<div></div> 6.4%
2	Somewhat disagree	580	<div></div> 4.6%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1174	<div></div> 9.3%
4	Somewhat agree	3094	<div></div> 24.5%
5	Strongly agree	6723	<div></div> 53.2%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	251	<div></div> 2.0%
Sysmiss		25186	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cps19_pos_cannabis: Possession of cannabis should be a criminal offence			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=12404 /-] [Invalid=25418 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		Possession of cannabis should be a criminal offence.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	5287	<div></div> 42.6%
2	Somewhat disagree	2077	<div></div> 16.7%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	2045	<div></div> 16.5%
4	Somewhat agree	1296	<div></div> 10.4%
5	Strongly agree	1528	<div></div> 12.3%

# cps19_pos_cannabis: Possession of cannabis should be a criminal offence			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	171	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
Sysmiss		25418	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_pos_carbon: To help reduce greenhouse gas emissions...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12549 /-] [Invalid=25273 /-]		
Literal question	To help reduce greenhouse gas emissions, the federal government should continue the carbon tax.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	2787	<div><div></div></div> 22.2%
2	Somewhat disagree	1823	<div><div></div></div> 14.5%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1825	<div><div></div></div> 14.5%
4	Somewhat agree	2886	<div><div></div></div> 23.0%
5	Strongly agree	2822	<div><div></div></div> 22.5%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	406	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
Sysmiss		25273	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_pos_energy: The federal government should do more to help Canada's energy sector			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12645 /-] [Invalid=25177 /-]		
Literal question	The federal government should do more to help Canada's energy sector, including building oil pipelines.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	1497	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
2	Somewhat disagree	1908	<div><div></div></div> 15.1%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	2558	<div><div></div></div> 20.2%
4	Somewhat agree	3234	<div><div></div></div> 25.6%
5	Strongly agree	3016	<div><div></div></div> 23.9%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	432	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
Sysmiss		25177	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_pos_envreg: Environmental regulation should be stricter			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12617 /-] [Invalid=25205 /-]		
Literal question	Environmental regulation should be stricter, even if it leads to consumers having to pay higher prices.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	1270	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
2	Somewhat disagree	1996	<div><div></div></div> 15.8%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	2169	<div><div></div></div> 17.2%
4	Somewhat agree	4254	<div><div></div></div> 33.7%
5	Strongly agree	2723	<div><div></div></div> 21.6%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	205	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
Sysmiss		25205	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# cps19_pos_jobs: When there is a conflict between protecting the environment and creating jobs...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12819 /-] [Invalid=25003 /-]		
Literal question	When there is a conflict between protecting the environment and creating jobs, jobs should come first.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	1439	 11.2%
2	Somewhat disagree	3404	 26.6%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	2773	 21.6%
4	Somewhat agree	3036	 23.7%
5	Strongly agree	1961	 15.3%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	206	 1.6%
Sysmiss		25003	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_pos_subsid: The federal government should end all corporate and economic subsidiaries...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12433 /-] [Invalid=25389 /-]		
Literal question	The federal government should end all corporate and economic development subsidies.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	856	 6.9%
2	Somewhat disagree	3013	 24.2%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	3583	 28.8%
4	Somewhat agree	2817	 22.7%
5	Strongly agree	1153	 9.3%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	1011	 8.1%
Sysmiss		25389	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_pos_trade: There should be more free trade with other countries			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12729 /-] [Invalid=25093 /-]		
Literal question	There should be more free trade with other countries, even if it hurts some industries in Canada.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	1047	 8.2%
2	Somewhat disagree	3337	 26.2%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	3248	 25.5%
4	Somewhat agree	3446	 27.1%
5	Strongly agree	1148	 9.0%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	503	 4.0%
Sysmiss		25093	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_econ_retro: Over the past year, has Canada's economy:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Over the past year, has Canada's economy:		

# cps19_econ_retro: Over the past year, has Canada's economy:			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Got better	5024	<div><div></div></div> 13.3%
2	Stayed about the same	16774	<div><div></div></div> 44.3%
3	Got worse	14065	<div><div></div></div> 37.2%
4	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	1959	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_econ_fed: Have the policies of the federal government made Canada's economy...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Have the policies of the federal government made Canada's economy...		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	6441	<div><div></div></div> 17.0%
2	Worse	13906	<div><div></div></div> 36.8%
3	Not made much difference	14330	<div><div></div></div> 37.9%
4	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	3145	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_ownfinanc_fed: Have the policies of the federal government made your financial situation...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Have the policies of the federal government made your financial situation...		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	3815	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
2	Worse	13669	<div><div></div></div> 36.1%
3	Not made much difference	18926	<div><div></div></div> 50.0%
4	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	1412	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_issue_handle_1: Which party would do the best at handling each of the following issues 1			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18942 /-] [Invalid=18880 /-]		
Literal question	Which party would do the best job at handling each of the following issues?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal Party	4535	<div><div></div></div> 23.9%
2	Conservative Party	3553	<div><div></div></div> 18.8%
3	ndp	4783	<div><div></div></div> 25.3%
4	Bloc Qu	724	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
5	Green Party	828	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
6	People's Party	501	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
7	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	4018	<div><div></div></div> 21.2%
Sysmiss		18880	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_issue_handle_2: Which party would do the best at handling each of the following issues 2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18942 /-] [Invalid=18880 /-]		

# cps19_issue_handle_2: Which party would do the best at handling each of the following issues 2			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal Party	4870	<div><div></div></div> 25.7%
2	Conservative Party	3595	<div><div></div></div> 19.0%
3	ndp	3861	<div><div></div></div> 20.4%
4	Bloc Qu	820	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
5	Green Party	905	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
6	People's Party	478	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
7	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	4413	<div><div></div></div> 23.3%
Sysmiss		18880	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_issue_handle_3: Which party would do the best at handling each of the following issues 3			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18942 /-] [Invalid=18880 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal Party	2708	<div><div></div></div> 14.3%
2	Conservative Party	2447	<div><div></div></div> 12.9%
3	ndp	1594	<div><div></div></div> 8.4%
4	Bloc Qu	478	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
5	Green Party	8239	<div><div></div></div> 43.5%
6	People's Party	335	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
7	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	3141	<div><div></div></div> 16.6%
Sysmiss		18880	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_issue_handle_4: Which party would do the best at handling each of the following issues 4			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18942 /-] [Invalid=18880 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal Party	4348	<div><div></div></div> 23.0%
2	Conservative Party	5947	<div><div></div></div> 31.4%
3	ndp	1809	<div><div></div></div> 9.6%
4	Bloc Qu	498	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
5	Green Party	466	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
6	People's Party	544	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
7	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	5330	<div><div></div></div> 28.1%
Sysmiss		18880	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_issue_handle_5: Which party would do the best at handling each of the following issues 5			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18942 /-] [Invalid=18880 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal Party	4373	<div><div></div></div> 23.1%
2	Conservative Party	6273	<div><div></div></div> 33.1%
3	ndp	1284	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
4	Bloc Qu	393	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%



# cps19_issue_handle_5: Which party would do the best at handling each of the following issues 5			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Green Party	382	 2.0%
6	People's Party	464	 2.4%
7	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	5773	 30.5%
Sysmiss		18880	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_issue_handle_6: Which party would do the best at handling each of the following issues 6			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18942 /-] [Invalid=18880 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal Party	6317	 33.3%
2	Conservative Party	4425	 23.4%
3	ndp	1818	 9.6%
4	Bloc Qu	430	 2.3%
5	Green Party	544	 2.9%
6	People's Party	421	 2.2%
7	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	4987	 26.3%
Sysmiss		18880	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_issue_handle_7: Which party would do the best at handling each of the following issues 7			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18942 /-] [Invalid=18880 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal Party	5429	 28.7%
2	Conservative Party	3889	 20.5%
3	ndp	3414	 18.0%
4	Bloc Qu	682	 3.6%
5	Green Party	557	 2.9%
6	People's Party	850	 4.5%
7	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	4121	 21.8%
Sysmiss		18880	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_issue_handle_8: Which party would do the best at handling each of the following issues 8			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18942 /-] [Invalid=18880 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal Party	4903	 25.9%
2	Conservative Party	6102	 32.2%
3	ndp	1951	 10.3%
4	Bloc Qu	539	 2.8%
5	Green Party	711	 3.8%
6	People's Party	493	 2.6%
7	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	4243	 22.4%
Sysmiss		18880	

# cps19_issue_handle_8: Which party would do the best at handling each of the following issues 8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>	
# cps19_most_seats_1: [...] how likely is each party to win the most seats [...] - Liberal Party	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30479 /-] [Invalid=7343 /-] [Mean=60.852 /-] [StdDev=24.176 /-]
Literal question	For each of the parties below, how likely is each party to win the most seats in the House of Commons?
# cps19_most_seats_2: [...] how likely is each party to win the most seats [...] - Conservative Party	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30014 /-] [Invalid=7808 /-] [Mean=60.722 /-] [StdDev=22.364 /-]
# cps19_most_seats_3: [...] how likely is each party to win the most seats [...] - NDP	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30206 /-] [Invalid=7616 /-] [Mean=35.763 /-] [StdDev=26.082 /-]
# cps19_most_seats_4: [...] how likely is each party to win the most seats [...] - Bloc Quebecois	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30273 /-] [Invalid=7549 /-] [Mean=17.986 /-] [StdDev=21.983 /-]
# cps19_most_seats_5: [...] how likely is each party to win the most seats [...] - Green Party	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30346 /-] [Invalid=7476 /-] [Mean=21.947 /-] [StdDev=23.44 /-]
# cps19_most_seats_6: [...] how likely is each party to win the most seats [...] - People's Party	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30166 /-] [Invalid=7656 /-] [Mean=16.204 /-] [StdDev=21.843 /-]
# cps19_win_local_1: [...] how likely [...] win the seat in your own local riding? - Liberal Party	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=29532 /-] [Invalid=8290 /-] [Mean=54.276 /-] [StdDev=31.57 /-]
Literal question	For each of the parties below, how likely is each party to win the seat in your own local riding?

# cps19_win_local_2: [...] how likely [...] win the seat in your own local riding? - Conservative Par			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=29089 /-] [Invalid=8733 /-] [Mean=57.707 /-] [StdDev=30.945 /-]		
# cps19_win_local_3: [...] how likely [...] win the seat in your own local riding? - NDP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=28414 /-] [Invalid=9408 /-] [Mean=37.637 /-] [StdDev=30.372 /-]		
# cps19_win_local_4: [...] how likely [...] win the seat in your own local riding? - Bloc Quebecois			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5998 /-] [Invalid=31824 /-] [Mean=47.223 /-] [StdDev=32.635 /-]		
# cps19_win_local_5: [...] how likely [...] win the seat in your own local riding? - Green Party			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=28170 /-] [Invalid=9652 /-] [Mean=23.179 /-] [StdDev=26.098 /-]		
# cps19_win_local_6: [...] how likely [...] win the seat in your own local riding? - People's Party			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=28063 /-] [Invalid=9759 /-] [Mean=16.041 /-] [StdDev=23.104 /-]		
# cps19_outcome_most: Which election outcome would you most prefer? - Selected Choice			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8-15] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18736 /-] [Invalid=19086 /-]		
Literal question	Which election outcome would you most prefer?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Liberal majority	4304	<div><div></div></div> 23.0%
9	Conservative majority	4495	<div><div></div></div> 24.0%
10	NDP majority	2323	<div><div></div></div> 12.4%
11	Liberal minority	2805	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
12	Conservative minority	1610	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
13	NDP minority	828	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
14	Other government	559	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
15	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	1812	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
Sysmiss		19086	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_outcome_most_14_TEXT: Which election outcome would you most prefer? - Other government			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=559 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4 way tie! Then real government in the interest of Canadians, not politicians, will happen		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
A green majority, although extremely unlikely		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%

# **# cps19\_outcome\_most\_14\_TEXT: Which election outcome would you most prefer? - Other government**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
A green minority, or majority, would be as devastating as a Trump majority down south. What the hell, blow it up, all of it.		1	0.2%
Alien takeover because humans obviously can't handle the responsibility		1	0.2%
All candidates this year are terrible.		1	0.2%
Anarchy		1	0.2%
Any anglophone party		1	0.2%
Any minority		1	0.2%
Any of the realistic possibilities are going to be a mess		1	0.2%
Any of them. As long as they address the issues stated.		1	0.2%
Anyone but Liberal.		1	0.2%
Aucun		1	0.2%
Aucune de ces réponses		1	0.2%
Autre parti		1	0.2%
BLOC		1	0.2%
BLOC QUEBECOIS		1	0.2%
BLOC QUÉBÉCOIS		2	0.4%
Blague c		1	0.2%
Bloc		6	1.1%
Bloc QC		1	0.2%
Bloc Quebecois		1	0.2%
Bloc Québécois		3	0.5%
Bloc quebecois		1	0.2%
Bloc quebequois		1	0.2%
Bloc québécois		2	0.4%
Bloc québécois majoritaire		1	0.2%
Bloc séparation		1	0.2%
Blon Québécois		1	0.2%
Bombe		1	0.2%

# **# cps19\_outcome\_most\_14\_TEXT: Which election outcome would you most prefer? - Other government**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
CHRISTIAN HERITAGE PARTY		1	0.2%
CNP		1	0.2%
Canada Heritage Party		1	0.2%
Chp		1	0.2%
Christian Heritage		3	0.5%
Christian Heritage Party		2	0.4%
Christian Heritage Party Majority!		1	0.2%
Christian heritage party		2	0.4%
Coalition government of Liberal/Green/NDP		1	0.2%
Coalition		3	0.5%
Coalition NPD-Vert-Bloc		1	0.2%
Coalition government of Liberal, NDP and more left leaning parties		1	0.2%
Coalition minority		1	0.2%
Communist		1	0.2%
Conservative minority with a joining of like minded parties		1	0.2%
DIVERSITY		1	0.2%
DOES NOT MATTER		1	0.2%
Davis majority		1	0.2%
Democrats		1	0.2%
Diaree		1	0.2%
Du changement mais ça n'arrivera pas		1	0.2%
Either CPC PPC majority		1	0.2%
Either Green or PPC minority		1	0.2%
Fire them all and Restructure The Government as they are all too comfortable at doing their own laundry and We		1	0.2%

## # cps19\_outcome\_most\_14\_TEXT: Which election outcome would you most prefer? - Other government

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
as canadian are lied to			
GREEN		4	0.7%
GREEN LEAF PLEASE		1	0.2%
GREEN MAJORITY		3	0.5%
GREEN MINORITY		1	0.2%
GREEN PARTY		2	0.4%
GREEN!!!!		1	0.2%
Get Along please		1	0.2%
God's government		1	0.2%
Government for the people.		1	0.2%
Gree Party		1	0.2%
Greem Party		1	0.2%
Green		44	7.9%
Green & NDP coalition		1	0.2%
Green Government		1	0.2%
Green Majoirity		1	0.2%
Green Majority		16	2.9%
Green Majority :)		1	0.2%
Green Minority		5	0.9%
Green Party		23	4.1%
Green Party Majority		5	0.9%
Green Party Minority		1	0.2%
Green Party Wins		1	0.2%
Green Party majority		9	1.6%
Green Party minority		3	0.5%
Green Party with enough seats to hold the balance of power.		1	0.2%
Green Party.		1	0.2%
Green and Liberal equal		1	0.2%
Green majoritu		1	0.2%
Green majority		35	6.3%
Green majority with Peoples minority		1	0.2%

# **# cps19\_outcome\_most\_14\_TEXT: Which election outcome would you most prefer? - Other government**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Green majoriyu		1	0.2%
Green majorly		1	0.2%
Green minority		21	3.8%
Green minority conservative majority		1	0.2%
Green minority government		1	0.2%
Green minority with NDP as opposition		1	0.2%
Green oarty		1	0.2%
Green or People or Me		1	0.2%
Green pa		1	0.2%
Green party		14	2.5%
Green party majority		6	1.1%
Green party minority		3	0.5%
Green/NDP Coalition		1	0.2%
Greens		2	0.4%
I dislike all of them		1	0.2%
I would like a slight conservative majority with NDP minority where they work together. We accomplish nothing with this constant bickering, to the detriment of our country and to ourselves. This is beyond ridiculous.		1	0.2%
INDEPENDENT		1	0.2%
Il n'existe pas		1	0.2%
Independent		1	0.2%
Independent majority		1	0.2%
Liberal & NDP Opposition		1	0.2%
Liberal - NDP coalition		1	0.2%
Liberal NDP coalition		1	0.2%
Liberal NDP coalition - liberals are		1	0.2%

# **# cps19\_outcome\_most\_14\_TEXT: Which election outcome would you most prefer? - Other government**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
trying to make the right happy and you can't make racist climate deniers happy with compromise			
Liberal/NDP coalition		1	0.2%
Liberal/NDP/Green coalition		1	0.2%
Libertarian		1	0.2%
Libertarian majority		2	0.4%
Majoritairement parti vert		1	0.2%
Majority Green		1	0.2%
Majorité Bloc		1	0.2%
Majorité Bloc québécois		2	0.4%
Majorité Bloc!		1	0.2%
Majorité PPC		1	0.2%
Majorité Parti Populaire		1	0.2%
Majorité Parti Vert		2	0.4%
Majorité Verte		1	0.2%
Majorité parti vert		1	0.2%
Majorité vert		2	0.4%
Majorité verte		2	0.4%
Minoritaire Bloc		1	0.2%
Minority with green holding the balance of power		1	0.2%
Minorité Bloc Québécois		1	0.2%
Minorité bloc québécois		1	0.2%
NDP Green Coalition		1	0.2%
NDP and Green Minority		1	0.2%
NDP minority with conservative second largest		1	0.2%
NEED A CHANGE..		1	0.2%
NONE		1	0.2%
Native Odawa Support		1	0.2%



# **# cps19\_outcome\_most\_14\_TEXT: Which election outcome would you most prefer? - Other government**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Native treatie raise		1	0.2%
New		1	0.2%
No government		1	0.2%
No government? True democracy		1	0.2%
No majority		2	0.4%
Non party		1	0.2%
None		2	0.4%
None of them really make any good choices		1	0.2%
None.		1	0.2%
One in which the NDP, Liberals and the conservative rul all together		1	0.2%
One that is honest		1	0.2%
PARTI VERT		1	0.2%
PEOPLES PARTY		2	0.4%
PPC		7	1.3%
PPC MAJORITY		1	0.2%
PPC Majority		3	0.5%
PPC Minority		1	0.2%
PPC majority		5	0.9%
PPC majority Conservative minority		1	0.2%
PPC majority, I'm sick of the mentally ill money junkies in banks, governments and corporations		1	0.2%
PPC or bust		1	0.2%
PPC, but realistically Conservative		1	0.2%
Parti Québécois		1	0.2%
Parti Vert		1	0.2%
Parti vert		3	0.5%
Partie Québécois		1	0.2%
Partie rhinocéros		1	0.2%
Partie vert		1	0.2%
Party made from honest people genuinely		1	0.2%

# **# cps19\_outcome\_most\_14\_TEXT: Which election outcome would you most prefer? - Other government**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
representing people			
Pas		1	0.2%
People Party		1	0.2%
People party majority		1	0.2%
People party minority		1	0.2%
People party of canada		1	0.2%
People s party		1	0.2%
People's Majority		1	0.2%
People's Part majority		1	0.2%
People's Party		6	1.1%
People's Party Majority		1	0.2%
People's Party Minority		1	0.2%
People's Party majority		3	0.5%
People's Party minority		1	0.2%
People's Party of Canada majority		1	0.2%
People's minority		1	0.2%
People's party		1	0.2%
People's party majority		3	0.5%
People's party or Green party		1	0.2%
Peoples Party		6	1.1%
Peoples Party Majority		1	0.2%
Peoples Party majority		1	0.2%
Peoples alliance		1	0.2%
Peoples party		7	1.3%
Peoples party majority		2	0.4%
Peoples party minority		1	0.2%
Ppc		4	0.7%
Ppc minority		1	0.2%
Pàrti populaire		1	0.2%
Quebequoi		1	0.2%
Québécois		1	0.2%
Since none are going to win even a minority		1	0.2%

# **# cps19\_outcome\_most\_14\_TEXT: Which election outcome would you most prefer? - Other government**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
and a coalition government might form who knows.			
Socialist		1	0.2%
Someone who is competent and kind		1	0.2%
Someone who will care about people and society of this country,rather making a few bucks		1	0.2%
Spiritual Majority		1	0.2%
Spiritual Party		1	0.2%
Split dissension		1	0.2%
Surtout pas libéral. Alors Québécois !!		1	0.2%
THE PEOPLE WE CANT LIE TO OUR SELF		1	0.2%
The people		1	0.2%
The system needs to change. It's not that these people are idiots...but democracy is broken in the face of this current environment of capitalist vs social issue/ pressure group polarization. No one party has the answers nor the capacity to actually gener		1	0.2%
Theocracies		1	0.2%
They are all crooks		1	0.2%
Time for a change other than the big parties.		1	0.2%
Total change		1	0.2%
Total overhaul of our broken political system		1	0.2%
Un gouvernement de coalition		1	0.2%

# **# cps19\_outcome\_most\_14\_TEXT: Which election outcome would you most prefer? - Other government**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
VERT		1	0.2%
Vert		4	0.7%
all the same		1	0.2%
an honest politician (very very hard to find)		1	0.2%
anything except liberal		1	0.2%
aucun		1	0.2%
bloc		1	0.2%
bloc majoritaire		1	0.2%
bloc quebecois		2	0.4%
bloc québecquoi		1	0.2%
coalition npd bloc		1	0.2%
coalition		1	0.2%
coalition of NDP, Bloc, Green, and possibly Liberal		1	0.2%
elect only alsorans,etc		1	0.2%
equal from all		1	0.2%
god knows		1	0.2%
green		13	2.3%
green but won't happen yet		1	0.2%
green holding the balance of power		1	0.2%
green majority		11	2.0%
green minority		2	0.4%
green partty		1	0.2%
green party		12	2.1%
green party majority		2	0.4%
green party minority...may is the only leader worth having...do not care for any of the other party leaders		1	0.2%
green-liberal coalition		1	0.2%
green... drastic, but it's now or never. I am fully aware that this won't happen because people care about their		1	0.2%

# **# cps19\_outcome\_most\_14\_TEXT: Which election outcome would you most prefer? - Other government**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
own pockets more than the human species as a whole.			
honest politicians		1	0.2%
independant		1	0.2%
independent		1	0.2%
indépendant		1	0.2%
la destruction de l'État canadien après ue révolution prolétarienne mondiale et l'extinction de l'État après la dissolution de la loi de la valeur dans les rapports sociaux de production et la destructions des classes sociales. Dur à classer, non ?		1	0.2%
liberal/ndp/green mix		1	0.2%
libertarian		2	0.4%
mais je ne sais pas qui		1	0.2%
majoritaire bloc quebecois		1	0.2%
majorité ppc		1	0.2%
majorité bloc		1	0.2%
majorité vert		2	0.4%
majorié bloc quebecois		1	0.2%
maxime		1	0.2%
minorité Bloc		1	0.2%
minorité NPD		1	0.2%
ndp minority and green		1	0.2%
new elections with new leaders		1	0.2%
no clear majority		1	0.2%
none		1	0.2%
none of these		1	0.2%
one for the people truly		1	0.2%
parti populaire		1	0.2%
parti populaire en minorité		1	0.2%
parti vert		2	0.4%

### # cps19\_outcome\_most\_14\_TEXT: Which election outcome would you most prefer? - Other government

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
parti vert majoritaire		1	0.2%
partie vert		1	0.2%
people's party majority		1	0.2%
peoples		1	0.2%
peoples party		2	0.4%
peoples party majority		1	0.2%
pink		1	0.2%
populaire		1	0.2%
ppc		1	0.2%
ppc majority		2	0.4%
tIRED OF ONLY 2 REAL OPTONS ARE 1 OR C		1	0.2%
the truth		1	0.2%
western separation		1	0.2%
which ever government is good for canada		1	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps193: Which election outcome would you most prefer? - Other government

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Which election outcome would you least prefer?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
ate beneficial change for the average citizen. Canadian politics are a joke at best and the dearth of actual positive choices in this election in particular are perfectly exemplary of that.		1	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps19\_outcome\_least: Which election outcome would you least prefer? - Selected Choice

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8-15] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18424 /-] [Invalid=19398 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Liberal majority	5381	29.2%
9	Conservative majority	7163	38.9%

### # cps19\_outcome\_least: Which election outcome would you least prefer? - Selected Choice

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	NDP majority	1756	9.5%
11	Liberal minority	1216	6.6%
12	Conservative minority	986	5.4%
13	NDP minority	515	2.8%
14	Other government	183	1.0%
15	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	1224	6.6%
Sysmiss		19398	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps19\_outcome\_least\_14\_TEXT: Which election outcome would you least prefer? - Other government

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=183 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
All of the above		1	0.5%
All of the current ones		1	0.5%
Any block or green or peoples minority or ANY majority		1	0.5%
Any government should always be in the best interest of everyone who lives here in Canada		1	0.5%
Any human		1	0.5%
Any majority		1	0.5%
Any majority would be bad		1	0.5%
Any minority		1	0.5%
Any other party as a majority		1	0.5%
Anything on this list		1	0.5%
BLOC MAJORITY		1	0.5%
BQ or PP as majority or minority		1	0.5%
Bloc		13	7.1%
Bloc Q		1	0.5%
Bloc Quebecous		1	0.5%
Bloc Quebec		1	0.5%
Bloc Quebecois		4	2.2%
Bloc Quebecois majority		2	1.1%
Bloc Quebecois, minority or majority.		1	0.5%

# **# cps19\_outcome\_least\_14\_TEXT: Which election outcome would you least prefer? - Other government**

































Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Bloc Québécois		1	0.5%
Bloc Québécois		4	2.2%
Bloc majority		2	1.1%
Bloc quebecois		1	0.5%
Bloc quebeqois majority		1	0.5%
Bloc québécois		1	0.5%
Bloc québécoixs		1	0.5%
Bloc/peoples party		1	0.5%
Block		1	0.5%
Block Majority		1	0.5%
Block québécois majority		1	0.5%
Both Liberal and Conservative majorities		1	0.5%
Coalition		1	0.5%
Conservatives		1	0.5%
GREEN PARTY		1	0.5%
Green		9	4.9%
Green Party		5	2.7%
Green Party Majority		1	0.5%
Green Party or Bloc Majority		1	0.5%
Green majority		5	2.7%
Green minority		1	0.5%
Green party		4	2.2%
King Trudeau and his bunch wins again OUCH!!!		1	0.5%
Le cave à Bernier		1	0.5%
Liberal NDP Coalition		2	1.1%
Liberal majority		1	0.5%
Liberal or Conservative majority equally		1	0.5%
Liberal/NDP Coalition		1	0.5%
Majorité populaire		1	0.5%
Majorité vert ou populaire		1	0.5%
Minority		1	0.5%
NDP/Liberal coalition		1	0.5%
NPD		1	0.5%



## # cps19\_outcome\_least\_14\_TEXT: Which election outcome would you least prefer? - Other government

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
None of the above		1	0.5%
PPC		4	2.2%
PPC Majority		1	0.5%
PPC Majority/ Minority		1	0.5%
PPC majority		1	0.5%
Pari quebecois majority		1	0.5%
Parti Vert		1	0.5%
Parti populaire		2	1.1%
Partie québécois		1	0.5%
People		1	0.5%
People party		1	0.5%
People party of Canada		1	0.5%
People party of canada		1	0.5%
People's		2	1.1%
People's Majority		2	1.1%
People's Party		2	1.1%
People's Party Majority		4	2.2%
People's Party government		1	0.5%
People's Party majority or minority		1	0.5%
People's majority		1	0.5%
People's party		3	1.6%
People's party majority		3	1.6%
Peoples		2	1.1%
Peoples majority		2	1.1%
Peoples party		1	0.5%
Peoples party win		1	0.5%
Peoplw's Party Majority		1	0.5%
Polictics		1	0.5%
Quebec		1	0.5%
Quebec leaving Canada		1	0.5%
Quebecoi		1	0.5%
Quebecqois		1	0.5%
Quebequos		1	0.5%
Québécois		1	0.5%
Same one		1	0.5%

# **# cps19\_outcome\_least\_14\_TEXT: Which election outcome would you least prefer? - Other government**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Spilt		1	 0.5%
They are all crooks		1	 0.5%
Trustful		1	 0.5%
an alliance		1	 0.5%
any other party		1	 0.5%
bloc		4	 2.2%
bloc quebec		1	 0.5%
bloc quebecois		4	 2.2%
bloque quebecois		1	 0.5%
coalitiin		1	 0.5%
green		1	 0.5%
green majority		1	 0.5%
green party		3	 1.6%
green party majority		1	 0.5%
liberal minority		1	 0.5%
libertarien		1	 0.5%
majorité parti populaire		1	 0.5%
marijuana party		1	 0.5%
ndp majority		1	 0.5%
ndp or green majority		1	 0.5%
oNE IS AS BAD AS THE OTHER		1	 0.5%
parti populaire		1	 0.5%
people party		1	 0.5%
people's party minority		1	 0.5%
peoples part		1	 0.5%
peoples party majority		1	 0.5%
quebec		1	 0.5%
quebeceur		1	 0.5%
quebecois		1	 0.5%
that punk that bernier to get into power. guy is a useless piece of shit		1	 0.5%
tous j aime pas la politique		1	 0.5%
vert		1	 0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## **# cps19\_imm: Do you think Canada should admit:**

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=\*]

# cps19_imm: Do you think Canada should admit:			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think Canada should admit:		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More immigrants	6126	<div></div> 16.2%
2	Fewer immigrants	14954	<div></div> 39.5%
3	About the same number of immigrants as now	14938	<div></div> 39.5%
4	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	1804	<div></div> 4.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_refugees: Do you think Canada should admit:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think Canada should admit:		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More refugees	5231	<div></div> 13.8%
2	Fewer refugees	16879	<div></div> 44.6%
3	About the same number of refugees as now	13635	<div></div> 36.1%
4	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	2077	<div></div> 5.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_govt_confusing: Politics and government seem so complicated at times			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Sometimes, politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	5027	<div></div> 13.3%
2	Somewhat disagree	9449	<div></div> 25.0%
3	Somewhat agree	15862	<div></div> 41.9%
4	Strongly agree	6633	<div></div> 17.5%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	851	<div></div> 2.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_govt_say: People like me don't have any say about what the government does			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	People like me don't have any say about what the government does.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	4822	<div></div> 12.7%
2	Somewhat disagree	8952	<div></div> 23.7%
3	Somewhat agree	13868	<div></div> 36.7%
4	Strongly agree	9257	<div></div> 24.5%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	923	<div></div> 2.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_pol_eth: It is important that politicians behave ethically in office			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

# cps19_pol_eth: It is important that politicians behave ethically in office			
<b>Literal question</b>		It is important that politicians behave ethically in office.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	868	2.3%
2	Somewhat disagree	759	2.0%
3	Somewhat agree	4831	12.8%
4	Strongly agree	30673	81.1%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	691	1.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_lib_promises: Justin Trudeau kept the election promises he made in 2015			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		Justin Trudeau kept the election promises he made in 2015.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	11343	30.0%
2	Somewhat disagree	10134	26.8%
3	Somewhat agree	11389	30.1%
4	Strongly agree	1996	5.3%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	2960	7.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_snclav: Rate how the government handled the SNC-Lavalin Affair			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		How would you rate how the government handled the SNC-Lavalin Affair? If you haven't heard about this, please indicate don't know.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	1133	3.0%
2	Well	3890	10.3%
3	Not very well	10857	28.7%
4	Not at all well	13220	35.0%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	8722	23.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_news_cons: Time spend watching, reading, and listening...			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=18711 /-] [Invalid=19111 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		On average, how much time do you usually spend watching, reading, and listening to news each day?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	731	3.9%
2	1-10 minutes	3318	17.7%
3	11-30 minutes	4877	26.1%
4	31-60 minutes	5067	27.1%
5	Between 1 and 2 hours	3253	17.4%
6	More than 2 hours	1223	6.5%
7	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	242	1.3%

# cps19_news_cons: Time spend watching, reading, and listening...			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		19111	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_volunteer: Times volunteering for a group or organization...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	In the past 12 months, how many times did you volunteer for a group or organization such as a school, a religious organization, or sports or community associations?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	15954	42.2%
2	Just once	4330	11.4%
3	A few times	8388	22.2%
4	More than five times	6965	18.4%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	2185	5.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_duty_choice: People have different views about voting...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	People have different views about voting. For some, voting is a duty. They feel that they should vote in every election. For others, voting is a choice. They only vote when they feel strongly about that election.		
Literal question	For you personally, is voting first and foremost a Duty or a Choice?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Duty	25997	68.7%
2	Choice	10745	28.4%
3	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	1080	2.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_quebec_sov: Are you very favourable or opposed to...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8399 /-] [Invalid=29423 /-]		
Literal question	Are you very favourable, somewhat favourable, somewhat opposed, or very opposed to Quebec sovereignty, that is Quebec is no longer a part of Canada?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very favourable	1357	16.2%
2	Somewhat favourable	1566	18.6%
3	Somewhat opposed	1555	18.5%
4	Very opposed	3327	39.6%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	594	7.1%
Sysmiss		29423	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_own_fin_retro: Over the past year, has your financial situation:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Over the past year, has your financial situation:		

# cps19_own_fin_retro: Over the past year, has your financial situation:			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Got better	5319	<div></div> 14.1%
2	Stayed about the same	19598	<div></div> 51.8%
3	Got worse	12271	<div></div> 32.4%
4	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	634	<div></div> 1.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_premier_name: How widely known some political figures are:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=29107 /-]		
Pre-question	We would like to see how widely known some political figures are. Please answer off the top of your head without checking online.		
Literal question	Do you happen to recall the name of the Premier of your Province?		
# cps19_finmin_name: Name of the federal Minister of Finance			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12051 /-]		
Literal question	And the name of the federal Minister of Finance?		
# cps19_govgen_name: Name of the Governor-General of Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7449 /-]		
Literal question	And the name of the Governor-General of Canada?		
# cps19_presrus_name: Name of the President of Russia			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14425 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	And the name of the President of Russia?		
# cps19_prov_gov_sat: Satisfaction with the performance of your provincial government			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	How satisfied are you with the performance of your provincial government under (premier's name)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	4498	<div></div> 11.9%
2	Fairly satisfied	11667	<div></div> 30.8%
3	Not very satisfied	8490	<div></div> 22.4%
4	Not at all satisfied	10506	<div></div> 27.8%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	2661	<div></div> 7.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_fed_id: In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	12161	<div></div> 32.2%

# cps19_fed_id: In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Conservative	9984	<div><div></div></div> 26.4%
3	ndp	4921	<div><div></div></div> 13.0%
4	Bloc Qu	1744	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
5	Green	1988	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
6	People's Party	492	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
7	Another party (please specify)	265	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
8	None of these	3353	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
9	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	2914	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_fed_id_7_TEXT: In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=265 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
A bit of Liberal and a bit of conservative		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
A mix between Liberal NDP and Green		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
A mixture between liberal and conservative		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
American Democrat		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Apolitical		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
As party policies change so do my parties		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Aucun		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Aucun parti		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Aucun, à chaque fois je vote différent		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Avant liberal maintenant conservateur		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Between		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Between NDP and green		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Bloc		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Bloc Alberta leave confederation by 2023		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Bloc Québécois		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
Bloc quebecois		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Bloc québécois		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
C N P		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
CAQ		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%

# cps19\_fed\_id\_7\_TEXT: In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:














Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
CHRISTIAN HERITAGE PARTY		1	0.4%
Ca peut varié		1	0.4%
Canada Heritage Party		1	0.4%
Canadian		1	0.4%
Canadian & 60%Libral & 40%Conservative		1	0.4%
Cela dépend de qui se présente dans mon coin		1	0.4%
CenterRight		1	0.4%
Centre, right. Whatever that is.		1	0.4%
Centrist		3	1.1%
Chip		1	0.4%
Christian Heritage		5	1.9%
Christian Heritage Party		2	0.8%
Combination of them		1	0.4%
Communist		5	1.9%
Communist Party of Canada		1	0.4%
Completely disconnected from these bad people faking to represent the people and being in this for their personal interest only		1	0.4%
Conservative and liberal working toghter to help the country and people		1	0.4%
Conservative/ Liberal		1	0.4%
Could be any party depending on the leader.		1	0.4%
Crocodile		1	0.4%
Davis party		1	0.4%
Depends on the candidates		1	0.4%
Depends on the election period. Could be Liberal, NDP or CON.		1	0.4%



# cps19\_fed\_id\_7\_TEXT: In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Don't give a shit about politics..it's all corrupt		1	0.4%
Family Coalition Party, when it existed		1	0.4%
Fiscally conservative, socially NDP		1	0.4%
Flexible Politician		1	0.4%
Fluid		1	0.4%
For, with , about the people.		1	0.4%
Green		2	0.8%
Green + NDP		1	0.4%
Green and NPD		1	0.4%
Humanist		1	0.4%
I DON'T THINK OF MYSEFLF AS FOLLOWING A PARTY,		1	0.4%
I agree with portions from all platforms		1	0.4%
I am a moderate		1	0.4%
I am an amalgamation of conservative and green		1	0.4%
I am split between Liberal and Conservative		1	0.4%
I consider myself a Red Tory and, therefore, have no current party.		1	0.4%
I do not affiliate with a single party, only issues.		1	0.4%
I don't ascribe to a party but to policies, I am a leftist, the farthest left party in Canada is the NDP as a result I often align with them but if the greens came out less libertarian in the next lection		1	0.4%

# cps19\_fed\_id\_7\_TEXT: In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
cycle, who knows!			
I don't consider myself any particular party		1	 0.4%
I don't know what left hand or right wing crap I vote on who is fighting for me at the time for my needs of the present and future		1	 0.4%
I don't pick a party. I follow the ideals		1	 0.4%
I don't trust parties period		1	 0.4%
I have no party affiliation		1	 0.4%
I have no party preference		1	 0.4%
I have to know whom I'm voting against to vote for someone.		1	 0.4%
I vote according to "promises"		1	 0.4%
I vote differently based on issues during the election		1	 0.4%
I vote for sensibility		1	 0.4%
I vote for the leader rather than the party		1	 0.4%
I vote for the person, not the party		1	 0.4%
I was always a conservative I come from a family of politics and politicians, but I am devastated at what the conservatives especially Jason Kenney is doing to our education lost our Mental Health and quite frankly the conservatives have to open their eye		1	 0.4%

# cps19\_fed\_id\_7\_TEXT: In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
I was once liberal, but am now considered conservative, but I don't really align with conservatives like Scheer either.		1	0.4%
I will change my mind to whom I believe will do the job best		1	0.4%
I'm very disappointed in the free world leaders of today..there doesn't seem to be any integrity in the leaders of today when I vote it is just for hopefully the lesser evil		1	0.4%
INDEPENDENT		9	3.4%
If a centrist party existed federally... otherwise, Conservative probably		1	0.4%
Independant		1	0.4%
Independent		12	4.5%
Indeprndent		1	0.4%
Indigenous People's Party		1	0.4%
Individualist		1	0.4%
Je ne vote pas pour le même parti à chaque élection		1	0.4%
Je varie		1	0.4%
Je varie de partie en année.		1	0.4%
Judicial independence		1	0.4%
Le choix change selon les candidats		1	0.4%
Le mien		1	0.4%
Left leaning independent		1	0.4%
Liberal leaning conservative		1	0.4%
Liberal voting Green		1	0.4%































# cps19\_fed\_id\_7\_TEXT: In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Liberal\NDP		1	0.4%
Libertarian		20	7.5%
Libéral ou NPD		1	0.4%
Marxist-Leninist		1	0.4%
Me		1	0.4%
Mix of NDP and Liberal		1	0.4%
Mixed between the top 3 parties		1	0.4%
My politics are further left than NDP		1	0.4%
NDP		1	0.4%
NDP (but sometimes vote to go against cons)		1	0.4%
Natural Law Party		1	0.4%
Neither/Mixed		1	0.4%
Neo rhino		1	0.4%
Neutral		1	0.4%
No party affiliation		1	0.4%
Non-partisan, won't completely align with one group		1	0.4%
None but I'm voting to get Trudeau out		1	0.4%
None of the Above		1	0.4%
Not Sure at the moment		1	0.4%
Not any party but the party that make more sense. The Ideal would be a Liberal with Green Party Views		1	0.4%
Not loyal to one party		1	0.4%
Not really loyal to any party		1	0.4%
Not specific but generally left leaning		1	0.4%
Open minded for better and positive change. None did a great job recently.		1	0.4%

# cps19\_fed\_id\_7\_TEXT: In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Parti Patriote		1	0.4%
Party that's going to make rational decisions about Canada		1	0.4%
Peoples alliance		1	0.4%
Poor people's party of Canada (pppc)		1	0.4%
Progressive		1	0.4%
Progressive Conservative		4	1.5%
Progressive conservative		2	0.8%
Quebec solidaire		1	0.4%
Red Tory!!!		1	0.4%
Reform		1	0.4%
Republican		1	0.4%
Rhinoceros		2	0.8%
Rhinoceros		1	0.4%
Rhinocéros		1	0.4%
Screwed		1	0.4%
Small "L" liberal		1	0.4%
Social liberal/fiscal conservative		1	0.4%
Socialist		6	2.3%
Somewhere between liberal and green		1	0.4%
Spiritual Party		3	1.1%
Split 40/30/30 first choices		1	0.4%
Undecided		1	0.4%
Usually NDP or Liberal		1	0.4%
Varied		1	0.4%
While my opinions are geared more towards the People's Party, I will more than likely vote Conservative in order to not split the vote.		1	0.4%
Who		1	0.4%
Yaurais fallu mètre des photo j'ai pas d'idée		1	0.4%

# cps19\_fed\_id\_7\_TEXT: In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
a green right wing liberal		1	 0.4%
a mix of everything		1	 0.4%
aucun en particulier		1	 0.4%
aucun parti		1	 0.4%
between Liberal & Conservative		1	 0.4%
by candidate not party		1	 0.4%
ca depend		1	 0.4%
ca dépend des circonstance		1	 0.4%
centrist		1	 0.4%
change party by their policies		1	 0.4%
confused		1	 0.4%
depends		1	 0.4%
german workers party		1	 0.4%
green		1	 0.4%
i believe in the federation from star trek. and we should be working towards a goal like that for humanity		1	 0.4%
i dint consider myself anything because i have never followed politics		1	 0.4%
in all potitics, small 'c' conservative		1	 0.4%
indecis		1	 0.4%
independant		4	 1.5%
independent		5	 1.9%
je ne sais plus		1	 0.4%
je vote mon comté		1	 0.4%
left-leaning, but no party loyalty		1	 0.4%
liberal or NDP		1	 0.4%
marxist leninist		1	 0.4%
middle		1	 0.4%
neutral		1	 0.4%
non-political		1	 0.4%
nondenominational		1	 0.4%
none-political		1	 0.4%

# cps19_fed_id_7_TEXT: In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
not sure now ?		1	0.4%
patriot		1	0.4%
ppc		1	0.4%
red tory, but they do not exist any more		1	0.4%
rhinocéros		2	0.8%
selon confiance au chef		1	0.4%
smal -L- liberal		1	0.4%
small C concervative		1	0.4%
socialist		2	0.8%
socially liberal; fiscally conservative		1	0.4%
sometimes I vote Liberal, sometimes Green. In an effort to keep Ford out of office I voted NDP for the first time in my life. If I'm going to put a label on myself, I'll say Progressive as it takes in Climate change and Liberal as it takes in everything		1	0.4%
the best person for the job		1	0.4%
the person who best represents my interests in my riding		1	0.4%
unaffiliated		1	0.4%
undecided		1	0.4%
was Liberal in the days when close to centre		1	0.4%
western separation		1	0.4%
white power party		1	0.4%
Ça dépend du candidat		1	0.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps192: In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

# cps192: In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:			
<b>Literal question</b>		How strongly \${c:/Field/pid_en}\${cps19_fed_id/ChoiceTextEntryValue/7} do you feel?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
else I support		1	<div></div> 50.0%
s and not be so prejudiced		1	<div></div> 50.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cps19_fed_id_str: How strongly do you feel			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=31555 /-] [Invalid=6267 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly	8964	<div></div> 28.4%
2	Fairly strongly	16644	<div></div> 52.7%
3	Not very strongly	5350	<div></div> 17.0%
4	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	597	<div></div> 1.9%
Sysmiss		6267	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cps19_prov_id: In provincial politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 281-298] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=37771 /-] [Invalid=51 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		In provincial politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
281	Liberal	10037	<div></div> 26.6%
282	ndp	5859	<div></div> 15.5%
283	Green	1596	<div></div> 4.2%
284	Coalition Avenir Qu	2181	<div></div> 5.8%
285	Parti Qu	1537	<div></div> 4.1%
286	Qu	818	<div></div> 2.2%
288	United Conservative	661	<div></div> 1.8%
289	Alberta Party	218	<div></div> 0.6%
290	Conservative	1570	<div></div> 4.2%
291	Saskatchewan Party	612	<div></div> 1.6%
292	Progressive Conservative	4902	<div></div> 13.0%
293	Yukon Party	8	<div></div> 0.0%
295	Another party (please specify)	482	<div></div> 1.3%
296	None of these	3834	<div></div> 10.2%
297	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	3429	<div></div> 9.1%
298	People's Alliance	27	<div></div> 0.1%
Sysmiss		51	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cps19_prov_id_295_TEXT: In provincial politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=482 /-]	



# cps19\_prov\_id\_295\_TEXT: In provincial politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Again I vote for the best for my needs		1	0.2%
Again moderate		1	0.2%
Alberta Independence		1	0.2%
Alberta Independence Party		1	0.2%
Alberta Party		6	1.2%
Apolitical		2	0.4%
BC LIB		1	0.2%
BC Liberal		1	0.2%
BC liberal		1	0.2%
Between		1	0.2%
Bloc Pot		1	0.2%
Bois Quebec		1	0.2%
CAQ		2	0.4%
CONSERVATIVE		3	0.6%
Ca depend de la personne et nonie du part		1	0.2%
Ca peut varié		1	0.2%
Caq		1	0.2%
Centre, right		1	0.2%
Chp		1	0.2%
Christian Heritage Party		1	0.2%
Communist		3	0.6%
Concervateur		1	0.2%
Conservateur		9	1.9%
Conservateur du québec		1	0.2%
Conservative		95	19.7%
Conservative Party		1	0.2%
Conservative or peoples party		1	0.2%
Conservative party		1	0.2%
Conservative/ Liberal		1	0.2%
Conservatives		8	1.7%
Conservatives now that kristy Clark is gone		1	0.2%
Conservator Pati of quebec		1	0.2%
Conservstive		1	0.2%
Consevative		1	0.2%

# cps19\_prov\_id\_295\_TEXT: In provincial politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Crocodile		1	0.2%
Dependant on candidate		1	0.2%
Depends on the policy		1	0.2%
Don't affiliate with parties, they're all disappointing		1	0.2%
Doug ford		1	0.2%
Forward Thinker No left No right no middle		1	0.2%
Freedom Conservative		1	0.2%
GREEN		4	0.8%
Genuine Dignity		1	0.2%
Green		53	11.0%
Green & NDP		1	0.2%
Green Party		1	0.2%
Green or NDP depending on the issue		1	0.2%
Green party		1	0.2%
Green, except for its pro- abortion stance		1	0.2%
Horrible choices.		1	0.2%
I DON'T THINK OF MYSELF FOLLOWING A SPECIFIC PARTY		1	0.2%
I have no party preference		1	0.2%
I still have to know whom I'm voting against to be able to chose the least bad option.		1	0.2%
I'd like to be conservative but we don't have a provincial candidate		1	0.2%
INDEPENDENT		6	1.2%
Independant		3	0.6%
Independence		1	0.2%
Independent		10	2.1%
Indigenous Peoples Party		1	0.2%
It's complicated		1	0.2%

# cps19\_prov\_id\_295\_TEXT: In provincial politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Je change à chaque fois		1	0.2%
Judicial independence		1	0.2%
Kerri Wall (Green Party)		1	0.2%
Left of NDP		1	0.2%
Liberal		7	1.5%
Liberal, NDP, Green mix		1	0.2%
Liberals		1	0.2%
Liberation party		1	0.2%
Libertarian		15	3.1%
Marxist-Leninist		1	0.2%
My party		1	0.2%
ND		1	0.2%
NDP		5	1.0%
NDP/Liberal		1	0.2%
NPD		1	0.2%
NPD Québec		1	0.2%
Nani.		1	0.2%
Ndp		2	0.4%
Neither/Mixed		1	0.2%
Neo rhino		1	0.2%
No		1	0.2%
No particular party		1	0.2%
None of the Above		1	0.2%
None until they actually keep their promises and focus on what's actually going to be night canadians		1	0.2%
Not Sure		1	0.2%
Ontario Party		1	0.2%
Over the years, I have voted for them all.		1	0.2%
PC		1	0.2%
PCQ		1	0.2%
PEOPLES PARTY		1	0.2%
PPC		3	0.6%
Parti Conservateur du Québec		1	0.2%

# cps19\_prov\_id\_295\_TEXT: In provincial politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Parti Conservateur du Québec		1	0.2%
Parti Patriote		1	0.2%
Parti Québécois		1	0.2%
Parti Vert		1	0.2%
Parti conservateur du Québec		1	0.2%
Parti vert		6	1.2%
Parti vert québécois		1	0.2%
Partie Vert		1	0.2%
Partie quebecois		1	0.2%
Partie vert		1	0.2%
People party		2	0.4%
People party of Canada		1	0.2%
People's party		3	0.6%
Peoples		2	0.4%
Peoples Alliance		2	0.4%
Peoples aliance		1	0.2%
Peoples party		2	0.4%
Poor people's party of Canada		1	0.2%
Populaire		1	0.2%
Ppc		2	0.4%
Progressive Conservative		3	0.6%
Progressive conservative		1	0.2%
Quebec Conservative Party		1	0.2%
Quebecois		1	0.2%
Republican		1	0.2%
Rhino		1	0.2%
Rhinoceros		2	0.4%
Rhinocéros		1	0.2%
SOCIAL CREDIT		1	0.2%
Same		1	0.2%
Same response as previous		1	0.2%
Separatist		1	0.2%
Socialist		2	0.4%
Socred or Conservative		1	0.2%
Spiritual Party		3	0.6%

# cps19\_prov\_id\_295\_TEXT: In provincial politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
The party living threw all this so I no what needs to be changed !		1	0.2%
Too new to the province to say		1	0.2%
Ucp		1	0.2%
Undecided		1	0.2%
VERT		1	0.2%
Variable		1	0.2%
Vert		7	1.5%
Very trist		1	0.2%
Whoever lowers my taxes		1	0.2%
Wildrose		1	0.2%
Wish I cud be conservative		1	0.2%
a green right wing liberal		1	0.2%
a mix of NDP, Green, and Liberal		1	0.2%
about the same as federal		1	0.2%
again sensible		1	0.2%
aucun		1	0.2%
by candidate not party		1	0.2%
ca depend		1	0.2%
cela dépend		1	0.2%
celui qui représente le mieux les intérêts du Québec		1	0.2%
combination of 2 different parties - Liberal & NDP		1	0.2%
conserv		1	0.2%
conservateur		2	0.4%
conservative		23	4.8%
conservitave		1	0.2%
consevative		1	0.2%
depends		1	0.2%
for, with , about the people .		1	0.2%
frustrated		1	0.2%
german workers party		1	0.2%
green		12	2.5%
green currently since i don't		1	0.2%

# cps19\_prov\_id\_295\_TEXT: In provincial politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
have respect or trust in the other leaders			
i dont consider myself anything because i have never followed politics		1	0.2%
in all politics, small 'c' conservative		1	0.2%
independant		5	1.0%
independent		4	0.8%
indepent-dant		1	0.2%
j'ai voté pour garder mon droit de parole		1	0.2%
left-leaning, but no party loyalty		1	0.2%
liberal		2	0.4%
libertarian		1	0.2%
local candidate		1	0.2%
ndp		2	0.4%
non-political		1	0.2%
nondemonination		1	0.2%
none-political		1	0.2%
not loyal to one party		1	0.2%
not tied to a single party		1	0.2%
other		1	0.2%
parti conservateur du quebec		1	0.2%
parti vert		1	0.2%
peoples party		2	0.4%
policy wise		1	0.2%
progressive		1	0.2%
quebec solidaire if it wasn't separatist		1	0.2%
same as before, I'm a leftist not a party animal		1	0.2%
same as previous answer		1	0.2%
separatist		1	0.2%
small - L liberal		1	0.2%
socialist		2	0.4%
socially liberal, fiscally conservative		1	0.2%

# cps19_prov_id_295_TEXT: In provincial politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
the best party for the job		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
unaffiliated		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
undecided		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
vert		4	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_prov_id_str: How strongly do you feel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30515 /-] [Invalid=7307 /-]		
Literal question	How strongly \${cps19_prov_id/ChoiceGroup/SelectedChoicesTextEntry} do you feel?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly	9175	<div><div></div></div> 30.1%
2	Fairly strongly	16132	<div><div></div></div> 52.9%
3	Not very strongly	4782	<div><div></div></div> 15.7%
4	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	426	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
Sysmiss		7307	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_party_member_36: Are you currently... A dues-paying member of a federal party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1615 /-] [Invalid=36207 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A dues-paying member of a federal political party	1615	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		36207	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_party_member_37: Are you currently... A dues-paying member of a provincial party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1945 /-] [Invalid=35877 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A dues-paying member of a provincial political party	1945	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		35877	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_party_member_38: Are you currently... A 'registered' supporter of the Liberal party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1551 /-] [Invalid=36271 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A 'registered' supporter of the Liberal Party of Canada	1551	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		36271	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_party_member_39: Are you currently... None of the above			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31074 /-] [Invalid=6748 /-]		

# cps19_party_member_39: Are you currently... None of the above			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None of the above	31074	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		6748	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_party_member_40: Are you currently... Don't know/ Prefer not to answer			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2637 /-] [Invalid=35185 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	2637	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		35185	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_fed_member: Which federal party are you a dues-paying member of			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 56-63] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1615 /-] [Invalid=36207 /-]		
Literal question	Which federal party are you a dues-paying member of?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
56	Liberal Party	495	<div></div> 30.7%
57	Conservative Party	631	<div></div> 39.1%
58	ndp	206	<div></div> 12.8%
59	Bloc Qu	88	<div></div> 5.4%
60	Green Party	93	<div></div> 5.8%
61	People's Party	42	<div></div> 2.6%
62	Other (please specify)	5	<div></div> 0.3%
63	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	55	<div></div> 3.4%
Sysmiss		36207	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_fed_member_62_TEXT: Which federal party are you a dues-paying member of			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Canada Heritage Party		1	<div></div> 20.0%
Libertarian		1	<div></div> 20.0%
Libertarian Party		1	<div></div> 20.0%
Rhino		1	<div></div> 20.0%
christian heritage party		1	<div></div> 20.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_prov_member: Which provincial party are you a dues-paying member of			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 281-298] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1938 /-] [Invalid=35884 /-]		
Literal question	Which provincial party are you a dues-paying member of?		



### # cps19\_prov\_member: Which provincial party are you a dues-paying member of

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
281	Liberal	373	19.2%
282	ndp	317	16.4%
283	Green	102	5.3%
284	Coalition Avenir Qu	100	5.2%
285	Parti Qu	188	9.7%
286	Qu	62	3.2%
288	United Conservative	149	7.7%
289	Alberta Party	23	1.2%
290	Conservative	67	3.5%
291	Saskatchewan Party	57	2.9%
292	Progressive Conservative	360	18.6%
293	Yukon Party	1	0.1%
295	Another party (please specify)	27	1.4%
296	None of these	57	2.9%
297	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	53	2.7%
298	People's Alliance	2	0.1%
Sysmiss		35884	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps19\_prov\_member\_295\_TEXT: Which provincial party are you a dues-paying member of

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		1	3.7%
Alberta Independence Party		1	3.7%
BC Liberal		1	3.7%
Bloc Pot		1	3.7%
Conservateur		1	3.7%
Conservative		3	11.1%
Freedom Conservative		1	3.7%
Green		2	7.4%
Liberal		3	11.1%
Libertarian		1	3.7%
Libertarian Party of Ontario		1	3.7%
NPDQ		1	3.7%
Ontario Party		1	3.7%
Parti Conservateur du Québec		1	3.7%
Quebecois		1	3.7%
Rhino		1	3.7%
UCP		1	3.7%
conservative		1	3.7%

# cps19_prov_member_295_TEXT: Which provincial party are you a dues-paying member of			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
green		1	<div></div> 3.7%
liberal		1	<div></div> 3.7%
vert		2	<div></div> 7.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_fed_donate: Have you donated money to any federal political party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Since the 2015 federal election, have you donated money to any federal political party or candidate, beyond buying a party membership?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3450	<div></div> 9.1%
2	No	33027	<div></div> 87.3%
3	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	1345	<div></div> 3.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_groups_therm_1: How do you feel about the following groups - Racial minorities			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31088 /-] [Invalid=6734 /-] [Mean=63.192 /-] [StdDev=26.722 /-]		
Literal question	How do you feel about the following groups? Set the slider to any number from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislike the group and 100 means you really like the group.		
# cps19_groups_therm_2: How do you feel about the following groups - Immigrants			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31574 /-] [Invalid=6248 /-] [Mean=60.171 /-] [StdDev=27.608 /-]		
# cps19_groups_therm_3: How do you feel about the following groups - Francophones			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30946 /-] [Invalid=6876 /-] [Mean=60.477 /-] [StdDev=29.062 /-]		
# cps19_groups_therm_4: How do you feel about the following groups - Feminists			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31639 /-] [Invalid=6183 /-] [Mean=56.757 /-] [StdDev=28.432 /-]		
# cps19_groups_therm_5: How do you feel about the following groups - Politicians in general			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31988 /-] [Invalid=5834 /-] [Mean=41.276 /-] [StdDev=23.727 /-]		
# cps19_spoil: Have you intentionally spoiled your ballot in an election			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27446 /-] [Invalid=10376 /-]		
Literal question	Have you ever intentionally spoiled your ballot in an election (e.g. intentionally filled out your ballot so your vote would not be counted for any candidate)?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2679	<div></div> 9.8%
2	No	24013	<div></div> 87.5%
3	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	754	<div></div> 2.7%
Sysmiss		10376	

<b># cps19_spoil: Have you intentionally spoiled your ballot in an election</b>
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>

# cps19_turnout_2015: Did you happen to vote in the last Federal election in 2015			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=36480 /-] [Invalid=1342 /-]		
Literal question	Did you happen to vote in the last Federal election in 2015?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	29944	82.1%
2	No	4646	12.7%
3	Not eligible to vote in last election	1129	3.1%
4	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	761	2.1%
Sysmiss		1342	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_vote_2015: Which party did you vote for in the Federal election in 2015			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=29944 /-] [Invalid=7878 /-]		
Literal question	Which party did you vote for in the Federal election in 2015?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal Party	13321	44.5%
2	Conservative Party	7733	25.8%
3	ndp	4139	13.8%
4	Bloc Qu	1245	4.2%
5	Green Party	1167	3.9%
6	Another party (please specify)	138	0.5%
7	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	2201	7.4%
Sysmiss		7878	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_vote_2015_6_TEXT: Which party did you vote for in the Federal election in 2015 - Another party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=138 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Annulation de mon vote		1	0.7%
Annule		1	0.7%
Annule mon vote		1	0.7%
Annuler		1	0.7%
Annuler mon vote		1	0.7%
Annuler vote		1	0.7%
Annulé		1	0.7%
Annulé mon vote		1	0.7%
Annulé vote		1	0.7%
Aucun		1	0.7%
Bloc Pot		1	0.7%
CAQ		4	2.9%

# cps19\_vote\_2015\_6\_TEXT: Which party did you vote for in the Federal election in 2015 - Another party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
CHRISTIAN HERITAGE PARTY		1	0.7%
Cancelled my vote		1	0.7%
Caq		1	0.7%
Choose not to vote option		1	0.7%
Christian Heritage Party		1	0.7%
Christian heritage party		2	1.4%
Communist		1	0.7%
Communist party Marxist leninist		1	0.7%
Crocodile		1	0.7%
DECLINE TO VOTE		1	0.7%
Declined		1	0.7%
Do not remember		1	0.7%
Don't remember		2	1.4%
Forces et Démocratie		1	0.7%
Green		3	2.2%
Green Party		1	0.7%
I asked not to vote for anyone		1	0.7%
I cast a none vote		1	0.7%
I declined to vote		1	0.7%
I don't remember.		1	0.7%
I left my ballot blank		1	0.7%
I spoiled my ballet		1	0.7%
I spoiled my ballot		1	0.7%
INDEPENDENT		2	1.4%
Independant		1	0.7%
Independent		9	6.5%
Independent Candidate Brent Rathgeber		1	0.7%
Independent candidate		3	2.2%
Independent person		1	0.7%

# **# cps19\_vote\_2015\_6\_TEXT: Which party did you vote for in the Federal election in 2015 - Another party**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Invalidated my ballot		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Ironic Party		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Justin Trudeau		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Libertarian		5	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
Local		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Marxiste-léniniste		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Mindset Trickster		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
NONE		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
No satisfaction		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Nobody		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
None		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
None of the Above		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
None of your god damned business		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Not sure but someone that wouldn't win.		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Not your business		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Not your bussisness		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Peoples		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Peoples Alliance		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Peoples Party		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Pirate Party of Canada		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Populaire		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Prefere ne pas le dire		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Purposeful ballot spoil		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Refused my vote		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Refused vote		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Rhino		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Rhinoceros party		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Rhinoceroses		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Rhinocerosus		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Rhinocéros		2	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
SPOILED BALLOT		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
SPOILED MY BALLOT		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Spoiled		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Spoiled Vote		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Spoiled ballot		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%

# **# cps19\_vote\_2015\_6\_TEXT: Which party did you vote for in the Federal election in 2015 - Another party**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Spoiled my Vote		1	<div></div> 0.7%
Spoiled my ballot		2	<div></div> 1.4%
Spoiled. I believe there should be a box stating NONE OF THE ABOVE and it should be counted		1	<div></div> 0.7%
UNFORTUNATE I VOTED FOR LIV		1	<div></div> 0.7%
United Conservative? It was an independent party		1	<div></div> 0.7%
Vote annule		1	<div></div> 0.7%
Vote annulé		1	<div></div> 0.7%
Wild rose party		1	<div></div> 0.7%
Wildrose		2	<div></div> 1.4%
alsoran		1	<div></div> 0.7%
annulation		1	<div></div> 0.7%
annuler		1	<div></div> 0.7%
annulé		1	<div></div> 0.7%
annulé mon vote		2	<div></div> 1.4%
cancelled		1	<div></div> 0.7%
caq		1	<div></div> 0.7%
declined ballot - its different than spoiling		1	<div></div> 0.7%
destroyed vote		1	<div></div> 0.7%
don't remember		1	<div></div> 0.7%
green party		1	<div></div> 0.7%
independant		1	<div></div> 0.7%
independent		2	<div></div> 1.4%
indépendant		2	<div></div> 1.4%
j'ai annulé mon vote		1	<div></div> 0.7%
j'ai fait une erreur en cochant la mauvaise case		1	<div></div> 0.7%
liberal		1	<div></div> 0.7%
none of the above		1	<div></div> 0.7%
parti de mon secteur		1	<div></div> 0.7%
prefer not to say		1	<div></div> 0.7%

### # cps19\_vote\_2015\_6\_TEXT: Which party did you vote for in the Federal election in 2015 - Another party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
returned my ballot		1	0.7%
rino		1	0.7%
spoiled ballot		1	0.7%
spoiled it		1	0.7%
wild rose		1	0.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps19\_debate\_en: Did you watch or listen to the English-language federal leaders debate

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=15313 /-] [Invalid=22509 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Did you happen to watch or listen to the English-language federal leaders debate on Monday, Oct. 7?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5841	38.1%
2	No	9107	59.5%
3	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	365	2.4%
Sysmiss		22509	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps19\_debate\_fr: Did you watch or listen to the French-language federal leaders debate

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=12993 /-] [Invalid=24829 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Did you happen to watch or listen to the French-language federal leaders debate on Thursday, Oct. 10?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2566	19.7%
2	No	10189	78.4%
3	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	238	1.8%
Sysmiss		24829	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps19\_religion: What is your religion, if you have one

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-23] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Please tell me what is your religion, if you have one?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None/ Don't have one/ Atheist	9834	26.0%
2	Agnostic	2326	6.1%
3	Buddhist/ Buddhism	472	1.2%
4	Hindu	433	1.1%
5	Jewish/ Judaism/ Jewish Orthodox	531	1.4%
6	Muslim/ Islam	739	2.0%
7	Sikh/ Sikhism	204	0.5%
8	Anglican/ Church of England	1683	4.4%
9	Baptist	818	2.2%
10	Catholic/ Roman Catholic/ RC	10771	28.5%
11	Greek Orthodox/ Ukrainian Orthodox/ Russian Orthodox/ Easter	470	1.2%



# cps19_religion: What is your religion, if you have one			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	Jehovah's Witness	76	0.2%
13	Lutheran	569	1.5%
14	Mormon/ Church of Jesus Christ of the Latter Day Saints	127	0.3%
15	Pentecostal/ Fundamentalist/ Born Again/ Evangelical	884	2.3%
16	Presbyterian	500	1.3%
17	Protestant	1537	4.1%
18	United Church of Canada	1561	4.1%
19	Christian Reformed	478	1.3%
20	Salvation Army	158	0.4%
21	Mennonite	148	0.4%
22	Other (please specify)	1226	3.2%
23	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	2277	6.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_religion_22_TEXT: What is your religion, if you have one - Another			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1226 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1st Nations Aboriginal		1	0.1%
7th Day Adventist		1	0.1%
7th day adventist		2	0.2%
A believer in Jesus Christ as the son of God		1	0.1%
A believer of Christ.		1	0.1%
AGC		1	0.1%
ATHEIST		1	0.1%
Aboriginal		2	0.2%
Aboriginal Spirituality		1	0.1%
Aboriginal beliefs		1	0.1%
Aboriginal spirituality.		1	0.1%
Aboriginal/ Traditional/ Spiritual		1	0.1%
Adventist		3	0.2%
Adventiste du 7e jour		1	0.1%
Adventiste du septième jour		1	0.1%
Agnostic		5	0.4%
Agnostic theist		1	0.1%
Agnostique		1	0.1%
Aliens		1	0.1%

# **# cps19\_religion\_22\_TEXT: What is your religion, if you have one - Another**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
All Religion is a scam		1	0.1%
All of the above and none of the above I have a strong knowing of prime creator and all religions contain some truth but also many untruths I don't have a label		1	0.1%
Alliance		3	0.2%
Alliance Christian		1	0.1%
Anabaptist		1	0.1%
Anglican		5	0.4%
Anglican church of Canada		1	0.1%
Anglican network of canada		1	0.1%
Anglican/ Church of CANADA		1	0.1%
Anish		1	0.1%
Apolstolic		1	0.1%
Apostolic		1	0.1%
Are you kidding me?		1	0.1%
Armenian Ortatox		1	0.1%
Asatru		1	0.1%
Asian		1	0.1%
Associated Gospel Church		1	0.1%
Athee		1	0.1%
Atheist		12	1.0%
Atheistic Satanism		1	0.1%
Athiest		4	0.3%
Athé		1	0.1%
Athé mais je crois en Jésus		1	0.1%
Athée		2	0.2%
Até		1	0.1%
Aucun rapport		1	0.1%
Aucune		2	0.2%
Awareness		1	0.1%
BAPTIST		1	0.1%
BBBBBBBBBBB		1	0.1%

## # cps19\_religion\_22\_TEXT: What is your religion, if you have one - Another

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Ba'hai		1	0.1%
Babtist		1	0.1%
Baha'i		8	0.7%
Baha'i Faith		1	0.1%
Bahai'i		1	0.1%
Baptist		1	0.1%
Baptisée catholique mais ne pratique plus		1	0.1%
Believe in God		1	0.1%
Believe in God and Jesus just not church		1	0.1%
Believe in God, Jesus, Holy Spirit		1	0.1%
Believe in love		1	0.1%
Believe in the after life		1	0.1%
Believer		2	0.2%
Bible believer		1	0.1%
Bible believing, God fearing, undenominational		1	0.1%
Born Again Christian		2	0.2%
Born again Christian evangelical		1	0.1%
Born again christian		1	0.1%
Born-Again Christian		1	0.1%
Boudhiste		1	0.1%
Brethren in Christ		1	0.1%
Buddhist		1	0.1%
CANADIAN		1	0.1%
CATHOLIC		1	0.1%
CATHOLIQUE NON PRATIQUANT		1	0.1%
CATHOLIQUE PRARIQUANTE		1	0.1%
CATOLIQUE		1	0.1%
CHRISTIAN		4	0.3%
CHRISTINITY		1	0.1%
CHRÉTIEN NON PRATIQUANT		1	0.1%
Canadian Reformed		1	0.1%

## # cps19\_religion\_22\_TEXT: What is your religion, if you have one - Another

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Canadian Reformed Christian		1	0.1%
Canadian reformed - christian		1	0.1%
Catholic but not practicing. I prefer being spiritual instead		1	0.1%
Catholic not practicing		1	0.1%
Catholique		3	0.2%
Catholique mon pratiquant		1	0.1%
Catholique non pratiquante		2	0.2%
Catholique par baptême,non-pratiquante,spiritu		1	0.1%
Celtic		1	0.1%
Child of God		1	0.1%
Chinese		1	0.1%
Chistian		1	0.1%
Christadelphian		3	0.2%
Christain		1	0.1%
Christian		155	12.6%
Christian Catholic		1	0.1%
Christian (Non-denominational). Church membership and identification only exists to divide.		1	0.1%
Christian (church of christ)		1	0.1%
Christian (i celebrate Santa, the Easter bunny, etc)		1	0.1%
Christian (no particular denomination)		1	0.1%
Christian - All Denominations		1	0.1%
Christian - I don't identify with any formal religion		1	0.1%
Christian - Nazarene		1	0.1%

# cps19\_religion\_22\_TEXT: What is your religion, if you have one - Another

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Christian - Spiritual		1	0.1%
Christian - non denominational		2	0.2%
Christian - non-denominational		1	0.1%
Christian - not reformed, just simply a Christian!		1	0.1%
Christian - undenominational		1	0.1%
Christian / Hindu		1	0.1%
Christian Alliance		1	0.1%
Christian Brethren		1	0.1%
Christian Church Disciples of Christ		1	0.1%
Christian General		1	0.1%
Christian Missionary and Alliance		1	0.1%
Christian Non-denominational		1	0.1%
Christian and Missionary Alliance		3	0.2%
Christian beliefs but no religious affiliation		1	0.1%
Christian don't care about denomination		1	0.1%
Christian fallower of God		1	0.1%
Christian is a religion, Anglican is a church		1	0.1%
Christian non denominational		3	0.2%
Christian raised, but questioning my reality and all religious views		1	0.1%
Christian spitual		1	0.1%
Christian with no church affiliation		1	0.1%

## # cps19\_religion\_22\_TEXT: What is your religion, if you have one - Another

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Christian, Non denominational charismatic		1	0.1%
Christian, Spiritual		1	0.1%
Christian, no affiliation		1	0.1%
Christian, non-denominational		1	0.1%
Christian, unaffiliated		1	0.1%
Christian-catholic		1	0.1%
Christian-non denominational		1	0.1%
Christian.		1	0.1%
Christian. No specific church		1	0.1%
Christian/ Canadian Reformed		1	0.1%
Christian/ Catholic		1	0.1%
Christianity		2	0.2%
Chrétien		3	0.2%
Chrétien 100 %		1	0.1%
Chrétienne		3	0.2%
Church of Antioch		1	0.1%
Church of Christ		3	0.2%
Church of Christ (Iglesia Ni Cristo)		1	0.1%
Church of God		1	0.1%
Church of God International		1	0.1%
Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints		1	0.1%
Church of christ		1	0.1%
Combination New Age Christian		1	0.1%
Community of Christ		1	0.1%
Croyant		1	0.1%
Deism		1	0.1%
Deist		2	0.2%
Diest (believe in Supreme being)		1	0.1%
Do not subscribe to organised religion		1	0.1%

## # cps19\_religion\_22\_TEXT: What is your religion, if you have one - Another

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Don't believe in organized religion		1	0.1%
Don't believe in religion		1	0.1%
Don't subscribe to any religion but am not an Atheist		1	0.1%
Doukabour		1	0.1%
Doukhobour		1	0.1%
Druid		1	0.1%
Druid, Reform		1	0.1%
Druze		1	0.1%
EVANGELICAL		1	0.1%
East Gate Alliance, protestant church		1	0.1%
Eckankar		3	0.2%
Eckist		1	0.1%
Evangelical		6	0.5%
Evangelical Christian		1	0.1%
Evangelical Christian but not Fundamentalist or Pentecostal		1	0.1%
Evangelical Free		1	0.1%
Evangelical Missionary Alliance		1	0.1%
Evangelist		1	0.1%
Evangelist Christian		1	0.1%
Evangelistic		1	0.1%
Faithful and love everyone		1	0.1%
First Nation		3	0.2%
First Nations Spirituality		2	0.2%
First Nations Traditional		1	0.1%
Follower of Christ.		1	0.1%
Free Agent		1	0.1%
Free Methodist		4	0.3%
Full Gospel Christian		1	0.1%
Full Gospel christian		1	0.1%
Gay Catholic		1	0.1%

# **# cps19\_religion\_22\_TEXT: What is your religion, if you have one - Another**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
General Christian		1	0.1%
General environmental christian		1	0.1%
Gnostic		3	0.2%
Going to a Baptist, but Christened as Roman Catholic		1	0.1%
Humanist		4	0.3%
Humanist, spiritualist		1	0.1%
I AM		1	0.1%
I BELEVE IN GOD ONLY		1	0.1%
I SIMPLY JUST BELIEVE IN GOD/JESUS		1	0.1%
I am Christian no church group		1	0.1%
I am a Christ follower		1	0.1%
I am spiritual		1	0.1%
I am spiritual and believe in one God		1	0.1%
I believe in Everything. Whatever seems to make sense to me is what I believe in		1	0.1%
I believe in God but not the religion !		1	0.1%
I believe in God our Heavenly father not Religion		1	0.1%
I believe in God, but I don't have a religion		1	0.1%
I believe in God, not in religion. I am spiritual		1	0.1%
I believe in god period		1	0.1%
I believe in my own thing but cannot classify it as a religion.		1	0.1%
I choose to believe in a God, but I cannot say anything further		1	0.1%



# cps19\_religion\_22\_TEXT: What is your religion, if you have one - Another

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
on the nature of said God			
I don't believe in a religion, but believe that life holds meaning beyond the universe that our current comprehension of life does not yet understand.		1	0.1%
I don't follow any religion nor brought up with any religion		1	0.1%
I don't have a religion I just believe in God		1	0.1%
I don't have a religion. I have a belief system, and that would be buddhist-pagan		1	0.1%
I follow Christ.		1	0.1%
I follow G-D'S one and only COVENENT with man		1	0.1%
I just feel like I am a spiritual being. Apart of something greater		1	0.1%
I prefer to say Christian & not a specific denomination		1	0.1%
I respect all religions		1	0.1%
I think one religious outlook is just as silly as the next, so I don't even bother to think about these things (and that includes atheism, (the absence of evidence is just as silly, but I'll save the long-winded version of this one), so I just tell people		1	0.1%
I think thaat the 12 most common religons's stories		1	0.1%

# **# cps19\_religion\_22\_TEXT: What is your religion, if you have one - Another**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
actually are ALL telling the SAME story, and that is worshipingg the SUN. - Zietgiest Documentry...clos believeable thing Ive heard exceeding Astrology			
I was Roman Catholic, but i stopped believing in God because he never stopped all the worst things that to me. That's why I don't believestl		1	0.1%
I'm Wicca		1	0.1%
ISKCON - Hare Krishna		1	0.1%
Iam christain dont belong to any church		1	0.1%
Iglesia Ni Cristo		1	0.1%
Iglesia Ni Cristo (Church of Christ)		1	0.1%
Im spiritual, not religious		1	0.1%
Inc		1	0.1%
Indegionas		1	0.1%
Indigenous		4	0.3%
Indigenous spirituality		3	0.2%
Ismaili		1	0.1%
J'aborde plutôt une approche spirituelle		1	0.1%
J'ai été élevé catholique.		1	0.1%
Jain		1	0.1%
Je crois en Dieu, mais aucune religion pour moi		1	0.1%
Je suis les 10 commandement mais croit pas a la bible		1	0.1%
Jedi		6	0.5%
Jesus follower		1	0.1%
Jesus is the "only" way		1	0.1%

## # cps19\_religion\_22\_TEXT: What is your religion, if you have one - Another

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Jewish		2	0.2%
Judeo christian		1	0.1%
Juggalo		1	0.1%
Just Christian		2	0.2%
Just a "Christian"!		1	0.1%
Just a believer		1	0.1%
Just believe in what I believe to be true		1	0.1%
Just spiritual		1	0.1%
KemetiC		1	0.1%
Knowledge		1	0.1%
LDS/Christian		1	0.1%
LIVING CHURCH OF GOD		1	0.1%
Lapsed Catholic		2	0.2%
Lapsed Roman Catholic		1	0.1%
Laveyan Satanism		1	0.1%
Libre-penseur		1	0.1%
Living Church of God		1	0.1%
Looking		1	0.1%
Lucifer, Aménadiel, Cupidon, Diana (Wonderwoman)		1	0.1%
Luciferianism		1	0.1%
Lutheran		1	0.1%
Ma propre religion		1	0.1%
Maronite		1	0.1%
Melange de croyances		1	0.1%
Member of the human race		1	0.1%
Metaphysical Spirituality		1	0.1%
Methodist		4	0.3%
Mexican Latino		1	0.1%
Missionary		1	0.1%
Missionary Alliance		1	0.1%
Modern contemporary		1	0.1%
Mohawk		1	0.1%
Monotheist		1	0.1%

## # cps19\_religion\_22\_TEXT: What is your religion, if you have one - Another

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Moravian		2	0.2%
More spiritual then religious		1	0.1%
More to it then this		1	0.1%
Mormon		1	0.1%
Mother Nature		1	0.1%
Muslim and Lutheran		1	0.1%
Musulman		1	0.1%
My own		1	0.1%
Myself		1	0.1%
Métis		1	0.1%
NO SPECIFIC ONE		1	0.1%
NON DENOMINATION		1	0.1%
NONE		1	0.1%
NONE SPIRITUAL		1	0.1%
Native		4	0.3%
Native American		1	0.1%
Native American Church (Peyote)		2	0.2%
Native American, I believe the Creator gave all life.		1	0.1%
Native Canadian		1	0.1%
Native Spiritism		1	0.1%
Native spiritualism		1	0.1%
Native spirituality		1	0.1%
Nature is my church		1	0.1%
Nazarene		1	0.1%
Neo druid		1	0.1%
Neo pagan		1	0.1%
Netherlands Christian Reformed		2	0.2%
New Age Metaphysics		1	0.1%
New Apostolic		4	0.3%
No Religion		1	0.1%
No affiliation		1	0.1%
No religion		1	0.1%
No religion per say but have a		1	0.1%

## # cps19\_religion\_22\_TEXT: What is your religion, if you have one - Another

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
great respect for ourGod and h the words of God			
Non Denominational		1	0.1%
Non Denominational Belief - Do Not Follow Organised belief		1	0.1%
Non Denominational Christian		1	0.1%
Non Denominational Spiritual		1	0.1%
Non demoninational evangelical		1	0.1%
Non denomination		1	0.1%
Non denomination Christian		2	0.2%
Non denomination christian		1	0.1%
Non denominational		2	0.2%
Non denominational Christian		5	0.4%
Non denominational Christian I follow the bible		1	0.1%
Non denominational christian		1	0.1%
Non practicing Catholic		2	0.2%
Non practicing catholic		1	0.1%
Non practicing protestant		1	0.1%
Non pratiquante		1	0.1%
Non- Deminal		1	0.1%
Non- Denominational Christian		1	0.1%
Non-affiliated agnostic		1	0.1%
Non-church going Christian		1	0.1%

## # cps19\_religion\_22\_TEXT: What is your religion, if you have one - Another

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Non-denomination Christian		1	0.1%
Non-denominational Bible believing Evangelical Christian		1	0.1%
Non-denominational Christian		6	0.5%
Non-denominational christian		1	0.1%
Non-practicing Catholic to be specific		1	0.1%
Non-religious		1	0.1%
Nondenomination		1	0.1%
Nondenomination Christian		1	0.1%
Nondenomination spiritual with Buddhist leanings		1	0.1%
None		7	0.6%
None it's a cult		1	0.1%
None non-atheist		1	0.1%
None of the above		1	0.1%
None of your business		1	0.1%
Norse/Pagan		1	0.1%
Not active Catholic		1	0.1%
Not religious		2	0.2%
Not religious, but not sure if god exists or not		1	0.1%
Not religish		1	0.1%
Not sure anymore		1	0.1%
Nothing		1	0.1%
Nothing Organized		1	0.1%
ORTHODOX CHRISTIAN		1	0.1%
OTHER		1	0.1%
Ommist		1	0.1%
Omnist		1	0.1%
Only believe in science		1	0.1%
Open faith		1	0.1%

# **# cps19\_religion\_22\_TEXT: What is your religion, if you have one - Another**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Open to whatever there but don't believe anyone actually has a vlue		1	0.1%
Open-minded		1	0.1%
Orthodox		1	0.1%
Orthodox Druid		1	0.1%
Other		1	0.1%
Pagan		62	5.1%
Pagan (Druid, specifically)		1	0.1%
Pagan (family tradition)		1	0.1%
Paganism		3	0.2%
Pagen		2	0.2%
Pantheistic		1	0.1%
Pas de religion		1	0.1%
Pastafarian		1	0.1%
Pegan		1	0.1%
Penne Costal Christian		1	0.1%
Pennycost		1	0.1%
Pentecostal		3	0.2%
Personally spiritual		1	0.1%
Plymouth Brethren		1	0.1%
Presbyterian Church		1	0.1%
Quaker		2	0.2%
Raised Catholic. But do not believe traditionally to this, or any other created religions.		1	0.1%
Raised Protestant ; now just 'spiritual'		1	0.1%
Rastafarian		1	0.1%
Realist		1	0.1%
Red road		1	0.1%
Reformed (part of the Reformation as engineered by Martin Luther. Christian Reformed broke away from Reformation so is very different although not		1	0.1%

# **# cps19\_religion\_22\_TEXT: What is your religion, if you have one - Another**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
well understood by all.)			
Reformed. Protestant		1	0.1%
Reincarnated pagan		1	0.1%
Relationship with God		1	0.1%
Religion is a criminal enterprise		1	0.1%
Religion is bullshit		1	0.1%
Religion is only for the naive and weak minded		1	0.1%
Religion only causes problems - I live and let live.		1	0.1%
Religious		1	0.1%
Religious with no ties		1	0.1%
Restorationist		1	0.1%
Rien		1	0.1%
Roman Catholic		1	0.1%
Roman catholic		1	0.1%
SATANIST		2	0.2%
SEVENTH DAY ADVENTIST		1	0.1%
SEVENTH-DAY ADVENTIST		1	0.1%
SPIRITUAL		3	0.2%
SPIRITUALIST		1	0.1%
SPIRITUALLY INCLUSIVE		1	0.1%
Santeria		1	0.1%
Satan		2	0.2%
Satanic Temple		1	0.1%
Satanism		4	0.3%
Satanisme		1	0.1%
Satanist		5	0.4%
Satanist / anarchiste		1	0.1%
Satanist but for real		1	0.1%
Satanist- The Satanic Temple		1	0.1%
Saulteau		1	0.1%
Scientologie		1	0.1%



## # cps19\_religion\_22\_TEXT: What is your religion, if you have one - Another

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Scientologist		1	0.1%
Sda		1	0.1%
Seventh Day Adventist		1	0.1%
Seventh Day Adventist		6	0.5%
Seventh day Adventist		5	0.4%
Seventh day Adventist/ Catholic		1	0.1%
Seventh day adventist		2	0.2%
Seventh-Day Adventist		2	0.2%
Seventh-day Adventist		5	0.4%
Seventh-day adventis		1	0.1%
Shamanism		1	0.1%
Shinto		1	0.1%
Sikh		2	0.2%
Simple Christian		1	0.1%
Somewhat spiritual		1	0.1%
Spiritisme		1	0.1%
Spiritist		1	0.1%
Spiritual		92	7.5%
Spiritual - no religion		1	0.1%
Spiritual Baptist		1	0.1%
Spiritual Diesm		1	0.1%
Spiritual I believe in the holy trinity and obeying the Ten Commandments but I do not believe in religion		1	0.1%
Spiritual Jesus		1	0.1%
Spiritual beliefs		1	0.1%
Spiritual but do not believe in organized religion		1	0.1%
Spiritual but don't practice a religion		1	0.1%
Spiritual but no religion		1	0.1%
Spiritual but non religious		1	0.1%

## # cps19\_religion\_22\_TEXT: What is your religion, if you have one - Another

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Spiritual but not affiliated with organized religion		1	0.1%
Spiritual but not religious		1	0.1%
Spiritual not Religious		1	0.1%
Spiritual not religious		3	0.2%
Spiritual person. No religion.		1	0.1%
Spiritual, but not institutional		1	0.1%
Spiritual, but not religious		1	0.1%
Spiritual, dont subscribe to an organized religion		1	0.1%
Spiritual, no organized religion		1	0.1%
Spiritual, non-religious		1	0.1%
Spiritual, not part of organized religion		1	0.1%
Spiritual, still figuring it out		1	0.1%
Spiritual, with over a year of bible study with JW		1	0.1%
Spiritual- not religious		1	0.1%
Spiritual/ intuitive		1	0.1%
Spiritualism		5	0.4%
Spiritualist		17	1.4%
Spirituality		3	0.2%
Spiritualité		2	0.2%
Spirituel		3	0.2%
Spirituel mais sans plus		1	0.1%
Spirituelle		1	0.1%
Spiritual		1	0.1%
Spiritual not religious		1	0.1%
Spiritual - no man made religion		1	0.1%

## # cps19\_religion\_22\_TEXT: What is your religion, if you have one - Another

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Study and trust Jehovah witnesses		1	0.1%
Sun Worship		1	0.1%
Sun Worshiper		1	0.1%
Supreme Higher Power		1	0.1%
THE CHURCH OF LATTER DAY SAINTS DAY SAINT		1	0.1%
Tamoule		1	0.1%
Tao - the faith, not religion		1	0.1%
Taoism		1	0.1%
Taoist		1	0.1%
The Satanic Temple		1	0.1%
The Universe is what I would call God		1	0.1%
The creator it's aboriginal and supper secret		1	0.1%
The world is my god		1	0.1%
Toaism		1	0.1%
Tolerant athiest		1	0.1%
Tollean Pantheist With Spinozan Judeo-Christian Tendencies		1	0.1%
Too complicated		1	0.1%
Traditional aboriginal spirituality		1	0.1%
Traditional beliefs (First Nation) and Wiccan/Wicca		1	0.1%
Treat others the way you want to be treated		1	0.1%
Ukrainiann Orthodox		1	0.1%
Ukranian Catholic		1	0.1%
Unitarian		9	0.7%
Unitarian Universalist		1	0.1%
Unitarian Universalist (but i rarely go)		1	0.1%

## # cps19\_religion\_22\_TEXT: What is your religion, if you have one - Another

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
United - Christian		1	0.1%
Unity		1	0.1%
Universal Religious Humanism		1	0.1%
Viking Pagen		1	0.1%
Vineyard		2	0.2%
WICCA		1	0.1%
Wesleyan		2	0.2%
Wesleyan + Buddhist		1	0.1%
Wesleyan church		1	0.1%
Weslyan		1	0.1%
Wicca		5	0.4%
Wiccan		41	3.3%
Wiccan /pagan		1	0.1%
Wiccan/Asatru		1	0.1%
Wiccan/Pagan		1	0.1%
Wiccan/pagan		2	0.2%
Wiccian		1	0.1%
Zorastrian		1	0.1%
Zoroastrain		1	0.1%
Zoroastrian		4	0.3%
a person of faith with no denomination		1	0.1%
aboriginal ( creator)		1	0.1%
academic		1	0.1%
agnostic		1	0.1%
agnostique		1	0.1%
all of the above		1	0.1%
alliance		1	0.1%
animiste		1	0.1%
atheist		2	0.2%
aucun		1	0.1%
aucune		3	0.2%
awareness		1	0.1%
baha'i		1	0.1%
bahai		1	0.1%
baptized in the Christian church		1	0.1%
belief in God		1	0.1%
black pagan witch		1	0.1%
born Catholic but not practicing		1	0.1%

## # cps19\_religion\_22\_TEXT: What is your religion, if you have one - Another

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
born again christian		1	0.1%
born again christian independent		1	0.1%
can't label beliefs		1	0.1%
catholique de naissance mais agnostique par choix		1	0.1%
catholique de naissance mais chrétienne en général		1	0.1%
chretienne		2	0.2%
christian		19	1.5%
christian other		1	0.1%
christian scientologist		1	0.1%
christian, non-denominational		1	0.1%
christian-non denominational		1	0.1%
chrétien		1	0.1%
chrétienne		1	0.1%
church of the latter day dude		1	0.1%
cristian		1	0.1%
croire en soi		1	0.1%
eclectic		1	0.1%
eclectic wiccan		1	0.1%
evangelical		1	0.1%
follower of Jesus Christ		1	0.1%
grace communion		1	0.1%
green		1	0.1%
guynese canadian		1	0.1%
hare krishna		1	0.1%
human		1	0.1%
humanist		2	0.2%
i am my own master		1	0.1%
i believe in god but not the bible		1	0.1%
i belive there is a god		1	0.1%
i'm spiritual.		1	0.1%
jiu ooip		1	0.1%

## # cps19\_religion\_22\_TEXT: What is your religion, if you have one - Another

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
la religion de mon coeur é non celles des hommes		1	0.1%
lapsed catholic		1	0.1%
lost		1	0.1%
mix		1	0.1%
mother earth		1	0.1%
native		1	0.1%
native spirituality		1	0.1%
no religion		3	0.2%
no religion but yes to energy and science		1	0.1%
no religion, just faith		1	0.1%
no religon		1	0.1%
non denominational christian		1	0.1%
non practicing		1	0.1%
non practicing catholic		1	0.1%
non practicing roman catholic		1	0.1%
non practising Catholic		1	0.1%
non practising roman cathlic		1	0.1%
non religious		3	0.2%
non-Church Christian values		1	0.1%
non-denominational christian		2	0.2%
non-religious		1	0.1%
nondenominational		1	0.1%
none		9	0.7%
ortodokc		1	0.1%
pagan		10	0.8%
pagan/wiccan		1	0.1%
pagen		1	0.1%
polytheist		1	0.1%
presbyterian		1	0.1%
secular Jew		1	0.1%
spiritual		17	1.4%
spiritual - mix christ/hindu/ buddhism		1	0.1%

# cps19_religion_22_TEXT: What is your religion, if you have one - Another			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
spiritual but not religious		1	0.1%
spiritual not affiliated with any organized religion		1	0.1%
spiritual not religious		1	0.1%
spiritual, Christian base		1	0.1%
spiritual/no religion		1	0.1%
spiritual/non religious		1	0.1%
spiritualist		4	0.3%
spiritualistic		1	0.1%
spirituality		2	0.2%
spirituel		1	0.1%
spirituelle		2	0.2%
the new transcripts,testame of the child christ,..of ugene savoy, reno,nev,		1	0.1%
the only true religion of course the creator		1	0.1%
thelmic		1	0.1%
unaffiliated Christian		2	0.2%
unitarian		2	0.2%
united church		1	0.1%
wicca		2	0.2%
wiccan		4	0.3%
wiccan spirituality		1	0.1%
wiccan/pagan		1	0.1%
wiccian		1	0.1%
witch		1	0.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps191: What is your religion, if you have one - Another			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
I decline and forfeit my right to a religious outlook ;)		1	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# cps19_rel_imp: In your life, you would say religion is:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27988 /-] [Invalid=9834 /-]		
Literal question	In your life, you would say religion is:		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	6290	<div><div></div></div> 22.5%
2	Somewhat important	9521	<div><div></div></div> 34.0%
3	Not very important	6840	<div><div></div></div> 24.4%
4	Not important at all	4555	<div><div></div></div> 16.3%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	782	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
Sysmiss		9834	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_bornin_canada: Were you born in Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Were you born in Canada?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	31556	<div><div></div></div> 83.4%
2	No	6046	<div><div></div></div> 16.0%
3	Don't know/ Prefer not to say	220	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_bornin_other: What country were you born in			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2243-2491] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6046 /-] [Invalid=31776 /-]		
Literal question	What country were you born in?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2243	afghanistan	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
2244		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2245	albania	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2246	algeria	47	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
2247	AMERICAN SAMOA	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2248	andorra	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2249	angola	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2250	anguilla	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2251	antarctica	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2252	ANTIGUA AND BARBUDA	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2253	argentina	29	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
2254	armenia	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2255	aruba	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2256	australia	48	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
2257	austria	22	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
2258	azerbaijan	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2259	bahamas	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2260	bahrain	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2261	bangladesh	45	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%



## # cps19\_bornin\_other: What country were you born in

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2262	barbados	17	0.3%
2263	belarus	15	0.2%
2264	belgium	36	0.6%
2265	belize	3	0.0%
2266	benin	3	0.0%
2267	bermuda	4	0.1%
2268	bhutan	4	0.1%
2269	BOLIVIA, PLURINATIONAL STATE OF	3	0.0%
2270	BONAIRE, SINT EUSTATIUS AND SABA	1	0.0%
2271	BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA	14	0.2%
2272	botswana	1	0.0%
2273	BOUVET ISLAND	1	0.0%
2274	brazil	61	1.0%
2275	BRITISH INDIAN OCEAN TERRITORY	6	0.1%
2276	BRUNEI DARUSSALAM	5	0.1%
2277	bulgaria	13	0.2%
2278	BURKINA FASO	4	0.1%
2279	burundi	5	0.1%
2280	cambodia	15	0.2%
2281	cameroon	21	0.3%
2282	CAPE VERDE	1	0.0%
2283	CAYMAN ISLANDS	5	0.1%
2284	CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC	4	0.1%
2285	chad	1	0.0%
2286	chile	19	0.3%
2287	china	289	4.8%
2288	CHRISTMAS ISLAND	4	0.1%
2289	COCOS (KEELING) ISLANDS	0	
2290	colombia	47	0.8%
2291	comoros	1	0.0%
2292	congo	6	0.1%
2293	CONGO, THE DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF THE	10	0.2%
2294	COOK ISLANDS	1	0.0%
2295	COSTA RICA	3	0.0%
2296	C	15	0.2%
2297	croatia	12	0.2%
2298	cuba	13	0.2%
2299	cura	1	0.0%
2300	cyprus	1	0.0%
2301	CZECH REPUBLIC	14	0.2%
2302	denmark	12	0.2%
2303	djibouti	2	0.0%
2304	dominica	4	0.1%
2305	DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	8	0.1%
2306	ecuador	13	0.2%

# cps19_bornin_other: What country were you born in			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2307	egypt	40	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
2308	EL SALVADOR	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
2309	EQUATORIAL GUINEA	0	
2310	eritrea	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2311	estonia	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2312	ethiopia	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2313	FALKLAND ISLANDS (MALVINAS)	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2314	FAROE ISLANDS	0	
2315	fiji	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2316	finland	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2317	france	205	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
2318	FRENCH GUIANA	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2319	FRENCH POLYNESIA	0	
2320	FRENCH SOUTHERN TERRITORIES	0	
2321	gabon	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2322	gambia	0	
2323	georgia	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2324	germany	185	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
2325	ghana	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2326	gibraltar	0	
2327	greece	32	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
2328	greenland	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2329	grenada	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2330	guadeloupe	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2331	guam	0	
2332	guatemala	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2333	guernsey	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2334	guinea	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2335	GUINEA-BISSAU	0	
2336	guyana	44	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
2337	haiti	45	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
2338	HEARD ISLAND AND MCDONALD ISLANDS	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2339	HOLY SEE (VATICAN CITY STATE)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2340	honduras	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2341	HONG KONG	330	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
2342	hungary	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2343	iceland	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
2344	india	401	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
2345	indonesia	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
2346	IRAN, ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF	54	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
2347	iraq	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2348	ireland	44	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
2349	ISLE OF MAN	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2350	israel	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2351	italy	88	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%

# cps19_bornin_other: What country were you born in			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2352	jamaica	103	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
2353	japan	37	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
2354	jersey	0	
2355	jordan	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2356	kazakhstan	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2357	kenya	19	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2358	kiribati	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2359	KOREA, DEMOCRATIC PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2360	KOREA, REPUBLIC OF	38	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
2361	kuwait	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
2362	kyrgyzstan	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2363	LAO PEOPLE'S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2364	latvia	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
2365	lebanon	55	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
2366	lesotho	0	
2367	liberia	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2368	libya	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2369	liechtenstein	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2370	lithuania	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2371	luxembourg	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2372	macao	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2373	MACEDONIA, THE FORMER YUGOSLAV REPUBLIC OF	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2374	madagascar	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2375	malawi	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2376	malaysia	32	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
2377	maldives	0	
2378	mali	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2379	malta	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
2380	MARSHALL ISLANDS	0	
2381	martinique	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2382	mauritania	0	
2383	mauritius	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
2384	mayotte	0	
2385	mexico	40	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
2386	MICRONESIA, FEDERATED STATES OF	0	
2387	MOLDOVA, REPUBLIC OF	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2388	monaco	0	
2389	mongolia	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2390	montenegro	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2391	montserrat	0	
2392	morocco	46	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
2393	mozambique	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2394	myanmar	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2395	namibia	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2396	nauru	0	

# cps19_bornin_other: What country were you born in			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2397	nepal	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2398	netherlands	103	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
2399	NEW CALEDONIA	0	
2400	NEW ZEALAND	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
2401	nicaragua	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2402	niger	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2403	nigeria	82	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
2404	niue	0	
2405	NORFOLK ISLAND	0	
2406	NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS	0	
2407	norway	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2408	oman	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2409	pakistan	127	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
2410	palau	0	
2411	PALESTINIAN TERRITORY, OCCUPIED	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2412	panama	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2413	PAPUA NEW GUINEA	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2414	paraguay	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2415	peru	24	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
2416	philippines	303	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
2417	pitcairn	0	
2418	poland	90	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
2419	portugal	65	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
2420	PUERTO RICO	0	
2421	qatar	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2422	r	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2423	romania	75	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
2424	RUSSIAN FEDERATION	96	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
2425	rwanda	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2426	SAINT BARTH	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2427	SAINT HELENA, ASCENSION AND TRISTAN DA CUNHA	0	
2428	SAINT KITTS AND NEVIS	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2429	SAINT LUCIA	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2430	SAINT MARTIN (FRENCH PART)	0	
2431	SAINT PIERRE AND MIQUELON	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2432	SAINT VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2433	samoa	0	
2434	SAN MARINO	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2435	SAO TOME AND PRINCIPE	0	
2436	SAUDI ARABIA	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
2437	senegal	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2438	serbia	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2439	seychelles	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2440	SIERRA LEONE	0	
2441	singapore	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%

# **# cps19\_bornin\_other: What country were you born in**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2442	SINT MAARTEN (DUTCH PART)	0	
2443	slovakia	14	0.2%
2444	slovenia	4	0.1%
2445	SOLOMON ISLANDS	0	
2446	somalia	2	0.0%
2447	SOUTH AFRICA	53	0.9%
2448	SOUTH GEORGIA AND THE SOUTH SANDWICH ISLANDS	0	
2449	SOUTH SUDAN	1	0.0%
2450	spain	11	0.2%
2451	SRI LANKA	40	0.7%
2452	sudan	3	0.0%
2453	suriname	2	0.0%
2454	SVALBARD AND JAN MAYEN	0	
2455	swaziland	1	0.0%
2456	sweden	15	0.2%
2457	switzerland	24	0.4%
2458	SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC	14	0.2%
2459	TAIWAN, PROVINCE OF CHINA	57	0.9%
2460	tajikistan	0	
2461	TANZANIA, UNITED REPUBLIC OF	17	0.3%
2462	thailand	16	0.3%
2463	TIMOR-LESTE	0	
2464	togo	3	0.0%
2465	tokelau	0	
2466	tonga	0	
2467	TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	51	0.8%
2468	tunisia	12	0.2%
2469	turkey	19	0.3%
2470	turkmenistan	0	
2471	TURKS AND CAICOS ISLANDS	0	
2472	tuvalu	0	
2473	uganda	6	0.1%
2474	ukraine	67	1.1%
2475	UNITED ARAB EMIRATES	22	0.4%
2476	UNITED KINGDOM	715	11.8%
2477	UNITED STATES	407	6.7%
2478	UNITED STATES MINOR OUTLYING ISLANDS	2	0.0%
2479	uruguay	6	0.1%
2480	uzbekistan	3	0.0%
2481	vanuatu	3	0.0%
2482	VENEZUELA, BOLIVARIAN REPUBLIC OF	43	0.7%
2483	vietnam	53	0.9%
2484	VIRGIN ISLANDS, BRITISH	0	
2485	VIRGIN ISLANDS, U.S.	1	0.0%
2486	WALLIS AND FUTUNA	2	0.0%

# cps19_bornin_other: What country were you born in			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2487	WESTERN SAHARA	0	
2488	yemen	1	0.0%
2489	zambia	4	0.1%
2490	zimbabwe	8	0.1%
2491	Don't know/ Prefer not to say	120	2.0%
Sysmiss		31776	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_imm_year: In what year did you come to live in Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 306-406] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6046 /-] [Invalid=31776 /-]		
Literal question	In what year did you come to live in Canada?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
306	1920	15	0.2%
307	1921	3	0.0%
308	1922	6	0.1%
309	1923	2	0.0%
310	1924	2	0.0%
311	1925	2	0.0%
312	1926	2	0.0%
313	1927	1	0.0%
314	1928	0	
315	1929	2	0.0%
316	1930	4	0.1%
317	1931	1	0.0%
318	1932	2	0.0%
319	1933	0	
320	1934	1	0.0%
321	1935	2	0.0%
322	1936	2	0.0%
323	1937	0	
324	1938	2	0.0%
325	1939	0	
326	1940	2	0.0%
327	1941	2	0.0%
328	1942	1	0.0%
329	1943	0	
330	1944	5	0.1%
331	1945	4	0.1%
332	1946	12	0.2%
333	1947	10	0.2%
334	1948	21	0.3%
335	1949	16	0.3%
336	1950	22	0.4%
337	1951	34	0.6%

# cps19\_imm\_year: In what year did you come to live in Canada

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
338	1952	38	0.6%
339	1953	47	0.8%
340	1954	36	0.6%
341	1955	24	0.4%
342	1956	53	0.9%
343	1957	73	1.2%
344	1958	32	0.5%
345	1959	34	0.6%
346	1960	40	0.7%
347	1961	31	0.5%
348	1962	29	0.5%
349	1963	43	0.7%
350	1964	33	0.5%
351	1965	44	0.7%
352	1966	66	1.1%
353	1967	140	2.3%
354	1968	78	1.3%
355	1969	82	1.4%
356	1970	80	1.3%
357	1971	64	1.1%
358	1972	73	1.2%
359	1973	91	1.5%
360	1974	109	1.8%
361	1975	94	1.6%
362	1976	75	1.2%
363	1977	73	1.2%
364	1978	51	0.8%
365	1979	50	0.8%
366	1980	83	1.4%
367	1981	78	1.3%
368	1982	71	1.2%
369	1983	36	0.6%
370	1984	61	1.0%
371	1985	58	1.0%
372	1986	52	0.9%
373	1987	63	1.0%
374	1988	105	1.7%
375	1989	109	1.8%
376	1990	131	2.2%
377	1991	84	1.4%
378	1992	91	1.5%
379	1993	85	1.4%
380	1994	92	1.5%
381	1995	101	1.7%
382	1996	106	1.8%

# cps19_imm_year: In what year did you come to live in Canada			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
383	1997	110	1.8%
384	1998	95	1.6%
385	1999	108	1.8%
386	2000	170	2.8%
387	2001	135	2.2%
388	2002	108	1.8%
389	2003	111	1.8%
390	2004	107	1.8%
391	2005	121	2.0%
392	2006	120	2.0%
393	2007	135	2.2%
394	2008	139	2.3%
395	2009	115	1.9%
396	2010	143	2.4%
397	2011	123	2.0%
398	2012	154	2.5%
399	2013	125	2.1%
400	2014	113	1.9%
401	2015	120	2.0%
402	2016	85	1.4%
403	2017	97	1.6%
404	2018	94	1.6%
405	2019	109	1.8%
406	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	42	0.7%
Sysmiss		31776	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_ethnicity_23: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 1			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1738 /-] [Invalid=36084 /-]		
Literal question	\${e://Field/ethnicity_intro} Please select all that apply.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Aboriginal/ First Nations	1738	100.0%
Sysmiss		36084	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_ethnicity_24: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6749 /-] [Invalid=31073 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	British	6749	100.0%
Sysmiss		31073	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_ethnicity_25: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 3			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		



# cps19_ethnicity_25: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 3			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1493 -/] [Invalid=36329 -/]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chinese	1493	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		36329	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_ethnicity_26: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 4			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1210 -/] [Invalid=36612 -/]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Dutch	1210	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		36612	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_ethnicity_27: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 5			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9053 -/] [Invalid=28769 -/]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	English	9053	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		28769	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_ethnicity_28: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 6			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3025 -/] [Invalid=34797 -/]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	French	3025	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		34797	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_ethnicity_29: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 7			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6829 -/] [Invalid=30993 -/]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	French Canadian	6829	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		30993	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_ethnicity_30: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 8			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3073 -/] [Invalid=34749 -/]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	German	3073	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		34749	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_ethnicity_31: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 9			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	

# cps19_ethnicity_31: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 9			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=480 /-] [Invalid=37342 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Hispanic	480	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37342	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_ethnicity_32: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 10			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=882 /-] [Invalid=36940 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Indian	882	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		36940	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_ethnicity_33: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 11			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=45 /-] [Invalid=37777 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Inuk/ Inuit	45	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37777	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_ethnicity_34: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 12			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4082 /-] [Invalid=33740 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Irish	4082	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		33740	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_ethnicity_35: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 13			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1394 /-] [Invalid=36428 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Italian	1394	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		36428	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_ethnicity_36: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 14			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=605 /-] [Invalid=37217 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	M	605	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37217	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_ethnicity_37: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 15			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	

# cps19_ethnicity_37: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 15			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1025 /-] [Invalid=36797 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Polish	1025	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		36797	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_ethnicity_38: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 16			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2944 /-] [Invalid=34878 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Qu	2944	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		34878	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_ethnicity_39: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 17			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4294 /-] [Invalid=33528 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Scottish	4294	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		33528	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_ethnicity_40: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 18			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1753 /-] [Invalid=36069 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Ukrainian	1753	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		36069	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_ethnicity_41: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 19			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5225 /-] [Invalid=32597 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Other 1 (please specify)	5225	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		32597	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_ethnicity_42: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 20			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=552 /-] [Invalid=37270 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Other 2 (please specify)	552	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37270	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_ethnicity_43: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 21			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		

# cps19_ethnicity_43: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 21			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2783 /-] [Invalid=35039 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	2783	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		35039	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_ethnicity_41_TEXT: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 22			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5225 /-]	
# cps19_ethnicity_42_TEXT: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 23			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=552 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-		1	<div></div> 0.2%
.		1	<div></div> 0.2%
. . . n.a. . .		1	<div></div> 0.2%
0		1	<div></div> 0.2%
ALGERIENNE		1	<div></div> 0.2%
AMERICAN		1	<div></div> 0.2%
Acadien		2	<div></div> 0.4%
Adopted Asian children		1	<div></div> 0.2%
African		7	<div></div> 1.3%
African Americans		1	<div></div> 0.2%
African american		1	<div></div> 0.2%
Afrikaans		1	<div></div> 0.2%
American		15	<div></div> 2.7%
American Homesteader		1	<div></div> 0.2%
American homesteader		1	<div></div> 0.2%
American since 1629		1	<div></div> 0.2%
Antillais		1	<div></div> 0.2%
Arab		3	<div></div> 0.5%
Armenian		4	<div></div> 0.7%
Armenian (West Asian)		1	<div></div> 0.2%
Asian		1	<div></div> 0.2%
Asutralian		1	<div></div> 0.2%
Australian		3	<div></div> 0.5%
Austrian		12	<div></div> 2.2%
Austro-Hungarian		1	<div></div> 0.2%
Bahamian		1	<div></div> 0.2%
Balkans		1	<div></div> 0.2%

# cps19\_ethnicity\_42\_TEXT: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 23

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Baltic heritages		1	0.2%
Belarusian		1	0.2%
Belgian		3	0.5%
Belgium		1	0.2%
Black		4	0.7%
Black African		1	0.2%
Black Canadian (mixed)		1	0.2%
Black latino		1	0.2%
Bolivian		1	0.2%
Born in Canada		1	0.2%
Bosnian		1	0.2%
Brayon		1	0.2%
Brazilian		1	0.2%
Breton		1	0.2%
British & Danish		1	0.2%
British Isles		1	0.2%
British/white		1	0.2%
Byelorussian		1	0.2%
CANADIAN		1	0.2%
CANADIAN ONLY		1	0.2%
Can't think of any more		1	0.2%
Canadian		15	2.7%
Canadien Anglais		1	0.2%
Caribbean		7	1.3%
Carribean		1	0.2%
Caucasian		2	0.4%
Central European		1	0.2%
Cherokee		1	0.2%
Chinese		2	0.4%
Citoyen du monde		1	0.2%
Couleur brune		1	0.2%
Croatian		3	0.5%
Czec		1	0.2%
Czech		6	1.1%
Danish		14	2.5%
Dominican		2	0.4%
Dutch		2	0.4%
Dutch & Latvian		1	0.2%
ESTONIAN		1	0.2%
East European		1	0.2%

# cps19\_ethnicity\_42\_TEXT: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 23

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Easteren Eurpeon		1	0.2%
Eastern Europe		1	0.2%
Eastern European		1	0.2%
Eastern european		1	0.2%
English		1	0.2%
English Canadian why is that not a choise .. why french canadian		1	0.2%
Espagnol		3	0.5%
Estonian		4	0.7%
European		1	0.2%
European jewish		1	0.2%
Fijian		1	0.2%
Filipino		5	0.9%
Finish		1	0.2%
Finnish		5	0.9%
First Nations		1	0.2%
Flemish		1	0.2%
Francophone		1	0.2%
French		2	0.4%
French-Canadian		1	0.2%
Georgian		1	0.2%
German		6	1.1%
Ghanaian		1	0.2%
Goan		1	0.2%
Greek		7	1.3%
Guinéen		1	0.2%
Guyanese		4	0.7%
Gypsy		1	0.2%
HUMAN!		1	0.2%
Haitian		2	0.4%
Hawaiian		1	0.2%
Hungarian		11	2.0%
Hungarian and British		1	0.2%
IRISH		1	0.2%
Ibibio		1	0.2%
Icelandic		4	0.7%
Igbo		1	0.2%
Iranian		3	0.5%
Ireland		1	0.2%
Irish		5	0.9%
Irish/French		1	0.2%

# cps19\_ethnicity\_42\_TEXT: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 23

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Israel		2	0.4%
Israeli		1	0.2%
Italian		2	0.4%
Jamaican		4	0.7%
Japanese		5	0.9%
Je suis québécoise au plus profond de moi		1	0.2%
Jewish		17	3.1%
Jewish (on-religious ethnic)		1	0.2%
Jewish/Russian		1	0.2%
Korean		1	0.2%
Latino		1	0.2%
Latvian		1	0.2%
Lebanese		1	0.2%
Lil viking		1	0.2%
Lithuanian		1	0.2%
MUSLIM		1	0.2%
Macedonian		4	0.7%
Malaysian		1	0.2%
Maltese		1	0.2%
Many different European countries. Hienz 57, if you will.		1	0.2%
Mennonite		1	0.2%
Middle East		1	0.2%
Middle Easterner		1	0.2%
Muslim		1	0.2%
NONE		1	0.2%
NORWEGIAN		1	0.2%
Na		1	0.2%
Native indian		1	0.2%
Newfoundlander		1	0.2%
None		2	0.4%
None other		1	0.2%
Nordic		1	0.2%
North african		1	0.2%
Norway		1	0.2%
Norwegian		24	4.3%
Norwegion		2	0.4%
Norweigan		2	0.4%
Norwegian		1	0.2%
Norweign		1	0.2%

# cps19\_ethnicity\_42\_TEXT: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 23

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Oriental ( Canadian citizen)		1	0.2%
Oromo		1	0.2%
Other		1	0.2%
Other non jews		1	0.2%
PAKISTANI		1	0.2%
Pacific Islander		2	0.4%
Pakistan		1	0.2%
Pakistani		1	0.2%
Palestinian		2	0.4%
Parisian		1	0.2%
Parisian French		1	0.2%
Pennsylvania dutch		1	0.2%
Persian		2	0.4%
Phenicien		1	0.2%
Philipino		1	0.2%
Polish		4	0.7%
Portuguese		1	0.2%
Portuguese (Brazilian)		1	0.2%
ROMANIAN		1	0.2%
RUSSIAN		1	0.2%
Racist survey		1	0.2%
Romainan		1	0.2%
Romaine		1	0.2%
Romani		1	0.2%
Romanian		13	2.4%
Russia		1	0.2%
Russian		16	2.9%
Scandinavian		4	0.7%
Scotish		1	0.2%
Scottish		6	1.1%
Seedish		1	0.2%
Siberian		1	0.2%
Slovak		5	0.9%
Slovakian		1	0.2%
Slovene		1	0.2%
Slovenian		2	0.4%
South African		3	0.5%
South American		1	0.2%
South Asian		1	0.2%
Southeast Asian		1	0.2%
Spanish		7	1.3%
Sri Lankan		2	0.4%



# cps19\_ethnicity\_42\_TEXT: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 23

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Swede		1	0.2%
Sweden		1	0.2%
Swedish		28	5.1%
Sweedish		1	0.2%
Swiss		2	0.4%
TRINIDADIAN		1	0.2%
Tahiti		1	0.2%
Taiwanese		1	0.2%
Tamil		1	0.2%
This is a little offensive that since I'm biracial I need to also identify as other!		1	0.2%
Trinidadian		1	0.2%
Ukrainian		2	0.4%
Ukranian		1	0.2%
Unitarian		1	0.2%
Vietnamese		2	0.4%
Vincentian		1	0.2%
Visible Minority		1	0.2%
Welsh		9	1.6%
West Asian		1	0.2%
West Indian		6	1.1%
West Indian/ Caribbean		1	0.2%
african		2	0.4%
african canadian		1	0.2%
amerrican		1	0.2%
black		1	0.2%
british		1	0.2%
canadian		2	0.4%
czech		2	0.4%
earthling		1	0.2%
east indian		1	0.2%
eastern asia		1	0.2%
european		1	0.2%
finnish		1	0.2%
french		1	0.2%
german		1	0.2%
guyanese		1	0.2%
haitian		1	0.2%
hungarian		1	0.2%
irish		2	0.4%
irish , english		1	0.2%
jewish		2	0.4%
korean		1	0.2%







### # cps19\_ethnicity\_42\_TEXT: Ethnicity - Please select all that apply 23

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
lithuania		1	 0.2%
middle eastern		1	 0.2%
newfie		1	 0.2%
none		1	 0.2%
norman french		1	 0.2%
pacific islander		1	 0.2%
portuguese		1	 0.2%
quebecois, irish		1	 0.2%
roma gypsy		1	 0.2%
romania		1	 0.2%
romanian		2	 0.4%
russian		4	 0.7%
scottish		1	 0.2%
siberian		1	 0.2%
solmallia		1	 0.2%
spanish		1	 0.2%
swedish		3	 0.5%
swiss		1	 0.2%
ussr		1	 0.2%
viking		1	 0.2%
welsh		2	 0.4%
white		1	 0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps19\_sexuality: Do you consider yourself to be: - Selected Choice




<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you consider yourself to be:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Heterosexual	32632	 86.3%
2	Homosexual	1566	 4.1%
3	Bisexual	1635	 4.3%
4	Other	431	 1.1%
5	Don't know	282	 0.7%
6	Prefer not to say	1276	 3.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps19\_sexuality\_4\_TEXT: Do you consider yourself to be: - Other - Text

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=314 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2 spirit		1	 0.3%
2 sports or me		1	 0.3%
A bit of everything		1	 0.3%

# **# cps19\_sexuality\_4\_TEXT: Do you consider yourself to be: - Other - Text**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
A married woman with family...		1	0.3%
A normal female human		1	0.3%
A womanbthat loves my husband and no-one else		1	0.3%
Asexual		1	0.3%
Aromantic		1	0.3%
As God made me		1	0.3%
Asexual		35	11.1%
Asexual and queer		1	0.3%
Asexuel		2	0.6%
Asexuelle		1	0.3%
Asexuelle Panromantique		1	0.3%
Assexuelle		2	0.6%
Attracted to people not genders		1	0.3%
Aux hommes		1	0.3%
Bi-Curious		1	0.3%
Bi-curious		1	0.3%
Biromantic Asexual		2	0.6%
Bit of everything		1	0.3%
Cosmosexuel		1	0.3%
Demi sexual		1	0.3%
Demisexual		3	1.0%
Demosexual		1	0.3%
Female		4	1.3%
Gender Queer		1	0.3%
HUMAN		1	0.3%
Hetero transgender male		1	0.3%
Heteroflexible		1	0.3%
Hetroflexiable		1	0.3%
Homosapien		1	0.3%
Human being		1	0.3%
I LIKE WOMEN ONLY		1	0.3%
I don't consider myself to be, I am.		1	0.3%
I don't like labels. You love who you love!		1	0.3%

# **# cps19\_sexuality\_4\_TEXT: Do you consider yourself to be: - Other - Text**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
I love the person I love. Period.		1	0.3%
I'm a Male to female transgendered woman who is heterosexual. (I like men). I was homosexual when I was a Male, before I transitioned into a woman		1	0.3%
I'm straight		1	0.3%
Irrelevant		1	0.3%
It's complicated.		1	0.3%
Just me		1	0.3%
LESBIAN		1	0.3%
Lesbian		6	1.9%
Lesbian (do not use "homosexual" use lgbtq+ "homosexual" it has derogatory connotations..		1	0.3%
Lovesexual		1	0.3%
Male		2	0.6%
Male monogamist who recognizes the God given beauty of the female form.		1	0.3%
Moose		1	0.3%
Mostly Heterosexual		1	0.3%
My wife is transgender		1	0.3%
Neither		1	0.3%
No		2	0.6%
Non		1	0.3%
Non sexual		1	0.3%
Non-Binary Pansexual		1	0.3%
None		2	0.6%
Normal		3	1.0%
Normal woman of 59 married 17 years.		1	0.3%
Normsl		1	0.3%
Open minded		1	0.3%
Pan		1	0.3%

# **# cps19\_sexuality\_4\_TEXT: Do you consider yourself to be: - Other - Text**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Pan sexual, asexual		1	0.3%
Pan-sexual		1	0.3%
Pansexual		64	20.4%
Pansexual and Demisexual		1	0.3%
Pansexuel		2	0.6%
Pansexuelle		4	1.3%
Polyamorous		2	0.6%
Predominantly heterosexual		1	0.3%
Queer		11	3.5%
Queer (no exact preference)		1	0.3%
Queer Asexual		1	0.3%
Queer/ gynesexual		1	0.3%
Questioning		2	0.6%
STRAIGH		1	0.3%
STRAIGHT :)		1	0.3%
Sexual		1	0.3%
Straight		34	10.8%
Straight female		1	0.3%
Straight white male!		1	0.3%
Straight. I only like pussy		1	0.3%
Stupid question		1	0.3%
The fact that I have to specify my gender is disturbing and annoying honestly it is I'm just a human being and I am a girl and I'm heterosexual I was born with my sexuality and my gender and it never changed nor will it ever be because that science it's r		1	0.3%
Transsexual		1	0.3%
Transexuel		1	0.3%
Transgender		1	0.3%
Transgendered		1	0.3%
Une normal personne crée par Dieu		1	0.3%

# **# cps19\_sexuality\_4\_TEXT: Do you consider yourself to be: - Other - Text**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
What kind of a stupid question is that?		1	0.3%
Why is this important		1	0.3%
Woman married to a man		1	0.3%
Wonan		1	0.3%
Yor mom		1	0.3%
aromantic asexual		1	0.3%
asexual		8	2.5%
family member		1	0.3%
femme		1	0.3%
im not exactly sure ive been with a woman , not really my cup of tea i prefer men by far , but given the right situation i just might do it again		1	0.3%
in committed hetero relationship, bisexual and gender queer		1	0.3%
just old		1	0.3%
lesbian		1	0.3%
nil		1	0.3%
no		1	0.3%
none		1	0.3%
none of above		1	0.3%
none of the above		1	0.3%
normal		1	0.3%
pansexual		12	3.8%
pansexual with a strong preference for feminine identifying people		1	0.3%
pansexuel		1	0.3%
polysexual		1	0.3%
queer		6	1.9%
questioning		1	0.3%
should not matter to this poll		1	0.3%
straight		9	2.9%

# cps19_sexuality_4_TEXT: Do you consider yourself to be: - Other - Text			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
strait		1	0.3%
striaght		1	0.3%
two-spirited		1	0.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps190: Do you consider yourself to be: - Other - Text			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
eally annoying heterosexual homosexual what the hell does it have to do with anything		1	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_language_68: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 1			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=29213 /-] [Invalid=8609 /-]		
Literal question	Which language(s) did you learn as a child and still understand today? (Select all that apply)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	English	29213	100.0%
Sysmiss		8609	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_language_69: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12007 /-] [Invalid=25815 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	French	12007	100.0%
Sysmiss		25815	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_language_70: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 3			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=163 /-] [Invalid=37659 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Aboriginal language (please specify)	163	100.0%
Sysmiss		37659	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_language_71: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 4			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=417 /-] [Invalid=37405 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Arabic	417	100.0%
Sysmiss		37405	

# cps19_language_71: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 4			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_language_72: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 5			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1198 /-] [Invalid=36624 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chinese, Cantonese, Mandarin	1198	100.0%
Sysmiss		36624	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_language_73: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 6			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=549 /-] [Invalid=37273 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Filipino / Tagalog	549	100.0%
Sysmiss		37273	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_language_74: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 7			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=833 /-] [Invalid=36989 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	German	833	100.0%
Sysmiss		36989	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_language_75: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 8			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=763 /-] [Invalid=37059 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Indian, Hindi, Gujarati	763	100.0%
Sysmiss		37059	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_language_76: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 9			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=611 /-] [Invalid=37211 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Italian	611	100.0%
Sysmiss		37211	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_language_77: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 10			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=79 /-] [Invalid=37743 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Korean	79	100.0%
Sysmiss		37743	



# cps19_language_77: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 10			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_language_78: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 11			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=314 /-] [Invalid=37508 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Pakistani, Punjabi, Urdu	314	100.0%
Sysmiss		37508	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_language_79: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 12			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=92 /-] [Invalid=37730 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Persian, Farsi	92	100.0%
Sysmiss		37730	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# cps19_language_80: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 13			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=276 /-] [Invalid=37546 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Russian	276	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37546	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_language_81: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 14			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=793 /-] [Invalid=37029 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Spanish	793	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37029	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_language_82: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 15			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=95 /-] [Invalid=37727 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Tamil	95	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37727	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_language_83: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 16			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=105 /-] [Invalid=37717 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Vietnamese	105	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37717	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_language_84: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 17			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1838 /-] [Invalid=35984 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Other (please specify)	1838	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		35984	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_language_85: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 18			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=261 /-] [Invalid=37561 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	261	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37561	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# **# cps19\_language\_70\_TEXT: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 19**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=163 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
A bit of cree		1	0.6%
ARABE		1	0.6%
Abenaki		1	0.6%
Anishnabe		2	1.2%
Arabe		1	0.6%
Armenian		1	0.6%
Atikamek		1	0.6%
Bengali		1	0.6%
Black foot		1	0.6%
Blackfoot		3	1.8%
CREE		1	0.6%
Carrier		1	0.6%
Cayuga		2	1.2%
Cayuga , Mohawk		1	0.6%
Chipewyan Cree		1	0.6%
Cree		38	23.3%
Croatian		1	0.6%
Créole		1	0.6%
Dene		3	1.8%
Esquamalt		1	0.6%
Esquimaux		1	0.6%
Farsi		1	0.6%
HINDI GUJARATI		1	0.6%
Haida Skidegate dialect		1	0.6%
Hebrew		1	0.6%
Hulquminum		1	0.6%
Huron		1	0.6%
Innu		1	0.6%
Inuk		1	0.6%
Inuktitut		6	3.7%
Kabyle		1	0.6%
Kwak'wala		1	0.6%
M'ikmaq		1	0.6%
Maliset		1	0.6%
Mechif		1	0.6%
Metif		1	0.6%
Mi'kmaq		2	1.2%
Mic Mac		1	0.6%
Mic mac		1	0.6%
Michif		2	1.2%

# **# cps19\_language\_70\_TEXT: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 19**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Micmac		1	0.6%
Mikmaq		1	0.6%
Minimal cree		1	0.6%
Miqmaq		1	0.6%
Mischief		1	0.6%
Mohawk		3	1.8%
Nani.		1	0.6%
None		1	0.6%
Odawa ' Pottawatimi		1	0.6%
Ojibway		5	3.1%
Ojibwe		4	2.5%
Okanagan		1	0.6%
Oromo		1	0.6%
PUNJABI		1	0.6%
Patios		1	0.6%
Plains cree		1	0.6%
Polish		1	0.6%
Portugais		1	0.6%
Portuguese		1	0.6%
Romanian		1	0.6%
Rumanian		1	0.6%
Russian		1	0.6%
Saulteaux		1	0.6%
Sencoten		1	0.6%
Senkoten		1	0.6%
Shuswap		2	1.2%
Some carrier		1	0.6%
Something good		1	0.6%
Spanish		1	0.6%
Squamish language		1	0.6%
Sta'al'atmic Intior Salish		1	0.6%
Swampy cree		1	0.6%
Telugy		1	0.6%
Tla'Amin		1	0.6%
Tsilqot'in		1	0.6%
Tsimshian		1	0.6%
Vietnamese		1	0.6%
Wet'suwet'en		1	0.6%
Wetsuweten		1	0.6%
Yoruba		1	0.6%
allemand		1	0.6%
cree		10	6.1%
creole		1	0.6%

### # cps19\_language\_70\_TEXT: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 19

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
espagnol		1	0.6%
greek		1	0.6%
inuttitut		1	0.6%
kabyle		1	0.6%
miqmaq the tall reds cancelled me because they were afraid of me		1	0.6%
mohawk		1	0.6%
neyinawaywin cree		1	0.6%
nil		1	0.6%
oji cree		1	0.6%
ojibway		1	0.6%
pottawantami		1	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cps19\_language\_84\_TEXT: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 20

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1838 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
A bit of French		1	0.1%
ARMENIAN		1	0.1%
ARMINIAN		1	0.1%
ASL		11	0.6%
ASL American Sign Language		1	0.1%
African		2	0.1%
Afrikaans		13	0.7%
Albanian		5	0.3%
Albanian (not fluently)		1	0.1%
American Sign Language		4	0.2%
Amharic		3	0.2%
Arabe libanais		1	0.1%
Arabic		1	0.1%
Armenia, Turkish		1	0.1%
Armenian		12	0.7%
Armenian, Turkish		1	0.1%
Armenien		1	0.1%
Asl		2	0.1%
Assyrian		2	0.1%
Austrian		1	0.1%
BANGLA		3	0.2%
BURUSHASKI		1	0.1%

## # cps19\_language\_84\_TEXT: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 20

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Bahasa Indonesia		1	0.1%
Bahasa Malaysia		1	0.1%
Bambara		1	0.1%
Bangali		2	0.1%
Bangla		5	0.3%
Bangladeshi		1	0.1%
Bantu		1	0.1%
Belarusian		2	0.1%
Belorussian		1	0.1%
Bemba and Swahili		1	0.1%
Bengali		19	1.0%
Berbère		2	0.1%
Bosnian		6	0.3%
Both English and French		1	0.1%
Bulgarian		6	0.3%
Burmese		1	0.1%
Burushaski		1	0.1%
CREOLE		2	0.1%
CROATIAN		2	0.1%
CROATION		2	0.1%
CZECH		1	0.1%
Cambodian		2	0.1%
Canadien		1	0.1%
Caribbean		1	0.1%
Catalan		1	0.1%
Cebuano		1	0.1%
Czechoslovakian		1	0.1%
Chinese		1	0.1%
Chinese Hakka		1	0.1%
Chinese and Malay		1	0.1%
Chinese-Hakka		1	0.1%
Cree		1	0.1%
Creole		12	0.7%
Creyol		1	0.1%
Croatiaj		1	0.1%
Croatian		20	1.1%
Croatian		1	0.1%
Créole		12	0.7%
Créole haïtien		2	0.1%
Czech		12	0.7%
Czechoslovakian		1	0.1%
DUTCH		2	0.1%

# **# cps19\_language\_84\_TEXT: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 20**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Danish		12	0.7%
Dari		1	0.1%
Ditch		1	0.1%
Dutch		100	5.4%
Dutch & Afrikaans		1	0.1%
Dutch,		1	0.1%
Dutch, Frisian		1	0.1%
ESTONIAN		3	0.2%
English/ Ukrainian		1	0.1%
Estonian		10	0.5%
Estonian & Finnish		1	0.1%
Estonian ,Latvian, lithuanian		1	0.1%
FARSI		1	0.1%
FIJIAN		1	0.1%
Farsi		1	0.1%
Filipino		1	0.1%
Finish		1	0.1%
Finnish		20	1.1%
Finnish & Estonian		1	0.1%
Flemish		5	0.3%
Fon		1	0.1%
French		1	0.1%
French - but not fluently		1	0.1%
French in school, but am not fluent		1	0.1%
Friesen		1	0.1%
Frisian		1	0.1%
Friulain		1	0.1%
Fulani		1	0.1%
GREEK		6	0.3%
Gaelic		5	0.3%
Gailic		1	0.1%
Gaélique		1	0.1%
Georgian		2	0.1%
German		3	0.2%
German dialect		1	0.1%
Grec		1	0.1%
Greek		98	5.3%
Gujarati		2	0.1%
HEBREW		1	0.1%
HINDI		2	0.1%

## # cps19\_language\_84\_TEXT: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 20

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
HUNGARIAN		1	0.1%
Haitian Creole		1	0.1%
Haitian creole		2	0.1%
Hakka Chinese		1	0.1%
Hebrew		41	2.2%
Hebrew, french		1	0.1%
Hessian dialect		1	0.1%
Hiligaynon		1	0.1%
Hindi		3	0.2%
Hindi, punjabi		1	0.1%
Hungarian		51	2.8%
Hungarian, Romanian		1	0.1%
Hungarian, Slovak		1	0.1%
Hungarian/ German		1	0.1%
I am definitely not fluent in French and especially German		1	0.1%
I still somewhat know a small amount of French but not much I didn't want to click french cause it's honestly like 9-10 things i know how to pronounce or understand		1	0.1%
INDIAN PUNJABI		1	0.1%
ITALINA		1	0.1%
Ibibio		1	0.1%
Ibo		1	0.1%
Icelandic		1	0.1%
Igbo		5	0.3%
Ilocano		2	0.1%
Ilokano		1	0.1%
Ilongo		1	0.1%
Indian-Odia		1	0.1%
Indo Bahasa		1	0.1%
Indonesian		8	0.4%
Inuktitut		1	0.1%
Iranien		1	0.1%
Irish		2	0.1%
Irish Gaelic		1	0.1%



## # cps19\_language\_84\_TEXT: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 20

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Irish, Ukrainian		1	0.1%
Italian		5	0.3%
Japanese		42	2.3%
Japanese.		2	0.1%
Japonais		3	0.2%
Jewish		3	0.2%
KINYARWANDA		1	0.1%
Karen		1	0.1%
Kashmiri		1	0.1%
Katchi		1	0.1%
Khmer		2	0.1%
Kiswahili		1	0.1%
Kituba		1	0.1%
Konkani		1	0.1%
Konkani and Kiswahili		1	0.1%
Korean		2	0.1%
Kurdish		3	0.2%
Kutchi		1	0.1%
LATVIAN		2	0.1%
LIMITED ABILITY WITH RUSSIAN NOW		1	0.1%
LITHUANIAN		1	0.1%
LITHUANIAN		1	0.1%
LUXEMBOURGISH		1	0.1%
Lao		1	0.1%
Laocien		1	0.1%
Laotian		2	0.1%
Latin		4	0.2%
Lativan		1	0.1%
Latvian		15	0.8%
Latvian & a little French		1	0.1%
Learned quotations in Vedic		1	0.1%
Lebanese		1	0.1%
Lingala		1	0.1%
Lithuanian		13	0.7%
Low German		12	0.7%
Low german		1	0.1%
Low germen		1	0.1%
Luxembourg isn't		1	0.1%
MACEDONIAN		1	0.1%
MALAY		1	0.1%

# **# cps19\_language\_84\_TEXT: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 20**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
MALTESE		1	0.1%
MINA		1	0.1%
Macedonian		6	0.3%
Magyar		2	0.1%
Maithili		1	0.1%
Malay		4	0.2%
Malayalam		3	0.2%
Malayalam, Marathi		1	0.1%
Malgache		1	0.1%
Maltese		5	0.3%
Maltese , French		1	0.1%
Maori		1	0.1%
Marathi		1	0.1%
Mooré		1	0.1%
Nederlands		1	0.1%
Neerlandais		1	0.1%
Nepali		5	0.3%
Newfie		2	0.1%
Nipppppppppon		1	0.1%
None		1	0.1%
Nord d Afrique		1	0.1%
Northern european		1	0.1%
Norwegian		8	0.4%
Oromo		1	0.1%
POLISH		12	0.7%
PORTUGAIS		1	0.1%
PORTUGESE		1	0.1%
PORTUGUESE		4	0.2%
Panjabi		1	0.1%
Pashto		1	0.1%
Patios		1	0.1%
Patois		2	0.1%
Persian(Farsi)		1	0.1%
Pig latin		1	0.1%
Piglatin		1	0.1%
Pilipino		1	0.1%
Polish		118	6.4%
Polish and Ukrainian		1	0.1%
Polish, Latino,		1	0.1%
Polish, Ukrainian		1	0.1%
Polonais		2	0.1%
Portugais		12	0.7%

# **# cps19\_language\_84\_TEXT: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 20**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Portugese		7	0.4%
Portugues		1	0.1%
Portuguese		144	7.8%
Portuguese. Put it on the list		2	0.1%
Portuguess		1	0.1%
Português		4	0.2%
Português, French , Spanish		1	0.1%
Poular		2	0.1%
Punjabi		11	0.6%
Punjabi, Indian		1	0.1%
Pyhon		1	0.1%
ROMANIAN		4	0.2%
RUSSIAN		1	0.1%
Roma, Czech,		1	0.1%
Romanian		33	1.8%
Romanian, Hungarian		1	0.1%
Roumain		2	0.1%
Roumaine		1	0.1%
Roumanian		2	0.1%
Russian		2	0.1%
Russian ukrainian		1	0.1%
Russian, Hungarian, Bulgarian, Serbian, Croatian		1	0.1%
Rwandese		1	0.1%
SLOVENIAN		1	0.1%
SPANISH		1	0.1%
SWAHILI		2	0.1%
Scots		1	0.1%
Scottish and Irish dialects		1	0.1%
Serbian		21	1.1%
Serbian, Hungarian		1	0.1%
Serbo-Croatian		3	0.2%
Serbo-croatian		2	0.1%
Shona		3	0.2%
Sign		1	0.1%
Sign lamguage		1	0.1%
Sign language		7	0.4%
Sinhala		3	0.2%
Sinhalese		5	0.3%

# **# cps19\_language\_84\_TEXT: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 20**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Slovak		11	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
Slovak, Czech		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Slovanian		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Slovenia		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Slovenian		6	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
Slovène		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Somali		6	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
Some Afrikaans, some French		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Some Dutch		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Some French		3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
Some French and ASL		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Some French,Spanish and Filipino		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Some Polish		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Souix		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Spanish		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Spoke French and still understand minimal		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Spoke Hungarian first but lost it when sent to my Canadian grandparents		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Suisse-Allemand		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Swahili		7	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Swed		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Swedish		13	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Swedish....Estonian		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Swiss		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Swiss German		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Swiss-German		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Tagalog		5	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
Taiwanese		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Tamil		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Telegu		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Telugu		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Telugu, Kannada		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Tgai		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Thai		8	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Thai, Swiss German		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Thai/ Laotian		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Thanks		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%

## # cps19\_language\_84\_TEXT: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 20

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Three Ghanian languages		1	0.1%
Tibetan		1	0.1%
Tigrinya		2	0.1%
Tigrynia		1	0.1%
Tok pisin		1	0.1%
Turkish		10	0.5%
Twi		6	0.3%
Tyroleon		1	0.1%
UKR		1	0.1%
UKRAIAINAND		1	0.1%
UKRAINIAN		5	0.3%
URDU		3	0.2%
Ukraine		2	0.1%
Ukrainian		105	5.7%
Ukrainian and Polish		1	0.1%
Ukrainian and polish		1	0.1%
Ukrainian, Polish		1	0.1%
Ukrainian, Yiddish		1	0.1%
Ukranian		9	0.5%
Ukrianain		1	0.1%
Ulrainian		1	0.1%
Un peu allemand, un peu Italien		1	0.1%
Urdu		15	0.8%
Urdu (Indian)		1	0.1%
Urdu, Pashto		1	0.1%
Vietnamese		1	0.1%
Welsh		5	0.3%
YORUBA		3	0.2%
Yiddish		14	0.8%
Yiddish. Hebrew		1	0.1%
Yoruba		17	0.9%
Yugoslavian		1	0.1%
Zulu		2	0.1%
Zulu & Afrikaans		1	0.1%
a few others		1	0.1%
african from djibuti		1	0.1%
afrikaans		2	0.1%
albanian		1	0.1%
armenian		1	0.1%

# **# cps19\_language\_84\_TEXT: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 20**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
bengali		3	0.2%
berbere		1	0.1%
bisaya		1	0.1%
bosnian		1	0.1%
bulgarian		2	0.1%
canadain		1	0.1%
canadian		1	0.1%
chinese hakka		1	0.1%
creole		7	0.4%
croatian		2	0.1%
crotian		1	0.1%
créole		4	0.2%
czech		3	0.2%
dialecte marocain		1	0.1%
dutch		22	1.2%
dutch, swedish		1	0.1%
dutch/english		1	0.1%
dutch/frisian		1	0.1%
english		1	0.1%
english and french		1	0.1%
finnish		6	0.3%
flamand		1	0.1%
flemish		1	0.1%
francais		1	0.1%
french		1	0.1%
friulano (related to Italian)		1	0.1%
gaelic		1	0.1%
german		2	0.1%
greek		15	0.8%
haitian creole		1	0.1%
hebrew		5	0.3%
hungarian		7	0.4%
irish		1	0.1%
italian		1	0.1%
japan		1	0.1%
japanese		6	0.3%
kabyle		1	0.1%
korean		1	0.1%
langage signé		1	0.1%
langue des signes quebecoise		1	0.1%
laotian		1	0.1%
latin		1	0.1%

## # cps19\_language\_84\_TEXT: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 20

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
latvian		2	0.1%
lekongho		3	0.2%
lithuanian		1	0.1%
little bit Yiddish		1	0.1%
malay		1	0.1%
moldovian		1	0.1%
neerlandais		2	0.1%
no		1	0.1%
norwegian		1	0.1%
n�erlandais		1	0.1%
old chinese village dialect		1	0.1%
other		1	0.1%
panjabi		1	0.1%
patois		1	0.1%
persian		1	0.1%
polish		28	1.5%
polish, czech, italian		1	0.1%
polish, ukrainian		1	0.1%
portuguese		1	0.1%
portugais		4	0.2%
portuguese		16	0.9%
portuguesse		1	0.1%
portuguse		2	0.1%
potai		1	0.1%
punjabi		1	0.1%
romanian		9	0.5%
roumain		2	0.1%
roumaine		1	0.1%
roumanian		1	0.1%
russian, ukranian		1	0.1%
serbian		4	0.2%
sign		1	0.1%
sindhi		1	0.1%
sinhala		2	0.1%
sinhalese		1	0.1%
slovak		1	0.1%
slovenian		1	0.1%
somali		1	0.1%
some french		1	0.1%
spanish		2	0.1%
swahili		1	0.1%
swahili and somalian		1	0.1%
swedish		1	0.1%

# cps19_language_84_TEXT: Language(s) you learned as a child and still understand today 20			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
swedish polish ukranian		1	<div></div> 0.1%
tamil		1	<div></div> 0.1%
thai		1	<div></div> 0.1%
tigrinya		1	<div></div> 0.1%
turkish		2	<div></div> 0.1%
ukrainian		16	<div></div> 0.9%
ukranian		5	<div></div> 0.3%
urdu		7	<div></div> 0.4%
urdu, malay		1	<div></div> 0.1%
wDutch		1	<div></div> 0.1%
what jhappened to the Japanese language		1	<div></div> 0.1%
white		1	<div></div> 0.1%
yiddish		2	<div></div> 0.1%
yidish		1	<div></div> 0.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_employment: Employment status			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-13] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	What is your employment status? Are you currently...		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Working for pay full-time	16124	<div></div> 42.6%
2	Working for pay part-time	3415	<div></div> 9.0%
3	Self employed (with or without employees)	2517	<div></div> 6.7%
4	Retired	9008	<div></div> 23.8%
5	Unemployed/ looking for work	1676	<div></div> 4.4%
6	Student	1314	<div></div> 3.5%
7	Caring for a family	1077	<div></div> 2.8%
8	Disabled	1312	<div></div> 3.5%
9	Student and working for pay	177	<div></div> 0.5%
10	Caring for family and working for pay	121	<div></div> 0.3%
11	Retired and working for pay	321	<div></div> 0.8%
12	Other (please specify)	434	<div></div> 1.1%
13	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	326	<div></div> 0.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_employment_12_TEXT: Employment status 2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=434 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
A la retraite		1	<div></div> 0.2%
Accidente		1	<div></div> 0.2%
Accidenté de la route		1	<div></div> 0.2%



## # cps19\_employment\_12\_TEXT: Employment status 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Aidant naturel		1	0.2%
Aidante naturelle		1	0.2%
Aide sociale		2	0.5%
Aide sociale de dernier recours.		1	0.2%
Aide-social		1	0.2%
Alberta Works		1	0.2%
Ancien combattant		1	0.2%
Arrêt maladie indéterminée		1	0.2%
Arrêt de travail blessure		1	0.2%
Arrêt de travail pour cause médical		1	0.2%
Arrêt maladie		2	0.5%
Arrêt médical		1	0.2%
Athlete		1	0.2%
Au foyer		4	0.9%
Between employers		1	0.2%
Between jobs		1	0.2%
Bs		1	0.2%
Bénéficiaire de la Sécurité Financière Sociale, en attente d'un diagnostic en santé mentale		1	0.2%
CONGÉ DE MALADIE		1	0.2%
CPP Disability		1	0.2%
Career break		1	0.2%
Caring in the home for parent on palliative care		1	0.2%
Casual/on-call		1	0.2%
Cnesst		2	0.5%
Co-op student		1	0.2%
Congé de maternité		1	0.2%
Congé maladie		3	0.7%
Congé maladie longue durée		1	0.2%
Congé parental		1	0.2%
Contract Work full-time		1	0.2%
Contrainte sévère		1	0.2%

## # cps19\_employment\_12\_TEXT: Employment status 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Cpp		1	0.2%
Currently laid off but receiving full pay		1	0.2%
Currently not working due to illness		1	0.2%
Currently on long term disability. Will be returning to work once I am healthier and finished with my treatments and hospital stay.		1	0.2%
Currently on mat leave		1	0.2%
Currently on mat leave. Returning to full time next year		1	0.2%
Currently on strike		1	0.2%
DISABILITY		2	0.5%
Dayhome provider		1	0.2%
Disability		1	0.2%
Disability due to Health Issues		1	0.2%
Disabled		4	0.9%
Disabled barriers to employment		1	0.2%
Disabled medicallt unable		1	0.2%
Disabled working for pay		1	0.2%
Disabled working part time.		1	0.2%
Dissabilled		1	0.2%
Does being a volunteer count as unemployed? I guess it does. I don't know, though.		1	0.2%
Domestic Engineer		1	0.2%
Du fermer mon commerce (bar) santé détruite et pu d'argent car aucune aide		1	0.2%
Earning income as a craftsperson(weav		1	0.2%

## # cps19\_employment\_12\_TEXT: Employment status 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Employed Ft currently laid off		1	0.2%
Employed with employees		1	0.2%
En arrêt de travail maladie		1	0.2%
En charge de mon époux ayant une incapacité		1	0.2%
En invalidité		1	0.2%
En maladie longue durée		1	0.2%
Entrepreneur		1	0.2%
FOYER		1	0.2%
FULL-TIME VOLUNTEER EMPHASIZING BENEFITS OF SEIENCE & TECHNOLOGY TO STUDENTS & COMMUNITY GROUPS		1	0.2%
Femme au fouer		1	0.2%
Femme au foyer		4	0.9%
Freelance baking and volunteering		1	0.2%
Full time at home		1	0.2%
Full time employed on maternity leave		1	0.2%
Full time homemaker/stay at home mom		1	0.2%
Full time student & working full time (paid)		1	0.2%
Full time, on temp medical leave		1	0.2%
HOMEMAKER		4	0.9%
Home maker		3	0.7%
Home-maker		1	0.2%
Homemade		1	0.2%
Homemaker		27	6.2%
Homemaker, wife of retiree		1	0.2%
Homme au foyer		1	0.2%
House Spouse		1	0.2%
House manager		1	0.2%
House wife		3	0.7%

## # cps19\_employment\_12\_TEXT: Employment status 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Housewife		6	1.4%
I have four careers or more, your question does not apply to me		1	0.2%
I volunteer at home daycare		1	0.2%
Icbc		1	0.2%
Incapacité temporaire		1	0.2%
Income assistance		1	0.2%
Injured		2	0.5%
Internship		1	0.2%
Invalide		2	0.5%
Invalide temporaire		1	0.2%
Invalide permanente		1	0.2%
Invalidité		2	0.5%
Invalidité long terme		1	0.2%
Invalidité longue durée		1	0.2%
Invalidité, CONTRAINTE SÉVÈRE À L'EMPLOI.		1	0.2%
Investor		1	0.2%
Je ne travail pas		1	0.2%
LOA		1	0.2%
LOCKED OUT		1	0.2%
LTD		5	1.2%
Laid-off/ working part-time/looking for work		1	0.2%
Leave of Absence		1	0.2%
Leave of absence		2	0.5%
Long-term disability - moderate to severe anxiety and moderate depression as well as Fibromyalgia and chronic pain		1	0.2%
Looking for a job		1	0.2%
Maison		2	0.5%

## # cps19\_employment\_12\_TEXT: Employment status 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Major Illness - was working full and part-time.		1	0.2%
Maladie		3	0.7%
Maman a la maison		1	0.2%
Mat leave		4	0.9%
Maternity		2	0.5%
Maternity Leave		1	0.2%
Maternity leave		23	5.3%
Maternity leave but employed full time		1	0.2%
Maternity leave, possibly leading to homemaker		1	0.2%
May leave		1	0.2%
Medical caregiver leave		1	0.2%
Medically retired		1	0.2%
Mild Jibberish		1	0.2%
Military's		1	0.2%
Mère au foyer		1	0.2%
Nani.		1	0.2%
Not working		1	0.2%
Not working due to medical reasons		1	0.2%
Not working unable		1	0.2%
Odsp		1	0.2%
Off work due to injury		1	0.2%
On Maternity leave		1	0.2%
On assistance due to mental illness		1	0.2%
On disability		1	0.2%
On leave from permanent full time job, student		1	0.2%
On maternity leave		4	0.9%
On maternity leave returning to work soon.		1	0.2%
On paid leave		1	0.2%
On parental leave		2	0.5%
On paternity leave		1	0.2%
























## # cps19\_employment\_12\_TEXT: Employment status 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
On sick leave		1	0.2%
On sick leave for surgery		1	0.2%
Ontario works		1	0.2%
Ontario works recipient		1	0.2%
Oppressed and financially abused		1	0.2%
P/t ,semi retired		1	0.2%
Parent à la maison		1	0.2%
Pension invalidité		1	0.2%
Pregnant and unable to work		1	0.2%
Principal in business. Work when necessary, which is rare right now. Business run's itself for the most part.		1	0.2%
Proche-Aidant		1	0.2%
Professionnelle autonome en réadaptation pour accident de travail alors femme célibataire handicapée et dans le domaine de la culture, tout pour aller...		1	0.2%
RETIRED		2	0.5%
Recherche emploi		1	0.2%
Retired		2	0.5%
Retired and enjoying		1	0.2%
Retired due to illness		1	0.2%
Retired full time volunteer		1	0.2%
Retired volunteer		1	0.2%
Retired, but do a lot of volunteering		1	0.2%
Retired, looking for work		1	0.2%
Retired, work part time		1	0.2%

## # cps19\_employment\_12\_TEXT: Employment status 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Retraite et travail mi-temps		1	0.2%
Retraite maladies		1	0.2%
Retraité		1	0.2%
STAY AT HOME		1	0.2%
STAY HOME MOM		1	0.2%
SUR APPEL		1	0.2%
Sabbatique voyage		1	0.2%
Salarier en maladie		1	0.2%
Salary continuance		1	0.2%
Sans emploi		2	0.5%
Sans emploi (anti-capitaliste)		1	0.2%
Sans emploi cause maladie		1	0.2%
Seasonal		2	0.5%
Seasonal worker		1	0.2%
Semi retired		1	0.2%
Semi retired/ casual worker		1	0.2%
Semi retraite		1	0.2%
Semiretired		1	0.2%
Short term disability recipient		1	0.2%
Sick leave		3	0.7%
Sick leave/ maternity leave		1	0.2%
Social assistance		1	0.2%
Solidarité sociale		1	0.2%
Stagiaire en éducation non-rémunéré		1	0.2%
Stay at home Husband		1	0.2%
Stay at home Mom		1	0.2%
Stay at home mom		4	0.9%
Stay at home mom/ Homemaker		1	0.2%
Stay at home mother		1	0.2%
Stay at home mum		2	0.5%

# **# cps19\_employment\_12\_TEXT: Employment status 2**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Stay at home parent		5	 1.2%
Stay home mum		1	 0.2%
Student and looking for work		1	 0.2%
Student but cannot work due to the severity of my knee injury. I have no cartilage in my right knee and can't stand on my leg more than 2-5 minutes and walking for too long hurts		1	 0.2%
Student, employed on a coop placement		1	 0.2%
Student, working part time, caring for family		1	 0.2%
Susie homemaker		1	 0.2%
Temporairement sans emplois		1	 0.2%
Temporarily disabled due to accident		1	 0.2%
Temporarily laid off		1	 0.2%
Temporary caring for father without pay.		1	 0.2%
Theatre Contract work currently on seasonal part time retail job		1	 0.2%
Trop malade pour travailler		1	 0.2%
UNABLE TO WORK		1	 0.2%
UNEMPLOYED		1	 0.2%
Unemployed		1	 0.2%
Unemployed and not looking for work		1	 0.2%
Unemployed but not really looking for work		1	 0.2%
Unemployed for medical reasons		1	 0.2%
VOLUNTEER		1	 0.2%
Veteran		1	 0.2%
Volunteer work		2	 0.5%
Volunteering		1	 0.2%



## # cps19\_employment\_12\_TEXT: Employment status 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Was on aish		1	0.2%
Wcb work injury		1	0.2%
Web attente d'indemnités de la Saaq depuis 30 Aout 2002 ce qui d'août que je vit de l'aide social au montant de 1000\$ par mois avec 2 enfants et 1 conjoint handicapé		1	0.2%
Working 2 jobs. 1 full time 1 part time and I'm a student		1	0.2%
Working full time for pay, parent, student		1	0.2%
Working full-time & self employed		1	0.2%
Working two part time jobs & clean houses in spare time to make ends meet		1	0.2%
WorksafeBC injury		1	0.2%
applying to odsp		1	0.2%
arret maladie		1	0.2%
arrêt de travail maladie		1	0.2%
au foyer		1	0.2%
contract work		1	0.2%
contrainte severe a l'emploi		1	0.2%
disability		2	0.5%
disabled		1	0.2%
disabled not working		1	0.2%
en invalidité permanente		2	0.5%
en maladie (cancer)		1	0.2%
femme au foyer		3	0.7%
forced early 'retired'; no luck with jobs in field		1	0.2%
foyer		1	0.2%
full time caregiver to partner		1	0.2%

## # cps19\_employment\_12\_TEXT: Employment status 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
home		1	0.2%
homemaker		20	4.6%
homemaker on disability		1	0.2%
housewife		1	0.2%
inapte a l'emploi		1	0.2%
inapte au travail		2	0.5%
incapacité		1	0.2%
incapacité de travailler		1	0.2%
invalid		2	0.5%
invalid: incapacité de travailler		1	0.2%
invalidité		1	0.2%
invalidité dû à un accident de voiture		1	0.2%
je ne travaille pas		2	0.5%
laid off		1	0.2%
maladie		3	0.7%
maternity		2	0.5%
maternity leave		1	0.2%
medical leave		1	0.2%
medical leave from part-time work (not LTD)		1	0.2%
odsp		1	0.2%
off on sick leave		1	0.2%
off without pay		1	0.2%
off work for medical reasons		1	0.2%
on disability and looking for work. I write books and waiting pending publication		1	0.2%
on disability due to car accident		1	0.2%
on maternity leave		1	0.2%
on sabbatical		1	0.2%
parental leave		1	0.2%
pré-retraite		1	0.2%
rente invalidité totale permanente		1	0.2%
retired		2	0.5%
retraité		3	0.7%

# cps19_employment_12_TEXT: Employment status 2			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
retraité cause maladie donc prestataire d'une rente pour personne handicapé		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
sans emploi		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
seasonal contract ended		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
seasonal worker		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
semi retired		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
semi-retraité		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
semi-retraîtée		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
sick leave		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
social assistants		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
soutien financier inapte au travail		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
stay at home mom		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
temporary sick leave		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
unemployed but not looking for work		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
unemployed disabled student		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
unemployed due to health issues		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
unemployed due to illness		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
unemployed not looking for work unable to work		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
volunteer human rights activist		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
working 2 part time jobs		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
À la maison		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
À la maison et proche aidante		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
à la maison		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_sector: Do you work in the private sector, public sector, or non-profit sector			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19539 /-] [Invalid=18283 /-]		
Literal question	Do you work in the private sector, public sector, or non-profit sector?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Private sector	9634	<div><div></div></div> 49.3%
2	Public sector	7791	<div><div></div></div> 39.9%
4	Non-profit sector	1195	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%

# cps19_sector: Do you work in the private sector, public sector, or non-profit sector			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	919	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
Sysmiss		18283	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_union: Do you belong to a union			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Do you belong to a union?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	7310	<div><div></div></div> 19.3%
2	No	29915	<div><div></div></div> 79.1%
3	Don't know/ Prefer not to say	597	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_children: Do you have any children			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Do you have any children?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	22691	<div><div></div></div> 60.0%
2	No	14835	<div><div></div></div> 39.2%
3	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	296	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_income_number: Total household income, before taxes, for 2018			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6.74765764675675e+60] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=25556 /-] [Invalid=12266 /-] [Mean=2.64034e+56 /-] [StdDev=4.22091e+58 /-]		
Literal question	What was your total household income, before taxes, for the year 2018? Be sure to include income from all sources, to the nearest thousand dollars.		
# cps19_income_cat: Does your household income fall into one of these brackets			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12263 /-] [Invalid=25559 /-]		
Literal question	We don't need the exact amount; does your household income fall into one of these broad categories?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No income	213	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
2	\$1 to \$30,000	1931	<div><div></div></div> 15.7%
3	\$30,001 to \$60,000	2559	<div><div></div></div> 20.9%
4	\$60,001 to \$90,000	1955	<div><div></div></div> 15.9%
5	\$90,001 to \$110,000	1035	<div><div></div></div> 8.4%
6	\$110,001 to \$150,000	915	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
7	\$150,001 to \$200,000	461	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
8	More than \$200,000	300	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
9	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	2894	<div><div></div></div> 23.6%
Sysmiss		25559	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# cps19_property_1: Do you or a member of your household own a residence 1			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=24799 /-] [Invalid=13023 /-]		
Literal question	Do you or a member of your household own a residence (for example, a home or an apartment), own a business (for example, a piece of property, a farm, or livestock), have stocks or bonds, or have savings? Please check all that apply.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Own a residence	24799	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		13023	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_property_2: Do you or a member of your household own a residence 2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3370 /-] [Invalid=34452 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Own a business, a piece of property, a farm or livestock	3370	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		34452	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_property_3: Do you or a member of your household own a residence 3			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10617 /-] [Invalid=27205 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Own stocks or bonds	10617	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		27205	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_property_4: Do you or a member of your household own a residence 4			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17929 /-] [Invalid=19893 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Have any savings	17929	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		19893	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_property_5: Do you or a member of your household own a residence 5			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5988 /-] [Invalid=31834 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None of these	5988	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		31834	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_property_6: Do you or a member of your household own a residence 6			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1492 /-] [Invalid=36330 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	1492	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		36330	

# cps19_property_6: Do you or a member of your household own a residence 6			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_marital: Are you presently married, living with a partner, divorced...			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question		Are you presently married, living with a partner, divorced, separated, widowed, or have you never been married?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Married	17230	<div><div></div></div> 45.6%
2	Living with a partner	6070	<div><div></div></div> 16.0%
3	Divorced	2722	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
4	Separated	1374	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
5	Widowed	1395	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
6	Never Married	8458	<div><div></div></div> 22.4%
7	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	573	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_household: Counting yourself how many people live in your household			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7766666] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=35916 /-] [Invalid=1906 /-] [Mean=223.277 /-] [StdDev=40984.519 /-]	
Literal question		Counting yourself how many people live in your household?	
# cps19_current_date: Date 1			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20190913-20191021] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=20190973.88 /-] [StdDev=44.212 /-]	
# cps19_current_date_string: Date 2			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20190913		1125	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
20190914		1067	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
20190915		678	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
20190916		732	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
20190917		1060	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
20190918		765	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
20190919		1188	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
20190920		753	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
20190921		308	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
20190922		475	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
20190923		998	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
20190924		1039	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
20190925		1003	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
20190926		965	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
20190927		937	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
20190928		1011	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
20190929		966	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
20190930		840	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%

# cps19_current_date_string: Date 2			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20191001		939	<div></div> 2.5%
20191002		1002	<div></div> 2.6%
20191003		797	<div></div> 2.1%
20191004		971	<div></div> 2.6%
20191005		943	<div></div> 2.5%
20191006		880	<div></div> 2.3%
20191007		1067	<div></div> 2.8%
20191008		670	<div></div> 1.8%
20191009		788	<div></div> 2.1%
20191010		862	<div></div> 2.3%
20191011		895	<div></div> 2.4%
20191012		783	<div></div> 2.1%
20191013		1091	<div></div> 2.9%
20191014		1027	<div></div> 2.7%
20191015		737	<div></div> 1.9%
20191016		1467	<div></div> 3.9%
20191017		1327	<div></div> 3.5%
20191018		1815	<div></div> 4.8%
20191019		2080	<div></div> 5.5%
20191020		1595	<div></div> 4.2%
20191021		176	<div></div> 0.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_Q_Language: Language			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
EN		31355	<div></div> 82.9%
FR-CA		6467	<div></div> 17.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_Q_TotalDuration: Q_TotalDuration			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 500-1484702] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=2163.001 /-] [StdDev=13841.563 /-]		
# get_news: get_news			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		19111	<div></div> 50.5%
1		18711	<div></div> 49.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# get_more_naming: get_more_naming			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

# get_more_naming: get_more_naming			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		18948	50.1%
1		18874	49.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# get_not_vote_for: get_not_vote_for			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		18831	49.8%
1		18991	50.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# get_party_issue_handling: get_party_issue_handling			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		18880	49.9%
1		18942	50.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# get_imp_loc_iss: get_imp_loc_iss			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		19057	50.4%
1		18765	49.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# get_outcome: get_outcome			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		18985	50.2%
1		18837	49.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# justiceLaw: justiceLaw			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
crime and justice		18867	49.9%
police and law enforcement		18955	50.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# justiceLaw_fr: justiceLaw_fr			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		



# justiceLaw_fr: justiceLaw_fr			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
la criminalité et la justice		96	0.3%
la criminalité et la justice		18771	49.6%
la police et les forces de l'ordre		18851	49.8%
la police et les forces de l'ordre		104	0.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# lr_scale_order: lr_scale_order			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
individual_first		18883	49.9%
party_first		18939	50.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ethnicity_intro: ethnicity_intro			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
In addition to being Canadian, to what ethnic or cultural group(s) do you belong?		36480	96.5%
To what ethnic or cultural group(s) do you belong?		1342	3.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# ethnicity_intro_fr: ethnicity_intro_fr			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
En plus d'être Canadien, à quel groupe ethnique ou culturel appartenez-vous?		188	0.5%
En plus d'être Canadien, à quel groupe ethnique ou culturel appartenez-vous?		36292	96.0%

# ethnicity_intro_fr: ethnicity_intro_fr			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
À quel groupe ethnique ou culturel appartenez-vous?		1330	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
À quel groupe ethnique ou culturel appartenez-vous?		12	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# premier: premier			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Blaine Higgs		858	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
Bob McLeod		25	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Brian Pallister		1691	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
Dennis King		168	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Doug Ford		14808	<div><div></div></div> 39.2%
Dwight Ball		633	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
François Legault		17	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
François Legault		8382	<div><div></div></div> 22.2%
Jason Kenney		4481	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
Joe Savikataaq		26	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
John Horgan		4354	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%
Sandy Silver		38	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Scott Moe		1340	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
Stephen McNeil		1001	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# province_fr: province_fr			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27446 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
de Terre-Neuve-et-Labrador		451	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
de l'Alberta		3296	<div><div></div></div> 12.0%
de l'Île-du-Prince-Édouard		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
de l'Île-du-Prince-Édouard		114	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
de l'Ontario		10546	<div><div></div></div> 38.4%
de la Colombie Britannique		3093	<div><div></div></div> 11.3%
de la Nouvelle-Écosse		3	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%

# province_fr: province_fr			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
de la Nouvelle-Écosse		673	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
de la Saskatchewan		983	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
des Territoires du Nord-Ouest		19	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
du Manitoba		1249	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
du Nouveau-Brunswick		621	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
du Nunavut		21	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
du Québec		6337	<div><div></div></div> 23.1%
du Yukon		28	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pid_en: pid_en			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31290 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Bloc Québécois		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
Bloc Québécois		1742	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
Conservative		9984	<div><div></div></div> 31.9%
Green		1988	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
Liberal		12161	<div><div></div></div> 38.9%
NDP		4921	<div><div></div></div> 15.7%
People's Party		492	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pid_party_en: pid_party_en			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31290 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Bloc Québécois		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
Bloc Québécois		1742	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
Conservative Party		9984	<div><div></div></div> 31.9%
Green Party		1988	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
Liberal Party		12161	<div><div></div></div> 38.9%
NDP		4921	<div><div></div></div> 15.7%
People's Party		492	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pid_party_fr: pid_party_fr			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31290 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

# pid_party_fr: pid_party_fr			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Bloc qu��b��cois		2	0.0%
Bloc qu��b��cois		1742	5.6%
NPD		4921	15.7%
Parti conservateur		9984	31.9%
Parti lib��ral		85	0.3%
Parti lib��ral		12076	38.6%
Parti populaire		492	1.6%
Parti vert		1988	6.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_data_quality: Data quality category that respondent is in			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-14] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Clean complete	33905	89.6%
1	Internal survey testing	0	
2	Ineligible - no consent	0	
3	Ineligible - not citizen or PR	0	
4	Ineligible - under 18	0	
5	Over quota	0	
6	Incomplete quota demographics	0	
7	Incomplete core	0	
8	Subsequent duplicate	0	
9	Speeder	0	
10	Postalcode-province mismatch	0	
11	Straightliner	0	
12	Inattentive	1789	4.7%
13	Initial duplicate	1512	4.0%
14	Incomplete modules	616	1.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_panel: Panel respondent was drawn from			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=32770 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
cps19_PID		12148	37.1%
cps19_cintid		279	0.9%
cps19_gid		1063	3.2%
cps19_id		942	2.9%
cps19_ppsid		201	0.6%
cps19_r		3203	9.8%
cps19_rid		4311	13.2%
cps19_uig		254	0.8%
cps19_vid		232	0.7%

# cps19_panel: Panel respondent was drawn from			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
cps19_viga		10137	<div></div> 30.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_age: Age in years based on cps19_yob			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 18-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=48.691 /-] [StdDev=16.612 /-]		
# cps19_duplicates_flag: Indicator for respondents that took the CPS multiple times			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Unique	36244	<div></div> 95.8%
1	Initial response	1578	<div></div> 4.2%
2	Subsequent response	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_inattentive: Indicator that respondent took more than 60 minutes to complete the CPS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37822 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not inattentive	36033	<div></div> 95.3%
1	Inattentive	1789	<div></div> 4.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# constituencynumber: Number of the FED respondent resides in			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10001-62001] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37262 /-] [Invalid=560 /-] [Mean=36210.215 /-] [StdDev=12689.292 /-]		
# constituencyname: Name of the FED respondent resides in			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37262 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Abbotsford		80	<div></div> 0.2%
Abitibiâ €"Baie-Jamesâ €"Nunavikâ €"Eeyou		37	<div></div> 0.1%
Abitibiâ €"TÃ©miscamin		80	<div></div> 0.2%
Acadieâ €"Bathurst		67	<div></div> 0.2%
Ahuntsic- Cartierville		122	<div></div> 0.3%
Ajax		95	<div></div> 0.3%
Alfred-Pellan		102	<div></div> 0.3%
Algomaâ €"Manitoulinâ €"Kapuskasing		87	<div></div> 0.2%
Argenteuilâ€"La Petite-Nation		78	<div></div> 0.2%

# constituency: Name of the FED respondent resides in

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Auroraâ €"Oak Ridgesâ €"Richmond Hill		91	<div></div> 0.2%
Avalon		100	<div></div> 0.3%
Avignonâ €"La Mitisâ €"Mataneâ €"MatapÃ©dia		62	<div></div> 0.2%
Banffâ€"Airdrie		156	<div></div> 0.4%
Barrieâ€"Innisfil		121	<div></div> 0.3%
Barrieâ €"Springwaterâ €"Oro-Medonte		102	<div></div> 0.3%
Battle Riverâ €"Crowfoot		83	<div></div> 0.2%
Battlefordsâ €"Lloydminster		49	<div></div> 0.1%
Bay of Quinte		136	<div></div> 0.4%
Beachesâ€"East York		163	<div></div> 0.4%
Beauce		95	<div></div> 0.3%
Beauportâ €"CÃ¢te-de-BeauprÃ©â €"Ã¢le d'OrlÃ©ansâ €"Charlevoix		83	<div></div> 0.2%
Beauportâ €"Limoilou		132	<div></div> 0.4%
BeausÃ©jour		88	<div></div> 0.2%
Bellechasseâ €"Les Etcheminsâ €"LÃ©vis		118	<div></div> 0.3%
Beloeilâ €"Chambly		121	<div></div> 0.3%
Berthierâ €"MaskinongÃ©		84	<div></div> 0.2%
Bonavistaâ €"Burinâ €"Trinity		65	<div></div> 0.2%
Bourassa		93	<div></div> 0.2%
Bow River		105	<div></div> 0.3%
Brampton Centre		111	<div></div> 0.3%
Brampton East		60	<div></div> 0.2%
Brampton North		104	<div></div> 0.3%
Brampton South		99	<div></div> 0.3%
Brampton West		106	<div></div> 0.3%
Brandonâ €"Souris		103	<div></div> 0.3%
Brantfordâ €"Brant		148	<div></div> 0.4%

# constituencyname: Name of the FED respondent resides in			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Bromeâ €"Missisquoi		123	<div></div> 0.3%
Brossardâ €"Saint-Lambert		136	<div></div> 0.4%
Bruceâ€"Greyâ €"Owen Sound		91	<div></div> 0.2%
Burlington		137	<div></div> 0.4%
Burnaby Northâ €"Seymour		92	<div></div> 0.2%
Burnaby South		121	<div></div> 0.3%
BÃ©cancourâ €"Nicoletâ €"Saurel		87	<div></div> 0.2%
Calgary Centre		179	<div></div> 0.5%
Calgary Confederation		160	<div></div> 0.4%
Calgary Forest Lawn		130	<div></div> 0.3%
Calgary Heritage		149	<div></div> 0.4%
Calgary Midnapore		169	<div></div> 0.5%
Calgary Nose Hill		133	<div></div> 0.4%
Calgary Rocky Ridge		161	<div></div> 0.4%
Calgary Shepard		180	<div></div> 0.5%
Calgary Signal Hill		115	<div></div> 0.3%
Calgary Skyview		125	<div></div> 0.3%
Cambridge		116	<div></div> 0.3%
Cape Bretonâ €"Canso		49	<div></div> 0.1%
Cardigan		42	<div></div> 0.1%
Caribooâ €"Prince George		79	<div></div> 0.2%
Carleton		113	<div></div> 0.3%
Carlton Trailâ €"Eagle Creek		84	<div></div> 0.2%
Central Nova		72	<div></div> 0.2%
Central Okanaganâ €"Similkameenâ €"Nicola		133	<div></div> 0.4%
Charlesbourgâ €"Haute-Saint- Charles		121	<div></div> 0.3%
Charleswoodâ €"St. Jamesâ €"Assiniboiaâ €"Headingley		154	<div></div> 0.4%
Charlottetown		48	<div></div> 0.1%
Chatham-Kentâ €"Leamington		103	<div></div> 0.3%

# constituencyname: Name of the FED respondent resides in			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Chicoutimiâ €"Le Fjord		70	<div></div> 0.2%
Chilliwackâ €"Hope		114	<div></div> 0.3%
Churchillâ €"Keewatinook Aski		39	<div></div> 0.1%
ChÃteauguayâ €"Lacolle		95	<div></div> 0.3%
Cloverdaleâ €"Langley City		109	<div></div> 0.3%
Coast of Baysâ €"Centralâ €"Notre Dame		78	<div></div> 0.2%
Comptonâ €"Stanstead		79	<div></div> 0.2%
Coquitlamâ €"Port Coquitlam		123	<div></div> 0.3%
Courtenayâ €"Alberni		139	<div></div> 0.4%
Cowichanâ €"Malahatâ €"Langford		133	<div></div> 0.4%
Cumberlandâ €"Colchester		71	<div></div> 0.2%
Cypress Hillsâ €"Grasslands		55	<div></div> 0.1%
Dartmouthâ €"Cole Harbour		138	<div></div> 0.4%
Dauphinâ €"Swan Riverâ €"Neepawa		62	<div></div> 0.2%
Davenport		119	<div></div> 0.3%
Delta		81	<div></div> 0.2%
DesnethÃâ €"Mississippiâ €"Churchill River		43	<div></div> 0.1%
Don Valley East		120	<div></div> 0.3%
Don Valley North		129	<div></div> 0.3%
Don Valley West		98	<div></div> 0.3%
Dorvalâ €"Lachineâ €"LaSalle		124	<div></div> 0.3%
Drummond		91	<div></div> 0.2%
Dufferinâ €"Caledon		94	<div></div> 0.3%
Durham		159	<div></div> 0.4%
Edmonton Centre		141	<div></div> 0.4%



# constituencyname: Name of the FED respondent resides in			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Edmonton Griesbach		132	<div></div> 0.4%
Edmonton Manning		148	<div></div> 0.4%
Edmonton Mill Woods		130	<div></div> 0.3%
Edmonton Riverbend		126	<div></div> 0.3%
Edmonton Strathcona		137	<div></div> 0.4%
Edmonton West		148	<div></div> 0.4%
Edmontonâ €”Wetaskiwin		186	<div></div> 0.5%
Eglintonâ €”Lawrence		139	<div></div> 0.4%
Egmont		45	<div></div> 0.1%
Elginâ €”Middlesexâ €”London		124	<div></div> 0.3%
Elmwoodâ €”Transcona		142	<div></div> 0.4%
Esquimaltâ €”Saanicâ €”Sooke		125	<div></div> 0.3%
Essex		108	<div></div> 0.3%
Etobicoke Centre		134	<div></div> 0.4%
Etobicoke North		82	<div></div> 0.2%
Etobicokeâ €”Lakeshore		142	<div></div> 0.4%
Flamboroughâ €”Glanbrook		77	<div></div> 0.2%
Fleetwoodâ €”Port Kells		72	<div></div> 0.2%
Foothills		109	<div></div> 0.3%
Fort McMurrayâ €”Cold Lake		77	<div></div> 0.2%
Fredericton		114	<div></div> 0.3%
Fundy Royal		104	<div></div> 0.3%
GaspÃ©siâ €”Les ÃŽles-de- la-Madeleine		54	<div></div> 0.1%
Gatineau		140	<div></div> 0.4%
Glengarryâ €”Prescottâ €”Russell		133	<div></div> 0.4%
Grande Prairieâ €”Mackenzie		101	<div></div> 0.3%
Guelph		182	<div></div> 0.5%
Haldimandâ €”Norfolk		103	<div></div> 0.3%

# constituencyname: Name of the FED respondent resides in			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Haliburtonâ€”Kawartha Lakesâ€”Brock		118	<div></div> 0.3%
Halifax		115	<div></div> 0.3%
Halifax West		110	<div></div> 0.3%
Hamilton Centre		143	<div></div> 0.4%
Hamilton Eastâ€”Stoney Creek		136	<div></div> 0.4%
Hamilton Mountain		102	<div></div> 0.3%
Hamilton Westâ€”Ancasterâ€”Dundas		102	<div></div> 0.3%
Hastingsâ€”Lennox and Addington		90	<div></div> 0.2%
Hochelaga		118	<div></div> 0.3%
HonorÃ©-Mercier		98	<div></div> 0.3%
Hullâ€”Aylmer		129	<div></div> 0.3%
Humber Riverâ€”Black Creek		90	<div></div> 0.2%
Huronâ€”Bruce		111	<div></div> 0.3%
Joliette		85	<div></div> 0.2%
JonquiÃ¨re		81	<div></div> 0.2%
Kamloopsâ€”Thompsonâ€”Cariboo		104	<div></div> 0.3%
Kanataâ€”Carleton		114	<div></div> 0.3%
Kelownaâ€”Lake Country		130	<div></div> 0.3%
Kenora		39	<div></div> 0.1%
Kildonanâ€”St. Paul		125	<div></div> 0.3%
Kingston and the Islands		176	<div></div> 0.5%
Kingsâ€”Hants		86	<div></div> 0.2%
Kingâ€”Vaughan		81	<div></div> 0.2%
Kitchener Centre		168	<div></div> 0.5%
Kitchener Southâ€”Hespeler		98	<div></div> 0.3%
Kitchenerâ€”Conestoga		91	<div></div> 0.2%
Kootenayâ€”Columbia		108	<div></div> 0.3%
La Pointe-de-l'ÃŽle		124	<div></div> 0.3%
La Prairie		90	<div></div> 0.2%

# constituencyname: Name of the FED respondent resides in			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
LaSalleâ €"Ã%omardâ €"Verdun		120	<div></div> 0.3%
Labrador		18	<div></div> 0.0%
Lac-Saint-Jean		89	<div></div> 0.2%
Lac-Saint-Louis		145	<div></div> 0.4%
Lakeland		83	<div></div> 0.2%
Lambtonâ €"Kentâ €"Middlesex		114	<div></div> 0.3%
Lanarkâ €"Frontenacâ €"Kingston		112	<div></div> 0.3%
Langleyâ €"Aldergrove		115	<div></div> 0.3%
Laurentidesâ €"Labelle		128	<div></div> 0.3%
Laurierâ €"Sainte-Marie		132	<div></div> 0.4%
Lavalâ€"Les ÃŽles		87	<div></div> 0.2%
Leedsâ €"Grenvilleâ €"Thousand Islands and Rideau Lakes		140	<div></div> 0.4%
Lethbridge		145	<div></div> 0.4%
London North Centre		208	<div></div> 0.6%
London West		137	<div></div> 0.4%
Londonâ €"Fanshawe		167	<div></div> 0.4%
Long Range Mountains		107	<div></div> 0.3%
Longueuilâ €"Charles- LeMoyne		146	<div></div> 0.4%
Longueuilâ €"Saint-Hubert		153	<div></div> 0.4%
Louis-HÃ©bert		124	<div></div> 0.3%
Louis-Saint- Laurent		143	<div></div> 0.4%
LÃ©visâ €"LotbiniÃ¨re		111	<div></div> 0.3%
Madawaskaâ €"Restigouche		61	<div></div> 0.2%
Malpeque		27	<div></div> 0.1%
Manicouagan		67	<div></div> 0.2%
Marc-AurÃ¨le- Fortin		108	<div></div> 0.3%
Markhamâ €"Stouffville		96	<div></div> 0.3%

# constituency: Name of the FED respondent resides in

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Markham € Thornhill		85	<div></div> 0.2%
Markham € Unionville		111	<div></div> 0.3%
Medicine Hat € Cardston € Warner		103	<div></div> 0.3%
Milton		97	<div></div> 0.3%
Mirabel		136	<div></div> 0.4%
Miramichi € Grand Lake		55	<div></div> 0.1%
Mission € Matsqui € Fraser Canyon		70	<div></div> 0.2%
Mississauga Centre		107	<div></div> 0.3%
Mississauga East € Cooksville		135	<div></div> 0.4%
Mississauga € Erin Mills		109	<div></div> 0.3%
Mississauga € Lakeshore		130	<div></div> 0.3%
Mississauga € Malton		94	<div></div> 0.3%
Mississauga € Streetsville		135	<div></div> 0.4%
Moncton € Riverview € Dieppe		132	<div></div> 0.4%
Montarville		125	<div></div> 0.3%
Montcalm		119	<div></div> 0.3%
Montmagny € L'Islet € Kamouraska € Rivi�re-du-Loup		90	<div></div> 0.2%
Moose Jaw € Lake Centre € Lanigan		74	<div></div> 0.2%
Mount Royal		99	<div></div> 0.3%
M�gantic € L'�rable		43	<div></div> 0.1%
Nanaimo € Ladysmith		135	<div></div> 0.4%
Nepean		136	<div></div> 0.4%
New Brunswick Southwest		61	<div></div> 0.2%
New Westminster € Burnaby		117	<div></div> 0.3%
Newmarket € Aurora		102	<div></div> 0.3%
Niagara Centre		134	<div></div> 0.4%

# constituencyname: Name of the FED respondent resides in			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Niagara Falls		145	<div></div> 0.4%
Niagara West		80	<div></div> 0.2%
Nickel Belt		98	<div></div> 0.3%
Nipissingâ €”Timiskaming		121	<div></div> 0.3%
North Islandâ €”Powell River		104	<div></div> 0.3%
North Okanaganâ €”Shuswap		135	<div></div> 0.4%
North Vancouver		90	<div></div> 0.2%
Northumberlandâ €”Peterborough South		124	<div></div> 0.3%
Northwest Territories		18	<div></div> 0.0%
Notre-Dame- de-GrÃ¢ceâ €”Westmount		129	<div></div> 0.3%
Nunavut		6	<div></div> 0.0%
Oakville		117	<div></div> 0.3%
Oakville Northâ €”Burlington		113	<div></div> 0.3%
OrlÃ©ans		220	<div></div> 0.6%
Oshawa		180	<div></div> 0.5%
Ottawa Centre		173	<div></div> 0.5%
Ottawa South		178	<div></div> 0.5%
Ottawa Westâ €”Nepean		157	<div></div> 0.4%
Ottawaâ €”Vanier		233	<div></div> 0.6%
Outremont		83	<div></div> 0.2%
Oxford		101	<div></div> 0.3%
Papineau		111	<div></div> 0.3%
Parkdaleâ€”High Park		121	<div></div> 0.3%
Parry Soundâ €”Muskoka		98	<div></div> 0.3%
Peace Riverâ €”Westlock		69	<div></div> 0.2%
Perthâ €”Wellington		91	<div></div> 0.2%
Peterboroughâ €”Kawartha		135	<div></div> 0.4%
Pickeringâ €”Uxbridge		133	<div></div> 0.4%
Pierre-Boucherâ €”Les Patriotesâ €”VerchÃ¨res		102	<div></div> 0.3%
Pierrefondsâ €”Dollard		123	<div></div> 0.3%

# constituency: Name of the FED respondent resides in

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Pitt Meadows €"Maple Ridge		83	0.2%
Pontiac		125	0.3%
Port Moody €"Coquitlam		92	0.2%
Portage €"Lisgar		97	0.3%
Portneuf €"Jacques-Cartier		84	0.2%
Prince Albert		66	0.2%
Prince George €"Peace River €"Northern Rockies		86	0.2%
Provencher		106	0.3%
Qu'bec		127	0.3%
Red Deer €"Lacombe		109	0.3%
Red Deer €"Mountain View		117	0.3%
Regina €"Lewvan		175	0.5%
Regina €"Qu'Appelle		109	0.3%
Regina €"Wascana		127	0.3%
Renfrew €"Nipissing €"Pembroke		104	0.3%
Repentigny		132	0.4%
Richmond Centre		79	0.2%
Richmond Hill		118	0.3%
Richmond €"Arthabaska		85	0.2%
Rimouski-Neigette €"Tmiscouata €"Les Basques		77	0.2%
Rivi're-des-Mille-les		117	0.3%
Rivi're-du-Nord		124	0.3%
Rosemont €"La Petite-Patrie		139	0.4%
Saanich €"Gulf Islands		115	0.3%
Sackville €"Preston €"Chezzetcook		92	0.2%
Saint Boniface €"Saint Vital		136	0.4%

# constituency: Name of the FED respondent resides in			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Saint John's Rochester		109	<div></div> 0.3%
Saint-Hyacinthe Bagot		89	<div></div> 0.2%
Saint-Jean		120	<div></div> 0.3%
Saint-Laurent		97	<div></div> 0.3%
Saint-Léonard Saint-Michel		102	<div></div> 0.3%
Saint-Maurice Champlain		102	<div></div> 0.3%
Salaberry Suroît		93	<div></div> 0.2%
Sarnia Lambton		124	<div></div> 0.3%
Saskatoon West		132	<div></div> 0.4%
Saskatoon Grasswood		135	<div></div> 0.4%
Saskatoon University		140	<div></div> 0.4%
Sault Ste. Marie		86	<div></div> 0.2%
Scarborough Centre		112	<div></div> 0.3%
Scarborough North		89	<div></div> 0.2%
Scarborough Southwest		139	<div></div> 0.4%
Scarborough Agincourt		121	<div></div> 0.3%
Scarborough Guildwood		102	<div></div> 0.3%
Scarborough Rouge Park		120	<div></div> 0.3%
Selkirk Interlake Eastman		118	<div></div> 0.3%
Shefford		98	<div></div> 0.3%
Sherbrooke		149	<div></div> 0.4%
Sherwood Park Fort Saskatchewan		127	<div></div> 0.3%
Simcoe North		99	<div></div> 0.3%
Simcoe Grey		132	<div></div> 0.4%
Skeena Bulkley Valley		49	<div></div> 0.1%
Souris Moose Mountain		69	<div></div> 0.2%
South Okanagan West Kootenay		146	<div></div> 0.4%

# constituency Name of the FED respondent resides in			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
South Shore St. Margarets		107	0.3%
South Surrey White Rock		92	0.2%
Spadina Fort York		175	0.5%
St. Albert Edmonton		169	0.5%
St. Catharines		150	0.4%
St. John's East		116	0.3%
St. John's South Mount Pearl		132	0.4%
Steveston Richmond East		69	0.2%
Stormont Dundas South Glengarry		118	0.3%
Sturgeon River Parkland		134	0.4%
Sudbury		124	0.3%
Surrey Centre		71	0.2%
Surrey Newton		53	0.1%
Sydney Victoria		70	0.2%
Terrebonne		107	0.3%
Thornhill		146	0.4%
Thunder Bay Rainy River		82	0.2%
Thunder Bay Superior North		84	0.2%
Thérèse-De Blainville		105	0.3%
Timmins James Bay		75	0.2%
Tobique Mactaquac		41	0.1%
Toronto Centre		181	0.5%
Toronto Danforth		118	0.3%
Toronto St. Paul's		147	0.4%
Trois-Rivières		136	0.4%
University Rosedale		117	0.3%
Vancouver Centre		139	0.4%
Vancouver East		119	0.3%
Vancouver Granville		115	0.3%



# constituency: Name of the FED respondent resides in			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Vancouver Kingsway		74	<div></div> 0.2%
Vancouver Quadra		84	<div></div> 0.2%
Vancouver South		91	<div></div> 0.2%
Vaudreuilâ €”Soulanges		120	<div></div> 0.3%
Vaughanâ €”Woodbridge		76	<div></div> 0.2%
Victoria		153	<div></div> 0.4%
Ville-Marieâ €”Le Sud-Ouestâ €”Île-des-Soeurs		129	<div></div> 0.3%
Vimy		115	<div></div> 0.3%
Waterloo		130	<div></div> 0.3%
Wellingtonâ €”Halton Hills		101	<div></div> 0.3%
West Nova		79	<div></div> 0.2%
West Vancouverâ €”Sunshine Coastâ €”Sea to Sky Country		76	<div></div> 0.2%
Whitby		134	<div></div> 0.4%
Willowdale		146	<div></div> 0.4%
Windsor West		137	<div></div> 0.4%
Windsorâ €”Tecumseh		125	<div></div> 0.3%
Winnipeg Centre		112	<div></div> 0.3%
Winnipeg North		117	<div></div> 0.3%
Winnipeg South		162	<div></div> 0.4%
Winnipeg South Centre		171	<div></div> 0.5%
Yellowhead		69	<div></div> 0.2%
York Centre		88	<div></div> 0.2%
York Southâ €”Weston		133	<div></div> 0.4%
Yorktonâ €”Melville		70	<div></div> 0.2%
Yorkâ €”Simcoe		117	<div></div> 0.3%
Yukon		28	<div></div> 0.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# pes19_StartDate: Start Date			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2019-10-24		89	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
2019-10-25		16	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
2019-10-26		504	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
2019-10-27		529	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
2019-10-28		3075	<div><div></div></div> 29.7%
2019-10-29		1613	<div><div></div></div> 15.6%
2019-10-30		1126	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
2019-10-31		733	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
2019-11-01		336	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
2019-11-02		446	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
2019-11-03		340	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
2019-11-04		348	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
2019-11-05		224	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
2019-11-06		227	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
2019-11-07		171	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
2019-11-08		212	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
2019-11-09		167	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
2019-11-10		125	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
2019-11-11		59	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_EndDate: End Date			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2019-10-24		89	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
2019-10-25		15	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2019-10-26		487	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
2019-10-27		545	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
2019-10-28		3037	<div><div></div></div> 29.4%
2019-10-29		1631	<div><div></div></div> 15.8%
2019-10-30		1127	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
2019-10-31		735	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
2019-11-01		337	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
2019-11-02		454	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
2019-11-03		344	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
2019-11-04		348	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
2019-11-05		225	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
2019-11-06		226	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
2019-11-07		175	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
2019-11-08		210	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
2019-11-09		162	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
2019-11-10		130	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%

# pes19_EndDate: End Date			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2019-11-11		63	<div></div> 0.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_ResponseId: Response ID			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
# pes19_consent: Letter of Information and Consent Project Title			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I consent to participate in this study. I have read all of t	10340	<div></div> 100.0%
2	I do not consent to participate.	0	
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_province: In which province or territory are you currently living			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-13] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	In which province or territory are you currently living?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Alberta	1307	<div></div> 12.6%
2	British Columbia	1392	<div></div> 13.5%
3	Manitoba	510	<div></div> 4.9%
4	New Brunswick	253	<div></div> 2.4%
5	Newfoundland and Labrador	206	<div></div> 2.0%
6	Northwest Territories	17	<div></div> 0.2%
7	Nova Scotia	364	<div></div> 3.5%
8	Nunavut	15	<div></div> 0.1%
9	Ontario	4168	<div></div> 40.3%
10	Prince Edward Island	63	<div></div> 0.6%
11	Quebec	1625	<div></div> 15.7%
12	Saskatchewan	412	<div></div> 4.0%
13	Yukon	8	<div></div> 0.1%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_citizen: Are you a...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Are you a...		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Canadian citizen	10340	<div></div> 100.0%
2	Permanent resident	0	
3	Other	0	
Sysmiss		27482	

# pes19_citizen: Are you a...			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_mostimpissue: Questions about the recent federal election			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7558 /-]		
Literal question	Now we'd like to ask you some questions about the recent federal election. What was the main issue in the campaign?		
# pes19_turnout2019: Vote or not			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5207 /-] [Invalid=32615 /-]		
Literal question	The federal election was held on Monday, October 21. In any election, some people are not able to vote because they are sick or busy, or for some other reason. Others do not want to vote. Did you vote in the recent federal election?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4763	<div><div></div></div> 91.5%
2	No	262	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
3	I wasn't registered to vote	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
4	Don't know/ Don't remember	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
5	I usually vote but didn't this time	118	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
6	I thought about voting but didn't	42	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
8	Prefer not to answer	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
Sysmiss		32615	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_turnout2019_v2: Vote or not 2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5133 /-] [Invalid=32689 /-]		
Pre-question	The federal election was held on Monday, October 21. In any election, some people are not able to vote because they are sick or busy, or for some other reason. Others do not want to vote.		
Literal question	Did you vote in the recent federal election?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4797	<div><div></div></div> 93.5%
2	No	311	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
3	Prefer not to answer	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
4	Don't know/ Don't remember	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Sysmiss		32689	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_notvotereason1: Main reason you did not vote			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=372 /-] [Invalid=37450 /-]		
Literal question	What is the main reason you did not vote?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	My vote will not make a difference, nothing will change, etc	121	<div><div></div></div> 32.5%
2	No time, too busy, etc.	78	<div><div></div></div> 21.0%
3	No interest, did not follow election or issues, etc.	67	<div><div></div></div> 18.0%
4	Physical limitations, mobility issues, sick/ill, aged, etc.	48	<div><div></div></div> 12.9%
5	Not able to prove ID or address	20	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%

# pes19_notvotereason1: Main reason you did not vote			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Did not know when / where to vote	10	<div></div> 2.7%
7	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	28	<div></div> 7.5%
Sysmiss		37450	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_notvotereason2: Main reason you did not vote			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=321 /-]		
Literal question	What is the main reason you did not vote?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
APATHY		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Ability		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Absent		1	<div></div> 0.3%
All F**king losers		1	<div></div> 0.3%
All a bunch of crooks		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Aurais rien changer		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Away for work		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Away from home		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Because alberta is all blue vote wont matter		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Because most of them were bad		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Busy		3	<div></div> 0.9%
Can't afford to take time off work		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Cancer diagnosis limits my abilities to be properly inform myself in order to vote responsibly.		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Could not get to polling station		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Couldnt get there		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Couldnâ€™t decide on who to vote for.		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Death of family member the day before		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Did not feel like it		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Did not have a license that		1	<div></div> 0.3%

## # pes19\_notvotereason2: Main reason you did not vote

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
matched my address			
Did not know enough		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Did not like any of the candidates		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Didn't follow enough to make a choice		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Didn't do research		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Didn't have time		2	<div></div> 0.6%
Didn't know how to apply to vote without a card		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Didn't like any party		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Didn't like any candidates		2	<div></div> 0.6%
Didn't feel it would make a difference		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Didn't have time		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Didn't know enough about candidates		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Didn't like any candidates		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Didn't like either		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Didn't want to		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Disabled and could not get there		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Dislike all parties		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Do not agree fully with any one party		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Does not matter which party gets elected they never do anything for the people only fill their own pockets		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Doesn't feel well		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Don't understand enough politically to make an educated voting decision.		1	<div></div> 0.3%

## # pes19\_notvotereason2: Main reason you did not vote

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Dont care too		1	0.3%
Donâ€™t know enough		1	0.3%
Donâ€™t like any of them		1	0.3%
Donâ€™t like any politicians		1	0.3%
Donâ€™t make		1	0.3%
DÃ©couragement face Ã la campagne menÃ©e par chacun des chefs		1	0.3%
Election over by ontario. West has no say		1	0.3%
Feel that each party is only interested in the people when it is time to vote and feed people lies, so I would have to choose the best liar and I am not willing to do that		1	0.3%
For change		1	0.3%
Forgot		2	0.6%
Give up on them, they are all not good.		1	0.3%
Had no one to take me (on disability)		1	0.3%
Had to travel for emergency		1	0.3%
Hospitalized		1	0.3%
I DIDN'T THINK IT WOULD MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE TO THE OUTCOME		1	0.3%
I WAS ON VACATION OUTSIDE OF CANADA AND UNABLE TO VOTE		1	0.3%
I am in another country, and was here during pre-election voting, and didn't know how to vote from here.		1	0.3%

## # pes19\_notvotereason2: Main reason you did not vote

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
I could not find a good fit		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I could not register as I just moved and didn't have proper id		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I couldnt justify voting for anyone this time as none of the leaders were good choices.		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I did not follow closely enough to make an educated choice		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I did not have identification with my current address		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I did not recieve my voter card.		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I did not research the issues and see who best aligned with me		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I didn't know who to vote for.		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I didn't know who to vote for. I have little to no faith in our political system, I think it is corrupt.		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I didn't like any party		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I disliked all of the candidates		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I don't follow politics		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I don't think my vote would make a difference		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I don't vote at all Do not believe in politicians or government They do not represent the real people		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I dont trust any politions to do anything for me so I wont help them get into power.		1	<div></div> 0.3%



## # pes19\_notvotereason2: Main reason you did not vote

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
I donâ€™t care to		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I donâ€™t like politics or politicians		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I emailed Trudeau I said if you do more for seniors I will vote for you but no candidate did enough for seniors who are going to be the majority of the population		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I felt it was too hard to make a decision. I sat out for the first time.		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I forgot		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I have a feeling that the political system is failing us		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I honestly couldn't choose. I feel very disappointed in our gov't. One side looked like fools (liberals) and the other behaved as high school girls-all of the gossip and "smear talk"-(the conservatives)		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I just forget about i was willing to vote but sometimes days just pass .		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I just had a baby and have been so busy with him that I did not have enough time to follow the candidates and parties to form an accurate opinion.		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I just moved back to Canada.		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I knew it wouldn't matter		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I live in a conservative area so it was		1	<div></div> 0.3%

## # pes19\_notvotereason2: Main reason you did not vote

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
clear the other parties had no chance			
I lost my voter card and had no proof of address		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I never vote due to religious values		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I really can't see that it makes any difference who gets in.		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I simply dont care		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I think that God will put in power who He wants		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I voted on October 21st		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I was Ill.		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I was away		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I was away and not in the main area where I was allowed to vote		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I was away from home		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I was away from my home polling station		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I was busy		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I was busy on election day		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I was hospitalized		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I was ill		2	<div></div> 0.6%
I was in hospital in another city		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I was in the US and my mail vote arrived too late.		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I was not able to make a decision		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I was not at home at the time of the election.		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I was not available to vote		1	<div></div> 0.3%
I was out of the country		2	<div></div> 0.6%
I was out of town and not allow		1	<div></div> 0.3%

## # pes19\_notvotereason2: Main reason you did not vote

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
I was sick		1	0.3%
I was sick and so was my 2 year old boy		1	0.3%
I was sick at home		1	0.3%
I was traveling outside Canada		1	0.3%
I was very unhappy with the candidates and parties that were available as choices -- none of them earned my vote.		1	0.3%
I was work		1	0.3%
I was working		1	0.3%
I was working a poll -- I never vote in elections when I work them		1	0.3%
I wasn't feeling well		1	0.3%
I watch the debates and the candidats looked like a group of school kids fighting. I did not want to be responsible of any of their actions once they were elected.		1	0.3%
I will not vote for someone that will become my master for four years. This is not democracy.		1	0.3%
Illness		2	0.6%
In hospital		1	0.3%
Indifferent		1	0.3%
It doesn't matter who wins they never make any real changes or keep any of the promises they make		1	0.3%
It's complicated. Mostly I believe it would injure my attempts to remain 'neutral' toward		1	0.3%

## # pes19\_notvotereason2: Main reason you did not vote

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
organized parties.			
Its complicated		1	<div></div> 0.3%
J'AI MANQUÉ % DE TEMPS		1	<div></div> 0.3%
J'Étais absente du pays		1	<div></div> 0.3%
J'Étudie en Ontario, je n'ai donc pas eu le temps de me rendre au Québec pour voter.		1	<div></div> 0.3%
JE N'Y CROIS PLUS		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Je faisais de la fièvre , j'étais malade.		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Je n'ai pas reçu mon carton pour voter.		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Je ne fais plus confiance au gouvernement.		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Je n'aime pas les Élections		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Je suis indépendantiste		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Just lost my husband		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Just moved and didn't have proof of current address		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Kid was sick		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Knew who was going to win riding		1	<div></div> 0.3%
LAZY		1	<div></div> 0.3%
La politique me fait chier. Un parti ou un autre, c'est de la merde.		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Lack of time		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Location of polling station		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Malade		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Manque d'intérêt		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Mon conjoint c'est fracturé la hanche		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Moved		1	<div></div> 0.3%

## # pes19\_notvotereason2: Main reason you did not vote

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Moved. Forgot to update registry.		1	0.3%
My preferred party had no chance of winning this riding		1	0.3%
My vote doesn't matter		1	0.3%
My vote won't make a difference		1	0.3%
No childcare		1	0.3%
No good choices		1	0.3%
No one good to vote for		1	0.3%
No one to vote for that I wanted		1	0.3%
No one was good enough		1	0.3%
No party I like		1	0.3%
No party worth voting for		1	0.3%
No platform I could agree with		1	0.3%
No point		1	0.3%
No time		1	0.3%
No trust		1	0.3%
None of the parties or leaders appealed to me		1	0.3%
None of the persons in the running or their parties ever keep the promises they make		1	0.3%
Not able to, because of work		1	0.3%
Not interested		4	1.2%
Our riding consistently voted Conservative, 50%, therefore my chosen party didn't have a chance.		1	0.3%
Out of Country for pre vote and out of town voting day		1	0.3%
Out of Province		1	0.3%
Out of Town		1	0.3%

# pes19_notvotereason2: Main reason you did not vote			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Out of country		4	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
Out of province		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
Out of the country when advance polls were open and on Election Day.		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
Out of town		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
Pas eu le temps		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
Pas intÃ©ressÃ©		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
ProblÃ©me avec le lieu de residence pour lâ€™identification		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
RAINING AND HARD TO FIND PARKING		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
Raison de santÃ©		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
Recent move and no proof of address		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
Recovery from a car accident		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
Religious		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
SICK DURING ADVANCED POLLS AND WORKED THE ELECTION OUTSIDE OF MY DISTRICT.		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
Schedule		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
Sick		3	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
Sick and postal voting came too late...		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
Sick gastro		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
The candidates were all assholes or had no chance of winning		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
The choices were terrible		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
The fate of government was decided before B.C. went to the polls. Also inclement weather		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
The polticals lie and they fight like high school children		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%

## # pes19\_notvotereason2: Main reason you did not vote

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
They all didnt seem over appealing		1	<div></div> 0.3%
They are all dishonest and corrupt		1	<div></div> 0.3%
They are all liars that tell you what you want to hear. They all have their own agendas		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Time		2	<div></div> 0.6%
Too busy		2	<div></div> 0.6%
Too far and no car		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Too far to election poll and makes no difference to Cape Breton who wins		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Traveling for that month		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Travelling		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Trust		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Unable to		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Unable to due to prior obligations		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Unable to leave house		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Unsure		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Unsure who to vote for		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Vehicle issues, no way of getting to pole		1	<div></div> 0.3%
WNted the Green Party but that was not likely to happen so did not vote		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Wanted to vote for Jagmeet, but knew he wouldn't win		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Was away Election Day and missed the advance poll		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Was certain my vote wouldn't be a 'game-changer' - poor excuse, I know...		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Was out of country		1	<div></div> 0.3%

## # pes19\_notvotereason2: Main reason you did not vote

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Was out of local voting poll for time of election and was confused on how and when the advice voting polls was taking place		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Was out of province on October 21 and was working on advance poll days		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Was out of the country		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Wasn't sure who to vote for		1	<div></div> 0.3%
We need a complete change in tackling the issues and not the liberals or PC's seem to know nothing about how to govern but only to throw insults at each other. Totally unprofessional.		1	<div></div> 0.3%
Work		2	<div></div> 0.6%
Working		2	<div></div> 0.6%
absence de ma région et ne pouvais pas voter par anticipation		1	<div></div> 0.3%
against my religion		1	<div></div> 0.3%
alot of them don't keep their promises after elected, what's the point?		1	<div></div> 0.3%
cannot decline ballot in federal elections		1	<div></div> 0.3%
chlorine smell at the voting facility (community pool/ctr.)		1	<div></div> 0.3%
commuting and working the hours that voting was available to me to vote		1	<div></div> 0.3%



## # pes19\_notvotereason2: Main reason you did not vote

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
couldn't decide who would be a good choice		1	0.3%
did nit like anybody		1	0.3%
did not do enough research to vote on a candidate		1	0.3%
did not get out of work early enough to make the poll		1	0.3%
did not like any of the leaders and their platform		1	0.3%
did not like my choices		1	0.3%
did not make it in time due to work hours		1	0.3%
didn't have any good candidates		1	0.3%
didn't have time		1	0.3%
didn't like any		1	0.3%
didn't like any of the candidates		1	0.3%
didn;t think it would matter		1	0.3%
didnt feel like it		1	0.3%
didnt like any contender		1	0.3%
didnt want to		1	0.3%
disabled		1	0.3%
disabled and no access to transportation and no one answered my call on how to vote from home		1	0.3%
doesn't matter who gets elected...no one every does any good		1	0.3%
expired ID		1	0.3%
fait du surtemps au travail		1	0.3%
felt too overwhelmed to go		1	0.3%
have to renew my license		1	0.3%
health		1	0.3%

## # pes19\_notvotereason2: Main reason you did not vote

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
i am in bed because of being quadriplegia and they didn't set it up for me this time		1	<div></div> 0.3%
i did not realize that the vote was due and i did not know where to go		1	<div></div> 0.3%
i didnt have the correct ID		1	<div></div> 0.3%
i knew trudeau would won		1	<div></div> 0.3%
i think no matter who i vote for they will not do anything once they are voted in		1	<div></div> 0.3%
i was at work all day		1	<div></div> 0.3%
i was sick		1	<div></div> 0.3%
ill		1	<div></div> 0.3%
illness		1	<div></div> 0.3%
j'Ã©tais Ã l'extÃ©rieur du pays		1	<div></div> 0.3%
just forgot. also didnt know who i wanted to vote for		1	<div></div> 0.3%
knew my vote wouldn't count		1	<div></div> 0.3%
malade		1	<div></div> 0.3%
maladie		1	<div></div> 0.3%
missed the polls closing by a few minutes		1	<div></div> 0.3%
mother took sick and could not leave her		1	<div></div> 0.3%
my chosen party never becomes leader in house		1	<div></div> 0.3%
my riding votes the same and I agree with the choice		1	<div></div> 0.3%
my vote doesn t matter		1	<div></div> 0.3%
no point it doesn't change anything small crap different leader		1	<div></div> 0.3%

## # pes19\_notvotereason2: Main reason you did not vote

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
no good candidates to vote for.		1	0.3%
no point		1	0.3%
no time		2	0.6%
none of the parties or their representatives were able or capable to govern to the standards of satisfaction, thus the result is equated to being the same		1	0.3%
not enough time		1	0.3%
not in my riding on election day		1	0.3%
not interested		1	0.3%
not interested in ;		1	0.3%
not interested in candidates		1	0.3%
not sure in results		1	0.3%
not well		1	0.3%
occupÃ©e Ã prendre soin de quelqu'un		1	0.3%
out of country		1	0.3%
out of towm		1	0.3%
out of town		1	0.3%
pas de discussion sur des enjeux trÃ¨s importants		1	0.3%
peeling off the layers, they are all the same		1	0.3%
refuse to support any group(political party) whose main purpose is the self-preservation of the group		1	0.3%
religious holiday		1	0.3%
religious reasons		1	0.3%
religious views		1	0.3%
sick		2	0.6%
the poll was closed at noon where i was supposed		1	0.3%

# pes19_notvotereason2: Main reason you did not vote			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
to vote..I mistakenly thought i could vote in the afternoon.			
there was nothing to vote FOR - only against someone or something		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
they don't keep their words		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
too sick		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
tous les mÃªmes marionnettes au profits des entreprises qui se calisses Ã©perdument de notre planÃ©te. c'est un peu Ã©changer une lÃ¢pre contre un cancer au 4 ans. et 4 ans plus tard reprendre ton cancer. tous les mÃªmes anyway		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
transportation		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
travel outside Canada		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
unable to discern between the moronic useless hypocritical pieces of shit		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
usually do, could not be bothered this time		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
was home sick with the gastro		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
was not able to make it to my riding and they wouldn't allow me to do so near my work		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
was not available to vote		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
was not well		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_howvote: How did you vote			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9560 /-] [Invalid=28262 /-]		
Literal question	There are many options for people wanting to vote in an election. How did you vote?		

# pes19_howvote: How did you vote			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	At a polling station on election day, October 21	5287	<div></div> 55.3%
2	At an advance polling station (or advance polls)	3814	<div></div> 39.9%
3	At a local Elections Canada office	356	<div></div> 3.7%
4	By mail	47	<div></div> 0.5%
5	At home	10	<div></div> 0.1%
6	On campus	27	<div></div> 0.3%
7	Other (please specify)	12	<div></div> 0.1%
8	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	7	<div></div> 0.1%
Sysmiss		28262	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_howvote_7_TEXT: How did you vote 2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
At the hospital		1	<div></div> 8.3%
Au bureau de scrutin		1	<div></div> 8.3%
By proxy		1	<div></div> 8.3%
Elections Canada office prior to advance polls		1	<div></div> 8.3%
I expressed my political view by not voting for any of the candidates. I believe not voting is a legitimate form of political expression.		1	<div></div> 8.3%
In advance at a hospital		1	<div></div> 8.3%
Special ballot in another province		1	<div></div> 8.3%
Special polling station		1	<div></div> 8.3%
Universit��		1	<div></div> 8.3%
by mail from the Czech Republic		1	<div></div> 8.3%
did not vote		1	<div></div> 8.3%
special ballot		1	<div></div> 8.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_votereason: Did you vote mainly because...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9509 /-] [Invalid=28313 /-]		
Literal question	Did you vote mainly because...		

# pes19_votereason: Did you vote mainly because...			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	It was your duty	5306	<div><div></div></div> 55.8%
2	Your vote could make a difference	3186	<div><div></div></div> 33.5%
3	You liked a particular party, leader or candidate	940	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
4	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	77	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
Sysmiss		28313	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_votechoice2019: Which party did you vote for? - Selected Choice			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8607 /-] [Invalid=29215 /-]		
Literal question	Which party did you vote for?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal Party	2931	<div><div></div></div> 34.1%
2	Conservative Party	2752	<div><div></div></div> 32.0%
3	NDP	1307	<div><div></div></div> 15.2%
4	Bloc Qu'Appelleois	415	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
5	Green Party	581	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
6	People's Party	154	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
7	Another party (specify)	48	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
8	I spoiled my vote	22	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
9	Don't know / Prefer not to answer	397	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
Sysmiss		29215	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_votechoice2019_7_TEXT: Which party did you vote for? - Another party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=44 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Animal Protection Party		2	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
Bloc qu'Appelleois		1	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
CHP		1	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
Christian heritage party		1	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
Communist		1	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
Declined my vote		1	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
For the person running it for any party		1	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
I did not vote by ballot.I expressed my political preference by not voting or supporting the current electoral system.		1	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%

# # pes19\_votechoice2019\_7\_TEXT: Which party did you vote for? - Another party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
I donâ€™t vote for a party		1	2.3%
Independant		4	9.1%
Independent		13	29.5%
Independent Candidate..Jody Wilson Reyboldt		1	2.3%
Libertarian		1	2.3%
Marxist-Leninist		1	2.3%
PEOPLES		1	2.3%
Parti communiste		1	2.3%
Parti rhinocÃ©ros		1	2.3%
RHINOÃ‰ROS		1	2.3%
Rhinoceros		1	2.3%
Rhinocerosus		1	2.3%
THE CHRISTIAN HERITAGE PARTY		1	2.3%
Vcp		1	2.3%
Veterans Coalition Party		1	2.3%
another party		1	2.3%
communist		1	2.3%
independant		1	2.3%
independent candidate		1	2.3%
tulsi gabbard		1	2.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# # pes19\_pr\_votechoice: If you could have voted in the federal election on Oct. 21...

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=37822 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	If you could have voted in the federal election on Oct. 21, which party would you have voted for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal Party	0	
2	Conservative Party	0	
3	NDP	0	
4	Bloc QuÃ©bÃ©cois	0	
5	Green Party	0	
6	People's Party	0	
7	Another party (specify)	0	
8	I would have spoiled my vote	0	
9	Don't know / Prefer not to answer	0	
Sysmiss		37822	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# pes19_pr_votechoice_7_TEXT: If you could have voted in the federal election on Oct. 21...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
# pes19_dem_sat: Satisfied with...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	On the whole, are you very satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied, or not satisfied at all with the way democracy works in Canada?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	1382	<div></div> 13.4%
2	Fairly satisfied	5359	<div></div> 51.8%
3	Not very satisfied	2504	<div></div> 24.2%
4	Not satisfied at all	925	<div></div> 8.9%
5	Don't know / Prefer not to answer	170	<div></div> 1.6%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_campatt: How much attention did you pay to the election campaign			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10335 /-] [Invalid=27487 /-]		
Literal question	How much attention did you pay to the election campaign?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A lot	4296	<div></div> 41.6%
2	Some	5060	<div></div> 49.0%
3	Not much at all	964	<div></div> 9.3%
4	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	15	<div></div> 0.1%
Sysmiss		27487	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_contact1: During the campaign, did a party or candidate contact you...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	During the campaign, did a party or candidate contact you in person or by any other means?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4821	<div></div> 46.6%
2	No	5353	<div></div> 51.8%
3	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	166	<div></div> 1.6%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_contact2_1: Do you recall which parties or candidates contacted you 1			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2582 /-] [Invalid=35240 /-]		
Literal question	Do you recall which parties or candidates contacted you? (Please select all that that apply)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal Party	2582	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		35240	



# pes19_contact2_1: Do you recall which parties or candidates contacted you 1			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_contact2_2: Do you recall which parties or candidates contacted you 2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2885 /-] [Invalid=34937 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative Party	2885	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		34937	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_contact2_3: Do you recall which parties or candidates contacted you 3			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1394 /-] [Invalid=36428 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NDP	1394	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		36428	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_contact2_4: Do you recall which parties or candidates contacted you 4			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=191 /-] [Invalid=37631 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Bloc QuÃ©bÃ©cois	191	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37631	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_contact2_5: Do you recall which parties or candidates contacted you 5			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=637 /-] [Invalid=37185 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Green Party	637	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37185	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_contact2_8: Do you recall which parties or candidates contacted you 6			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=263 /-] [Invalid=37559 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	People's Party	263	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37559	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_contact2_6: Do you recall which parties or candidates contacted you 7			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=58 /-] [Invalid=37764 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Another party (please specify)	58	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37764	

# pes19_contact2_6: Do you recall which parties or candidates contacted you 7			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_contact2_7: Do you recall which parties or candidates contacted you 8			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=159 /-] [Invalid=37663 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Donâ€™t know/ Prefer not to answer	159	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37663	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_contact2_6_TEXT: Do you recall which parties or candidates contacted you 9			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=55 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
A new party in Manitoba whose name I do not remember		1	<div></div> 1.8%
All of them called, but yet the Conservative Party kept calling everyday !!! And getting so sick of the Conservatives arrassing people that way!!!		1	<div></div> 1.8%
Animal Protection Party of Canada		2	<div></div> 3.6%
CHL		1	<div></div> 1.8%
Chp		1	<div></div> 1.8%
Christian Heritage		2	<div></div> 3.6%
Christian Heritage Party		6	<div></div> 10.9%
Christian Heritage Party ?		1	<div></div> 1.8%
Communist		3	<div></div> 5.5%
Communist Party		2	<div></div> 3.6%
Communist?		1	<div></div> 1.8%
Comunist		1	<div></div> 1.8%
Dave makenzie		1	<div></div> 1.8%
I don't answer the phone if I don't know the number		1	<div></div> 1.8%
Indepedent candidate		1	<div></div> 1.8%
Independant		5	<div></div> 9.1%
Independent		4	<div></div> 7.3%

# pes19_contact2_6_TEXT: Do you recall which parties or candidates contacted you 9			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Independent - Jody Wilson Reyboldt		1	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
Independent candidate		1	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
Inderependent		1	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
IndÃ©pendant		1	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
Local independent candidate		1	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
Marxist Leninist Party		1	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
Muslim		1	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
PPC (the Maxime Bernier party)		1	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
People's Party		1	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
Rhinoceros		1	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
RhinocÃ©ros Party		1	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
They came to the door but I stated that I don't do politics.		1	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
all of them		1	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
chp		1	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
christian Heritage		1	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
christian heritage		1	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
communist		1	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
independant		2	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
independent		1	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
marxist-leninist		1	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_mandate: Given the results of the October 21 election...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10334 /-] [Invalid=27488 /-]		
Literal question	Given the results of the October 21 election, does the winning party have a legitimate mandate to implement its policies?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4782	<div><div></div></div> 46.3%
2	No	3959	<div><div></div></div> 38.3%
3	Donâ€™t know/ Prefer not to answer	1593	<div><div></div></div> 15.4%
Sysmiss		27488	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_formgovt: Which should be more important to forming the government in Canada:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Which should be more important to forming the government in Canada:		

# pes19_formgovt: Which should be more important to forming the government in Canada:			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Winning the most seats	4439	<div><div></div></div> 42.9%
2	Winning the most votes	4936	<div><div></div></div> 47.7%
3	Donâ€™t know/ Prefer not to answer	965	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_keeppromises: Do political parties keep their election promises:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Do political parties keep their election promises:		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most of the time	380	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
2	Some of the time	5355	<div><div></div></div> 51.8%
3	Hardly ever	3620	<div><div></div></div> 35.0%
4	Never	553	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
5	Depends on the party	390	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	42	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_groups1_1: How do you feel about the following groups 1			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9212 /-] [Invalid=28610 /-] [Mean=72.849 /-] [StdDev=24.433 /-]		
Literal question	How do you feel about the following groups? Set the slider to any number from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislike the group and 100 means you really like the group.		
# pes19_groups1_2: How do you feel about the following groups 2			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9082 /-] [Invalid=28740 /-] [Mean=73.152 /-] [StdDev=25.968 /-]		
# pes19_groups1_3: How do you feel about the following groups 3			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9115 /-] [Invalid=28707 /-] [Mean=62.871 /-] [StdDev=29.446 /-]		
# pes19_groups1_4: How do you feel about the following groups 4			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9319 /-] [Invalid=28503 /-] [Mean=43.913 /-] [StdDev=23.904 /-]		
# pes19_libwords: Comes to mind immediately when you think about the Liberal Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1701 /-]		
Literal question	In a few words, what come to mind immediately when you think about the Liberal Party ?		
# pes19_conwords: Comes to mind immediately when you think about the Conservative party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1681 /-]		
Literal question	In a few words, what come to mind immediately when you think about the Conservative Party?		

# pes19_ndpwords: Comes to mind immediately when you think about the New Democratic party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1642 /-]		
Literal question	In a few words, what come to mind immediately when you think about the New Democratic Party?		
# pes19_bqwords: Comes to mind immediately when you think about the Bloc Quebecois			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=234 /-]		
Literal question	In a few words, what come to mind immediately when you think about the Bloc Québécois?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
A waist of money		1	<div></div> 0.4%
An Independant Party		1	<div></div> 0.4%
An opportunistic and disappointing turn to conservatism to gain votes		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Arrêter de vivre dans le passé		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Autonomie provinciale		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Bernard Landry		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Bon chef		1	<div></div> 0.4%
C'est un partie qui correspond le plus à mes idées. En plus, le chef n'a pas fait de dénigrement comme les autres parties.		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Ca me fait penser aux séparatistes. Ceux qui se battent depuis longtemps pour que le QUÉBEC devienne un pays indépendant		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Campagne exceptionnelle et remontée sensationnelle et bien menée		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Cette formation politique ne nous intéresse pas du tout.		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Chef bien structuré, représentera		1	<div></div> 0.4%

# **# pes19\_bqwords: Comes to mind immediately when you think about the Bloc Quebecois**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
bien le QuÃ©bec face a Trudeau			
CohÃ©rence de l'opinion quÃ©bÃ©coise dans les parlements de QuÃ©bec et d'Ottawa		1	<div></div> 0.4%
DOES NOT REPRESENT THE CITIZENS ACROSS CANADA		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Dedicated but highly insular		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Defending Quebec's interests		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Demagogues		1	<div></div> 0.4%
DiversitÃ©		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Donâ€™t like		1	<div></div> 0.4%
DÃ©fendre les intÃ©rÃ©ts du QuÃ©bec Ã Ottawa		1	<div></div> 0.4%
DÃ©fendre les intÃ©rÃ©ts du QuÃ©bec Ã Ottawa.		1	<div></div> 0.4%
DÃ©fense des besoins du peuple quÃ©bÃ©cois		1	<div></div> 0.4%
DÃ©fense des droits du QuÃ©bec		1	<div></div> 0.4%
DÃ©fense des intÃ©rÃ©ts des QuÃ©bÃ©cois		1	<div></div> 0.4%
DÃ©fense des intÃ©rÃ©ts du QuÃ©bec		2	<div></div> 0.9%
DÃ©fense du QuÃ©bec		1	<div></div> 0.4%
DÃ©fense du QuÃ©bec Ã Ottawa		1	<div></div> 0.4%
DÃ©fenseur de la Nation QuÃ©bÃ©coise		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Dâ€™accord avec la laÃ©citÃ©		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Enfin de retour.		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Etre quebecois		1	<div></div> 0.4%

# # pes19\_bqwords: Comes to mind immediately when you think about the Bloc Quebecois

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Exclusively in Quebec		1	0.4%
Fausse le vote au niveau fédéral		1	0.4%
For quebecers interests only		1	0.4%
French		1	0.4%
French majority		1	0.4%
Honnête		1	0.4%
Hypocrite		1	0.4%
Identité culturelle		1	0.4%
Il demeure un parti moribond.		1	0.4%
Independence		1	0.4%
Indépendance		5	2.1%
Intégrité		1	0.4%
Intérêt de Québec seulement		1	0.4%
Intérêt du Qc et souveraineté		1	0.4%
Intérêts du Québec		1	0.4%
Intérêts du Québec .		1	0.4%
Jamais au pouvoir		1	0.4%
L'affirmation nationale du Québec		1	0.4%
LA REMONTEE DU BLOC,MR BLANCHET CALME SERIEUX DE BONNE IDEES ,NE SERAS JAMAIS AU POUVOIR MAIS PEUT INFLUANCER LA POLITIQUE		1	0.4%
La langue française et garder nos biens dans notre province		1	0.4%
La langue française		1	0.4%
La personnalité		1	0.4%

# # pes19\_bqwords: Comes to mind immediately when you think about the Bloc Quebecois

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
de Yves-Francois Blanchet qui a redorÃ© le blason du Bloc			
La souverainetÃ© QuÃ©bÃ©coise		1	<div></div> 0.4%
La Ã©citÃ©		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Le Bloc est la pour renforcer le PQ, je ne suis pas sÃ©paratiste		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Le respect de notre identitÃ©.		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Le seul parti qui a pour mandat de protÃ©ger les droits des quÃ©bÃ©cois		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Legitime		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Les intÃ©rÃ©ts du QuÃ©bec		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Lesder		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Lâ€™impuissance		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Malheureusement la souverainete		1	<div></div> 0.4%
N'a pas sa place dans un contexte global, cela continue de mettre le QuÃ©bec Ã© part, ne favorise pas l'entraide des autres provinces envers le QuÃ©bec		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Nationalisme		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Ne peut pas faire avancer le QuÃ©bec et empÃªche les QuÃ©bÃ©cois de faire sa place au gouvernement		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Non pertinent		1	<div></div> 0.4%
None		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Normally separtists, this time a little less. More protect Quebec's interests.		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Not my party for many reasons		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Not necessary		1	<div></div> 0.4%



# **# pes19\_bqwords: Comes to mind immediately when you think about the Bloc Quebecois**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Nul		1	0.4%
OK		1	0.4%
On aura jamais rien avec eux		1	0.4%
PARTI POUR DEFENDRE LE QUEBEC		1	0.4%
Parti qui travaille pour les Qu��b��cois mais n��ma pas de r��els pouvoirs.		1	0.4%
Parti se disant repr��senter les qu��b��cois �� Ottawa et l'Assembl��e Nationale du Qu��bec		1	0.4%
Partie de chialeux inutile		1	0.4%
Pas fort.		1	0.4%
Pas pr��t		1	0.4%
Pas tres pertinent		1	0.4%
Perte de temps		1	0.4%
Politiques en faveur des Qu��b��cois		1	0.4%
Power to quebec		1	0.4%
Probl��mes		1	0.4%
Proche du peuple et a l'��coute		1	0.4%
Protect the quebec interest		1	0.4%
Protection langue		1	0.4%
Prot��ge les int��r��ts des qu��b��cois dans le canada		1	0.4%
Quebec		3	1.3%
Quebec ( pa fort		1	0.4%
Quebec first		1	0.4%
Quebec independence		1	0.4%
Quebec leaving canada		1	0.4%
Quebec separation		1	0.4%
Qu��bec		1	0.4%
Reginal party, intend to		1	0.4%

# # pes19\_bqwords: Comes to mind immediately when you think about the Bloc Quebecois

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
make Quebec independent.			
Representing Quebec at a national level		1	0.4%
Repr�sente en parti le peuple Qu�b�cois mais ne peut pas vraiment influenc� le gouvernement d� � sa minorit�		1	0.4%
Repr�sente les int�r�ts du Qu�bec		1	0.4%
Resurgence		1	0.4%
SEPARATION OF CANADA		1	0.4%
SINCERITE		1	0.4%
SOUVERAINET�		1	0.4%
Sait mieux nous repr�senter		1	0.4%
Scary		1	0.4%
Self absorbed by own concerns		1	0.4%
Separation		5	2.1%
Separatis		1	0.4%
Separatism		2	0.9%
Separatist		2	0.9%
Separatist jerks		1	0.4%
Separatiste		1	0.4%
Separatists		3	1.3%
Separatists that should not be a legitimate party		1	0.4%
Seperation		2	0.9%
Seperatists		2	0.9%
Souverainet�		1	0.4%
Souverainet�, d�suet, nationalisme		1	0.4%
S�paration		2	0.9%
S�paration du Qu�bec		1	0.4%
S�paration du Qu�bec.		1	0.4%
S�paratisme , Qu�bec benefits		1	0.4%
S�paratiste		3	1.3%
S�paratistes		3	1.3%

# **# pes19\_bqwords: Comes to mind immediately when you think about the Bloc Quebecois**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
TRES BONNE CAMPAGNE DEFEND LE QUEBEC		1	<div></div> 0.4%
They are out for quebec and only quebec		1	<div></div> 0.4%
They have no business to appear at the Federal election		1	<div></div> 0.4%
They want to seprate and divide our country. Their policies are outdated, racist and based on irrational fear.		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Travail beaucoup pour améliorer le sort des Québécois dans le pays		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Un parti juste et sincère.		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Un partie qui est inutile		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Un rappel que le Quebec est une société distincte		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Veulent détruire le Canada		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Veut se séparer du Canada		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Waste of time, should work towards a bilingual canada		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Waste of time, they will do nothing for the people of Quebec. They will just collect their Federal pension.		1	<div></div> 0.4%
a fait perdre les élections au parti conservateur		1	<div></div> 0.4%
a waste of votes		1	<div></div> 0.4%
cest pas sa place au federal et cest cela que je suis tanné avec le		1	<div></div> 0.4%

# **# pes19\_bqwords: Comes to mind immediately when you think about the Bloc Quebecois**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
block et le parti qu�becois			
connais pas vraiment ce parti		1	0.4%
conservatives for independance		1	0.4%
contre l'immigration		1	0.4%
cool		1	0.4%
defending the wrights of Qubecers in the rest of Canada		1	0.4%
dumb group		1	0.4%
d�fend les int�r�ts du Qu�bec, n appartient pas aux grosses compagnies		1	0.4%
d�fense des int�r�ts du Qu�bec		1	0.4%
essai de prot�ger les int�r�ts des Qu�becois au niveau F�d�ral		1	0.4%
french		1	0.4%
french saviours		1	0.4%
ils aideront le QU�bec		1	0.4%
ils represente le qu�bec		1	0.4%
ind�pendance		4	1.7%
inutile		3	1.3%
it is a good party to protect quebecor interests in canada		1	0.4%
la s�paration		1	0.4%
la voix du qu�bec � ottawa		1	0.4%
langue fran�aise		1	0.4%
n a rien a faire a ottawa		1	0.4%
nationaliste		1	0.4%
nationnalisme		1	0.4%
ne devrais exister		1	0.4%
nothing		1	0.4%

# **# pes19\_bqwords: Comes to mind immediately when you think about the Bloc Quebecois**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
notre difference quebecoise		1	0.4%
of very little use to canada		1	0.4%
opportuniste		1	0.4%
partie pas l'Ã©gitime		1	0.4%
pays		1	0.4%
perte de temps		1	0.4%
poids du QuÃ©bec dans la confederation		1	0.4%
pour les intÃ©rÃ©ts du quÃ©bec		1	0.4%
protect and defend the interests of quebec		1	0.4%
protection de la nation quÃ©becoise		1	0.4%
protection et promotion des intÃ©rÃ©ts des QuÃ©bÃ©cois		1	0.4%
province		1	0.4%
prÃ©sence intense au gouv fÃ©dÃ©ral pour promouvoir et dÃ©fendre les intÃ©rÃ©ts quÃ©bÃ©cois		1	0.4%
pu nÃ©cessaire		1	0.4%
quebec politics		1	0.4%
representation a ottawa		1	0.4%
reprÃ©senter le qc Ã l'assemblÃ©e nationale		1	0.4%
rien		1	0.4%
s		1	0.4%
self centered. selfish		1	0.4%
separation		2	0.9%
separation from canada		1	0.4%
separatism		1	0.4%
separatist		1	0.4%
separatists, extremists		1	0.4%
separation		1	0.4%

# pes19_bqwords: Comes to mind immediately when you think about the Bloc Quebecois			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
seperatists		1	<div></div> 0.4%
should not be allowed to exist it wants to seperate that amounts to treason ????		1	<div></div> 0.4%
should not be a party at federal level does not unite the country		1	<div></div> 0.4%
souverainet��		1	<div></div> 0.4%
supporte seulement le qu��bec		1	<div></div> 0.4%
s��paration		4	<div></div> 1.7%
s��paratiste		1	<div></div> 0.4%
they are to antagonistic		1	<div></div> 0.4%
tient aux int��rets des qu��b��cois		1	<div></div> 0.4%
un parti pour d��fendre les int��r��ts du Qu��bec		1	<div></div> 0.4%
un parti qui prend r��ellement les int��rets du Qu��bec �� coeur Il d��fend nos valeurs et notre identit��		1	<div></div> 0.4%
�� peu pr��s comme les autres partis		1	<div></div> 0.4%
��troitement li�� au Parti Qu��b��cois et l'atteinte de la souverainet��		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_greenwords: Comes to mind immediately when you think about the Green Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1762 /-]		
Literal question	In a few words, what come to mind immediately when you think about the Green Party?		
# pes19_peopleswords: Comes to mind immediately when you think about the People's party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1461 /-]		
Literal question	In a few words, what come to mind immediately when you think about the People's Party?		

# pes19_econ_retro: The state of the economy in Canada has...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Would you say that over the past twelve months, the state of the economy in Canada has gotten...		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much better	273	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
2	Somewhat better	1799	<div><div></div></div> 17.4%
3	Stayed about the same	4378	<div><div></div></div> 42.3%
4	Somewhat worse	2697	<div><div></div></div> 26.1%
5	Much worse	1008	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	185	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_pos_fptp: Canada should change its electoral system			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Canada should change its electoral system from “First Past the Post” to a “proportional representation” system.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	796	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
2	Somewhat disagree	1050	<div><div></div></div> 10.2%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	2203	<div><div></div></div> 21.3%
4	Somewhat agree	2774	<div><div></div></div> 26.8%
5	Strongly agree	2180	<div><div></div></div> 21.1%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	1337	<div><div></div></div> 12.9%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_paymed: People who are willing to pay should be allowed to get medical treatment sooner			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5212 /-] [Invalid=32610 /-]		
Literal question	People who are willing to pay should be allowed to get medical treatment sooner.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	1223	<div><div></div></div> 23.5%
2	Somewhat disagree	1183	<div><div></div></div> 22.7%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	887	<div><div></div></div> 17.0%
4	Somewhat agree	1236	<div><div></div></div> 23.7%
5	Strongly agree	617	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	66	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
Sysmiss		32610	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_senate: The Senate should be abolished			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5146 /-] [Invalid=32676 /-]		
Literal question	The Senate should be abolished.		

# pes19_senate: The Senate should be abolished			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	419	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
2	Somewhat disagree	774	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1257	<div><div></div></div> 24.4%
4	Somewhat agree	1067	<div><div></div></div> 20.7%
5	Strongly agree	1109	<div><div></div></div> 21.6%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	520	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
Sysmiss		32676	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_envirojob: When there is a conflict between protecting the environment and creating jobs...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	When there is a conflict between protecting the environment and creating jobs, jobs should come first.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	1066	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
2	Somewhat disagree	2650	<div><div></div></div> 25.6%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	2281	<div><div></div></div> 22.1%
4	Somewhat agree	2620	<div><div></div></div> 25.3%
5	Strongly agree	1592	<div><div></div></div> 15.4%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	131	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_hatespeech: It should be illegal to say hateful things publicly			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5257 /-] [Invalid=32565 /-]		
Literal question	It should be illegal to say hateful things publicly about racial, ethnic and religious groups.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	351	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
2	Somewhat disagree	501	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	704	<div><div></div></div> 13.4%
4	Somewhat agree	1321	<div><div></div></div> 25.1%
5	Strongly agree	2313	<div><div></div></div> 44.0%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	67	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
Sysmiss		32565	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_losetouch: Those elected to Parliament soon lose touch with the people			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Those elected to Parliament soon lose touch with the people.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	188	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
2	Somewhat disagree	852	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1557	<div><div></div></div> 15.1%



# **# pes19\_losetouch: Those elected to Parliament soon lose touch with the people**















Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Somewhat agree	4743	<div></div> 45.9%
5	Strongly agree	2869	<div></div> 27.7%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	131	<div></div> 1.3%
Sysmiss		27482	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# pes19_womenhome: Society would be better off if fewer women worked outside the home			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Society would be better off if fewer women worked outside the home.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	5957	<div><div></div></div> 57.6%
2	Somewhat disagree	1757	<div><div></div></div> 17.0%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1404	<div><div></div></div> 13.6%
4	Somewhat agree	743	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
5	Strongly agree	373	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	106	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_govtcare: The government does not care much about what people like me think			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	The government does not care much about what people like me think.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	287	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
2	Somewhat disagree	1472	<div><div></div></div> 14.2%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1759	<div><div></div></div> 17.0%
4	Somewhat agree	4086	<div><div></div></div> 39.5%
5	Strongly agree	2688	<div><div></div></div> 26.0%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	48	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_complicated: Politics and government seem so complicated that I don't understand			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Sometimes, politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	1620	<div><div></div></div> 15.7%
2	Somewhat disagree	2389	<div><div></div></div> 23.1%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1792	<div><div></div></div> 17.3%
4	Somewhat agree	3560	<div><div></div></div> 34.4%
5	Strongly agree	936	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	43	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_famvalues: This country would have many fewer problems if...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	This country would have many fewer problems if there was more emphasis on traditional family values.		

# pes19_famvalues: This country would have many fewer problems if...			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	1451	<div></div> 14.0%
2	Somewhat disagree	1408	<div></div> 13.6%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	2261	<div></div> 21.9%
4	Somewhat agree	3017	<div></div> 29.2%
5	Strongly agree	2052	<div></div> 19.8%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	151	<div></div> 1.5%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_pollie: Politicians are willing to lie to get elected			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5152 /-] [Invalid=32670 /-]		
Literal question	Politicians are willing to lie to get elected.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	48	<div></div> 0.9%
2	Somewhat disagree	180	<div></div> 3.5%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	453	<div></div> 8.8%
4	Somewhat agree	2001	<div></div> 38.8%
5	Strongly agree	2445	<div></div> 47.5%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	25	<div></div> 0.5%
Sysmiss		32670	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_bilingualism: We have gone too far in pushing bilingualism in Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5188 /-] [Invalid=32634 /-]		
Literal question	We have gone too far in pushing bilingualism in Canada.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	923	<div></div> 17.8%
2	Somewhat disagree	990	<div></div> 19.1%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1123	<div></div> 21.6%
4	Somewhat agree	1111	<div></div> 21.4%
5	Strongly agree	985	<div></div> 19.0%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	56	<div></div> 1.1%
Sysmiss		32634	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_equalrights: We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5188 /-] [Invalid=32634 /-]		
Literal question	We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	1583	<div></div> 30.5%
2	Somewhat disagree	1110	<div></div> 21.4%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	984	<div></div> 19.0%

# pes19_equalrights: We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Somewhat agree	894	<div><div></div></div> 17.2%
5	Strongly agree	576	<div><div></div></div> 11.1%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	41	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
Sysmiss		32634	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_ethid: My ethnicity and language are important parts of my identity			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	My ethnicity and language are important parts of my identity.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	400	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
2	Somewhat disagree	791	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	2296	<div><div></div></div> 22.2%
4	Somewhat agree	3267	<div><div></div></div> 31.6%
5	Strongly agree	3529	<div><div></div></div> 34.1%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	57	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_fitin: Too many recent immigrants just don't want to fit in to Canadian society			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5203 /-] [Invalid=32619 /-]		
Literal question	Too many recent immigrants just don't want to fit in to Canadian society.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	791	<div><div></div></div> 15.2%
2	Somewhat disagree	938	<div><div></div></div> 18.0%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	891	<div><div></div></div> 17.1%
4	Somewhat agree	1398	<div><div></div></div> 26.9%
5	Strongly agree	1100	<div><div></div></div> 21.1%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	85	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
Sysmiss		32619	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_immigjobs: Immigrants take jobs away from other Canadians			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5203 /-] [Invalid=32619 /-]		
Literal question	Immigrants take jobs away from other Canadians.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	1538	<div><div></div></div> 29.6%
2	Somewhat disagree	1459	<div><div></div></div> 28.0%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	988	<div><div></div></div> 19.0%
4	Somewhat agree	706	<div><div></div></div> 13.6%
5	Strongly agree	465	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	47	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%

# pes19_immigjobs: Immigrants take jobs away from other Canadians			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		32619	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_govteff: Governments used to be better at getting things done			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5168 /-] [Invalid=32654 /-]		
Literal question	Governments used to be better at getting things done.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	181	 3.5%
2	Somewhat disagree	596	 11.5%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1544	 29.9%
4	Somewhat agree	1820	 35.2%
5	Strongly agree	885	 17.1%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	142	 2.7%
Sysmiss		32654	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_govtprograms: Government can no longer...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5168 /-] [Invalid=32654 /-]		
Literal question	Government can no longer\$(e://Field/govt_programs_word)the kinds of programs and services people want.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	361	 7.0%
2	Somewhat disagree	926	 17.9%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	944	 18.3%
4	Somewhat agree	1985	 38.4%
5	Strongly agree	842	 16.3%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	110	 2.1%
Sysmiss		32654	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_tieus: Do you think Canada's ties with the United States should be...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5156 /-] [Invalid=32666 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think Canada's ties with the United States should be...		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much closer	618	 12.0%
2	Somewhat closer	1190	 23.1%
3	About the same as now	1926	 37.4%
4	Somewhat more distant	987	 19.1%
5	Much more distant	240	 4.7%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	195	 3.8%
Sysmiss		32666	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# pes19_tiechina: Do you think Canada's ties with China should be...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5161 /-] [Invalid=32661 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think Canada's ties with China should be...		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much closer	287	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
2	Somewhat closer	1179	<div><div></div></div> 22.8%
3	About the same as now	1575	<div><div></div></div> 30.5%
4	Somewhat more distant	1095	<div><div></div></div> 21.2%
5	Much more distant	548	<div><div></div></div> 10.6%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	477	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
Sysmiss		32661	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_country_1: How do you feel about the following places - Canada			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5035 /-] [Invalid=32787 /-] [Mean=89.249 /-] [StdDev=15.332 /-]		
Literal question	How do you feel about the following countries or places? Set the slider to any number from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislikeit and 100 means you really likeit.		
# pes19_country_2: How do you feel about the following places - United States			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4813 /-] [Invalid=33009 /-] [Mean=58.084 /-] [StdDev=24.891 /-]		
Literal question	How do you feel about the following countries or places? Set the slider to any number from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislikeit and 100 means you really like it.		
# pes19_country_3: How do you feel about the following places - Quebec			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4813 /-] [Invalid=33009 /-] [Mean=62.541 /-] [StdDev=29.704 /-]		
Literal question	How do you feel about the following countries or places? Set the slider to any number from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislikeit and 100 means you really like it.		
# pes19_country_4: How do you feel about the following places - China			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4607 /-] [Invalid=33215 /-] [Mean=44.819 /-] [StdDev=24.514 /-]		
Literal question	How do you feel about the following countries or places? Set the slider to any number from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislikeit and 100 means you really like it.		
# pes19_can_id_1: Important for being truly Canadian 1			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5152 /-] [Invalid=32670 /-]		
Literal question	Somepeople say that the following things are important for being truly Canadian. Others say they are not important. How important do you think the following is for being truly Canadian...		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not important at all	1119	<div><div></div></div> 21.7%
2	Not very important	1545	<div><div></div></div> 30.0%
3	Fairly important	1235	<div><div></div></div> 24.0%
4	Very important	1201	<div><div></div></div> 23.3%
5	Don't know / Prefer not to answer	52	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%

# pes19_can_id_1: Important for being truly Canadian 1			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		32670	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_can_id_2: Important for being truly Canadian 2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5152 /-] [Invalid=32670 /-]		
Literal question	Some people say that the following things are important for being truly Canadian. Others say they are not important. How important do you think the following is for being truly Canadian...		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not important at all	1885	<div></div> 36.6%
2	Not very important	1763	<div></div> 34.2%
3	Fairly important	841	<div></div> 16.3%
4	Very important	600	<div></div> 11.6%
5	Don't know / Prefer not to answer	63	<div></div> 1.2%
Sysmiss		32670	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_can_id_3: Important for being truly Canadian 3			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5152 /-] [Invalid=32670 /-]		
Literal question	Some people say that the following things are important for being truly Canadian. Others say they are not important. How important do you think the following is for being truly Canadian...		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not important at all	381	<div></div> 7.4%
2	Not very important	576	<div></div> 11.2%
3	Fairly important	1565	<div></div> 30.4%
4	Very important	2588	<div></div> 50.2%
5	Don't know / Prefer not to answer	42	<div></div> 0.8%
Sysmiss		32670	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_can_id_4: Important for being truly Canadian 4			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5152 /-] [Invalid=32670 /-]		
Literal question	Some people say that the following things are important for being truly Canadian. Others say they are not important. How important do you think the following is for being truly Canadian...		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not important at all	148	<div></div> 2.9%
2	Not very important	455	<div></div> 8.8%
3	Fairly important	1681	<div></div> 32.6%
4	Very important	2796	<div></div> 54.3%
5	Don't know / Prefer not to answer	72	<div></div> 1.4%
Sysmiss		32670	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_ottawa_perf: Performance of the government in Ottawa in general			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		

# pes19_ottawa_perf: Performance of the government in Ottawa in general			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5152 /-] [Invalid=32670 /-]	
Literal question		Now thinking about the performance of the government in Ottawa in general, how good or bad a job do you think the government in Ottawa did over the past four years?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good job	137	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
2	A good job	2076	<div><div></div></div> 40.3%
3	A bad job	1545	<div><div></div></div> 30.0%
4	A very bad job	1049	<div><div></div></div> 20.4%
5	Don't know / Prefer not to answer	345	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
Sysmiss		32670	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_party_rep: Do any federal parties in Canada represent your views			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5117 /-] [Invalid=32705 /-]	
Literal question		Would you say that any of the federal parties in Canada represent your views reasonably well?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2809	<div><div></div></div> 54.9%
2	No	1863	<div><div></div></div> 36.4%
3	Don't know / Prefer not to answer	445	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
Sysmiss		32705	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_party_rep_whic: Which party represents your views best			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2809 /-] [Invalid=35013 /-]	
Literal question		Which party represents your views best?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative Party	802	<div><div></div></div> 28.6%
2	Liberal Party	1048	<div><div></div></div> 37.3%
3	NDP	508	<div><div></div></div> 18.1%
4	Green Party	205	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
5	People's Party	74	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
6	Bloc Qu'becois	104	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
7	Other (specify)	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
8	Don't know / Prefer not to say	56	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
Sysmiss		35013	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_party_rep_whic_7_TEXT: Which party represents your views best - Other			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=12 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
CHP		1	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
Christian heritage party		1	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
Liberal and NDP		1	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%



# pes19_party_rep_whic_7_TEXT: Which party represents your views best - Other			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Libertarian		1	<div></div> 8.3%
Libertarian Party		1	<div></div> 8.3%
Mixture of party's		1	<div></div> 8.3%
More than one party		1	<div></div> 8.3%
NDP and Green		1	<div></div> 8.3%
Usually liberals do however they have gone middle towards left rather fast		1	<div></div> 8.3%
between Liberals and NDP		1	<div></div> 8.3%
mix		1	<div></div> 8.3%
tie between conservative and ppc. both have aspects that appeal to me.		1	<div></div> 8.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_party_rate_10: [...] think about each of our political parties [...] - Federal Conservative Part			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4998 /-] [Invalid=32824 /-] [Mean=4.385 /-] [StdDev=3.18 /-]		
Literal question	We'd like to know what you think about each of our political parties. After the name of a political party, please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislikethat party and 10 means that you strongly likethat party. If you come to a party you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		872	<div></div> 17.4%
1		396	<div></div> 7.9%
2		425	<div></div> 8.5%
3		442	<div></div> 8.8%
4		433	<div></div> 8.7%
5		408	<div></div> 8.2%
6		466	<div></div> 9.3%
7		486	<div></div> 9.7%
8		519	<div></div> 10.4%
9		289	<div></div> 5.8%
10		262	<div></div> 5.2%
Sysmiss		32824	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_party_rate_11: [...] think about each of our political parties [...] - Federal Liberal Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5017 /-] [Invalid=32805 /-] [Mean=4.973 /-] [StdDev=3.128 /-]		
Literal question	We'd like to know what you think about each of our political parties. After the name of a political party, please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislikethat party and 10 means that you strongly likethat party. If you come to a party you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so.		

# pes19_party_rate_11: [...] think about each of our political parties [...] - Federal Liberal Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		681	<div></div> 13.6%
1		307	<div></div> 6.1%
2		344	<div></div> 6.9%
3		363	<div></div> 7.2%
4		411	<div></div> 8.2%
5		474	<div></div> 9.4%
6		498	<div></div> 9.9%
7		613	<div></div> 12.2%
8		637	<div></div> 12.7%
9		415	<div></div> 8.3%
10		274	<div></div> 5.5%
Sysmiss		32805	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_party_rate_12: [...] think about each of our political parties [...] - Federal NDP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4968 /-] [Invalid=32854 /-] [Mean=5.128 /-] [StdDev=2.789 /-]		
Literal question	We'd like to know what you think about each of our political parties. After the name of a political party, please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that party and 10 means that you strongly like that party. If you come to a party you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		401	<div></div> 8.1%
1		293	<div></div> 5.9%
2		344	<div></div> 6.9%
3		405	<div></div> 8.2%
4		422	<div></div> 8.5%
5		606	<div></div> 12.2%
6		753	<div></div> 15.2%
7		652	<div></div> 13.1%
8		580	<div></div> 11.7%
9		280	<div></div> 5.6%
10		232	<div></div> 4.7%
Sysmiss		32854	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_party_rate_13: [...] think about each of our political parties [...] - Bloc Quebecois			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4564 /-] [Invalid=33258 /-] [Mean=2.443 /-] [StdDev=2.638 /-]		
Literal question	We'd like to know what you think about each of our political parties. After the name of a political party, please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that party and 10 means that you strongly like that party. If you come to a party you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1568	<div></div> 34.4%
1		639	<div></div> 14.0%
2		499	<div></div> 10.9%
3		495	<div></div> 10.8%

# pes19_party_rate_13: [...] think about each of our political parties [...] - Bloc Quebecois			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4		386	<div></div> 8.5%
5		355	<div></div> 7.8%
6		185	<div></div> 4.1%
7		151	<div></div> 3.3%
8		122	<div></div> 2.7%
9		75	<div></div> 1.6%
10		89	<div></div> 2.0%
Sysmiss		33258	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_party_rate_14: [...] think about each of our political parties [...] - Federal Green Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4742 /-] [Invalid=33080 /-] [Mean=4.724 /-] [StdDev=2.734 /-]		
Literal question	We'd like to know what you think about each of our political parties. After the name of a political party, please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislikethat party and 10 means that you strongly likethat party. If you come to a party you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		466	<div></div> 9.8%
1		321	<div></div> 6.8%
2		340	<div></div> 7.2%
3		434	<div></div> 9.2%
4		446	<div></div> 9.4%
5		703	<div></div> 14.8%
6		696	<div></div> 14.7%
7		596	<div></div> 12.6%
8		366	<div></div> 7.7%
9		218	<div></div> 4.6%
10		156	<div></div> 3.3%
Sysmiss		33080	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_lead_rate_7: And what do you think of the party leaders? [...] - Andrew Scheer			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4952 /-] [Invalid=32870 /-] [Mean=3.683 /-] [StdDev=3.05 /-]		
Literal question	And what do you think of the party leaders? After the name of a party leader, please rate them on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislikethat party leader and 10 means that you strongly likethat party leader. If you come to a party leader you haven't heard of or you feel you don't know enough about, just say so.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1157	<div></div> 23.4%
1		479	<div></div> 9.7%
2		443	<div></div> 8.9%
3		420	<div></div> 8.5%
4		457	<div></div> 9.2%
5		443	<div></div> 8.9%
6		451	<div></div> 9.1%
7		449	<div></div> 9.1%
8		316	<div></div> 6.4%

### # pes19\_lead\_rate\_7: And what do you think of the party leaders? [...] - Andrew Scheer

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9		176	3.6%
10		161	3.3%
Sysmiss		32870	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # pes19\_lead\_rate\_8: And what do you think of the party leaders? [...] - Justin Trudeau

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5059 /-] [Invalid=32763 /-] [Mean=4.527 /-] [StdDev=3.321 /-]
Literal question	And what do you think of the party leaders? After the name of a party leader, please rate them on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislikethat party leader and 10 means that you strongly likethat party leader. If you come to a party leader you haven't heard of or you feel you don't know enough about, just say so.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1079	21.3%
1		298	5.9%
2		309	6.1%
3		338	6.7%
4		363	7.2%
5		417	8.2%
6		480	9.5%
7		583	11.5%
8		536	10.6%
9		372	7.4%
10		284	5.6%
Sysmiss		32763	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # pes19\_lead\_rate\_9: And what do you think of the party leaders? [...] - Jagmeet Singh

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4897 /-] [Invalid=32925 /-] [Mean=5.596 /-] [StdDev=2.804 /-]
Literal question	And what do you think of the party leaders? After the name of a party leader, please rate them on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislikethat party leader and 10 means that you strongly likethat party leader. If you come to a party leader you haven't heard of or you feel you don't know enough about, just say so.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		370	7.6%
1		210	4.3%
2		236	4.8%
3		324	6.6%
4		356	7.3%
5		589	12.0%
6		693	14.2%
7		757	15.5%
8		680	13.9%
9		338	6.9%
10		344	7.0%
Sysmiss		32925	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# pes19_lead_rate_10: And what do you think of the party leaders? [...] - Elizabeth May			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4705 /-] [Invalid=33117 /-] [Mean=4.905 /-] [StdDev=2.768 /-]		
Literal question	And what do you think of the party leaders? After the name of a party leader, please rate them on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislikethat party leader and 10 means that you strongly likethat party leader. If you come to a party leader you haven't heard of or you feel you don't know enough about, just say so.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		447	<div></div> 9.5%
1		303	<div></div> 6.4%
2		291	<div></div> 6.2%
3		398	<div></div> 8.5%
4		422	<div></div> 9.0%
5		695	<div></div> 14.8%
6		653	<div></div> 13.9%
7		653	<div></div> 13.9%
8		420	<div></div> 8.9%
9		244	<div></div> 5.2%
10		179	<div></div> 3.8%
Sysmiss		33117	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_lead_rate_11: And what do you think of the party leaders? [...] - Yves-Francois Blanchet			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4048 /-] [Invalid=33774 /-] [Mean=3.133 /-] [StdDev=2.827 /-]		
Literal question	And what do you think of the party leaders? After the name of a party leader, please rate them on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislikethat party leader and 10 means that you strongly likethat party leader. If you come to a party leader you haven't heard of or you feel you don't know enough about, just say so.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1081	<div></div> 26.7%
1		435	<div></div> 10.7%
2		406	<div></div> 10.0%
3		445	<div></div> 11.0%
4		382	<div></div> 9.4%
5		458	<div></div> 11.3%
6		262	<div></div> 6.5%
7		215	<div></div> 5.3%
8		169	<div></div> 4.2%
9		97	<div></div> 2.4%
10		98	<div></div> 2.4%
Sysmiss		33774	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_lr_parties_1: [...] where would you place each party? - Federal Conservative Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4366 /-] [Invalid=33456 /-] [Mean=7.065 /-] [StdDev=2.577 /-]		
Literal question	In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. On a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right, where would you place each party?		

### # pes19\_lr\_parties\_1: [...] where would you place each party? - Federal Conservative Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		141	3.2%
1		70	1.6%
2		129	3.0%
3		135	3.1%
4		197	4.5%
5		340	7.8%
6		393	9.0%
7		669	15.3%
8		860	19.7%
9		618	14.2%
10		814	18.6%
Sysmiss		33456	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # pes19\_lr\_parties\_2: [...] where would you place each party? - Federal Liberal Party

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4315 /-] [Invalid=33507 /-] [Mean=4.191 /-] [StdDev=2.527 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. On a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right, where would you place each party?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		438	10.2%
1		256	5.9%
2		383	8.9%
3		599	13.9%
4		758	17.6%
5		687	15.9%
6		395	9.2%
7		318	7.4%
8		237	5.5%
9		132	3.1%
10		112	2.6%
Sysmiss		33507	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # pes19\_lr\_parties\_3: [...] where would you place each party? - Federal New Democratic Party (NDP)

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4317 /-] [Invalid=33505 /-] [Mean=3.28 /-] [StdDev=2.413 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. On a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right, where would you place each party?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		548	12.7%
1		566	13.1%
2		764	17.7%
3		691	16.0%
4		481	11.1%
5		488	11.3%

# pes19_lr_parties_3: [...] where would you place each party? - Federal New Democratic Party (NDP)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6		279	<div></div> 6.5%
7		230	<div></div> 5.3%
8		143	<div></div> 3.3%
9		71	<div></div> 1.6%
10		56	<div></div> 1.3%
Sysmiss		33505	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_lr_parties_4: [...] where would you place each party? - Federal Green Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4261 /-] [Invalid=33561 /-] [Mean=3.367 /-] [StdDev=2.365 /-]		
Literal question	In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. On a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right, where would you place each party?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		575	<div></div> 13.5%
1		502	<div></div> 11.8%
2		597	<div></div> 14.0%
3		630	<div></div> 14.8%
4		565	<div></div> 13.3%
5		651	<div></div> 15.3%
6		332	<div></div> 7.8%
7		181	<div></div> 4.2%
8		115	<div></div> 2.7%
9		65	<div></div> 1.5%
10		48	<div></div> 1.1%
Sysmiss		33561	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_lr_parties_5: [...] where would you place each party? - Bloc Quebecois			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4174 /-] [Invalid=33648 /-] [Mean=4.797 /-] [StdDev=2.778 /-]		
Literal question	In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. On a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right, where would you place each party?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		383	<div></div> 9.2%
1		197	<div></div> 4.7%
2		318	<div></div> 7.6%
3		425	<div></div> 10.2%
4		507	<div></div> 12.1%
5		817	<div></div> 19.6%
6		399	<div></div> 9.6%
7		376	<div></div> 9.0%
8		277	<div></div> 6.6%
9		189	<div></div> 4.5%
10		286	<div></div> 6.9%
Sysmiss		33648	

# pes19_lr_parties_5: [...] where would you place each party? - Bloc Quebecois			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_lr_self_1: Are you left or right leaning			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4369 /-] [Invalid=33453 /-] [Mean=5.225 /-] [StdDev=2.336 /-]		
Literal question	In politics, people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place yourself on this scale?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		133	3.0%
1		151	3.5%
2		286	6.5%
3		470	10.8%
4		492	11.3%
5		887	20.3%
6		581	13.3%
7		601	13.8%
8		458	10.5%
9		165	3.8%
10		145	3.3%
Sysmiss		33453	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb_none: Election ballots should have the option 'None of the above'...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5188 /-] [Invalid=32634 /-]		
Literal question	Election ballots should have the option 'None of the above' for those who do not support any of the candidates.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	1197	23.1%
2	Somewhat disagree	657	12.7%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	642	12.4%
4	Somewhat agree	1254	24.2%
5	Strongly agree	1316	25.4%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	122	2.4%
Sysmiss		32634	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb_id: Canadian electors should be issued a national identification card...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5188 /-] [Invalid=32634 /-]		
Literal question	Canadian electors should be issued a national identification card to help them prove their identity and address when voting in federal elections.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	722	13.9%
2	Somewhat disagree	833	16.1%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1279	24.7%
4	Somewhat agree	1195	23.0%
5	Strongly agree	976	18.8%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	183	3.5%



# pes19_emb_id: Canadian electors should be issued a national identification card...			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		32634	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb_vote16: The voting age should be lowered from 18 to 16...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5188 /-] [Invalid=32634 /-]		
Literal question	The voting age for voting in a federal election should be lowered from 18 to 16 years old.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	2263	<div><div></div></div> 43.6%
2	Somewhat disagree	1410	<div><div></div></div> 27.2%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	519	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
4	Somewhat agree	619	<div><div></div></div> 11.9%
5	Strongly agree	343	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	34	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Sysmiss		32634	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_lowturnout: Low voter turnout weakens Canadian democracy...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5188 /-] [Invalid=32634 /-]		
Literal question	Low voter turnout weakens Canadian democracy.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	80	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
2	Somewhat disagree	251	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	632	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
4	Somewhat agree	2092	<div><div></div></div> 40.3%
5	Strongly agree	2070	<div><div></div></div> 39.9%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	63	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
Sysmiss		32634	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_internetvote1: How much do you agree or disagree with the following statement...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5188 /-] [Invalid=32634 /-]		
Literal question	Low voter turnout weakens Canadian democracy.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	1164	<div><div></div></div> 22.4%
2	Somewhat disagree	916	<div><div></div></div> 17.7%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	661	<div><div></div></div> 12.7%
4	Somewhat agree	1197	<div><div></div></div> 23.1%
5	Strongly agree	1131	<div><div></div></div> 21.8%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	119	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
Sysmiss		32634	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# pes19_internetvote2: If you could vote over the internet, how likely...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5188 /-] [Invalid=32634 /-]		
Literal question	If you could vote over the internet, how likely would you be to do so?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	2162	<div><div></div></div> 41.7%
2	Somewhat likely	1180	<div><div></div></div> 22.7%
3	Not very likely	657	<div><div></div></div> 12.7%
4	Not at all likely	1009	<div><div></div></div> 19.4%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	180	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
Sysmiss		32634	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_conf_inst1_1: Confidence in the following: - The federal governemnt			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5188 /-] [Invalid=32634 /-]		
Literal question	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following:		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	226	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
2	Quite a lot	1693	<div><div></div></div> 32.6%
3	Not very much	2312	<div><div></div></div> 44.6%
4	None at all	863	<div><div></div></div> 16.6%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	94	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
Sysmiss		32634	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_conf_inst1_2: Confidence in the following: - Your provincial government			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5188 /-] [Invalid=32634 /-]		
Literal question	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following:		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	335	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
2	Quite a lot	1574	<div><div></div></div> 30.3%
3	Not very much	2131	<div><div></div></div> 41.1%
4	None at all	1049	<div><div></div></div> 20.2%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	99	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
Sysmiss		32634	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# pes19_conf_inst1_3: Confidence in the following: The media			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5188 /-] [Invalid=32634 /-]		
Literal question	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following:		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	292	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
2	Quite a lot	1783	<div><div></div></div> 34.4%
3	Not very much	2180	<div><div></div></div> 42.0%
4	None at all	829	<div><div></div></div> 16.0%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	104	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
Sysmiss		32634	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_conf_inst2_1: Confidence in the following: The courts			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1809 /-] [Invalid=36013 /-]		
Literal question	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following:		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	233	<div><div></div></div> 12.9%
2	Quite a lot	805	<div><div></div></div> 44.5%
3	Not very much	589	<div><div></div></div> 32.6%
4	None at all	148	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	34	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
Sysmiss		36013	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_conf_inst2_2: Confidence in the following: Organized religion			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1676 /-] [Invalid=36146 /-]		
Literal question	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following:		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	86	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
2	Quite a lot	301	<div><div></div></div> 18.0%
3	Not very much	608	<div><div></div></div> 36.3%
4	None at all	593	<div><div></div></div> 35.4%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	88	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
Sysmiss		36146	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_conf_inst2_3: Confidence in the following: The armed forces			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1718 /-] [Invalid=36104 /-]		
Literal question	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following:		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	486	<div><div></div></div> 28.3%
2	Quite a lot	907	<div><div></div></div> 52.8%
3	Not very much	224	<div><div></div></div> 13.0%

# pes19_conf_inst2_3: Confidence in the following: The armed forces			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	None at all	46	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	55	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
Sysmiss		36104	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_conf_inst2_4: Confidence in the following: Public schools			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1750 /-] [Invalid=36072 /-]		
Literal question	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following:		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	283	<div><div></div></div> 16.2%
2	Quite a lot	878	<div><div></div></div> 50.2%
3	Not very much	461	<div><div></div></div> 26.3%
4	None at all	76	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	52	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
Sysmiss		36072	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_conf_inst2_5: Confidence in the following: Big business			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1748 /-] [Invalid=36074 /-]		
Literal question	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following:		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	49	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
2	Quite a lot	426	<div><div></div></div> 24.4%
3	Not very much	920	<div><div></div></div> 52.6%
4	None at all	294	<div><div></div></div> 16.8%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	59	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
Sysmiss		36074	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_conf_inst2_6: Confidence in the following: Labour unions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1662 /-] [Invalid=36160 /-]		
Literal question	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following:		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	105	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
2	Quite a lot	518	<div><div></div></div> 31.2%
3	Not very much	650	<div><div></div></div> 39.1%
4	None at all	315	<div><div></div></div> 19.0%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	74	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
Sysmiss		36160	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_conf_inst2_7: Confidence in the following: The public service			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		

# pes19_conf_inst2_7: Confidence in the following: The public service			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1692 /-] [Invalid=36130 /-]		
Literal question	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following:		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	149	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
2	Quite a lot	789	<div><div></div></div> 46.6%
3	Not very much	609	<div><div></div></div> 36.0%
4	None at all	96	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	49	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
Sysmiss		36130	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_conf_inst2_8: Confidence in the following: The police			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1766 /-] [Invalid=36056 /-]		
Literal question	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following:		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	367	<div><div></div></div> 20.8%
2	Quite a lot	966	<div><div></div></div> 54.7%
3	Not very much	338	<div><div></div></div> 19.1%
4	None at all	71	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	24	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
Sysmiss		36056	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_conf_inst2_9: Confidence in the following: Elections Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1743 /-] [Invalid=36079 /-]		
Literal question	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following:		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	336	<div><div></div></div> 19.3%
2	Quite a lot	898	<div><div></div></div> 51.5%
3	Not very much	399	<div><div></div></div> 22.9%
4	None at all	63	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	47	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
Sysmiss		36079	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_foreign: How [Field-confidence_institutions_word] are you that...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5188 /-] [Invalid=32634 /-]		
Literal question	How\${e://Field/confidence_institutions_word}are you that our elections are safe from foreign interference?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very \${e://Field/confidence_institutions_word}	520	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
2	Somewhat \${e://Field/confidence_institutions_word}	2264	<div><div></div></div> 43.6%
3	Not very \${e://Field/confidence_institutions_word}	1657	<div><div></div></div> 31.9%
4	Not at all \${e://Field/confidence_institutions_word}	527	<div><div></div></div> 10.2%

# pes19_foreign: How [Field-confidence_institutions_word] are you that...			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	220	4.2%
Sysmiss		32634	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb_satif: How satisfied are you with the way Elections Canada runs federal elections			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5188 /-] [Invalid=32634 /-]		
Literal question	How satisfied are you with the way Elections Canada runs federal elections?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	1096	21.1%
2	Fairly satisfied	3078	59.3%
3	Not very satisfied	599	11.5%
4	Not satisfied at all	219	4.2%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	196	3.8%
Sysmiss		32634	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb8: Would you say that Elections Canada ran the election...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5188 /-] [Invalid=32634 /-]		
Literal question	Thinking about this election, would you say that Elections Canada ran the election...		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very fairly	2173	41.9%
2	Somewhat fairly	2253	43.4%
3	Not very fairly	322	6.2%
4	Not at all fairly	121	2.3%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	319	6.1%
Sysmiss		32634	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_internetregis: To complete voter registration online...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5188 /-] [Invalid=32634 /-]		
Literal question	To complete voter registration online, electors must provide their date of birth, home address, and driver's license number on Elections Canada's website. How comfortable are you with providing this information online to Elections Canada?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very comfortable	2099	40.5%
2	Somewhat comfortable	1672	32.2%
3	Not very comfortable	794	15.3%
4	Not at all comfortable	521	10.0%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	102	2.0%
Sysmiss		32634	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_internetrisk1: Which statement comes closest to your own view...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		

# pes19_internetrisk1: Which statement comes closest to your own view...			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5188 /-] [Invalid=32634 /-]		
Literal question	Which statement comes closest to your own view?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Voting on the Internet is risky.	2839	<div></div> 54.7%
2	Voting on the Internet is safe.	1671	<div></div> 32.2%
3	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	678	<div></div> 13.1%
Sysmiss		32634	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_internetrisk2: Which statement comes closest to your own view...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5188 /-] [Invalid=32634 /-]		
Literal question	Which statement comes closest to your own view?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Registering to vote on the Internet is risky.	2090	<div></div> 40.3%
2	Registering to vote on the Internet is safe.	2467	<div></div> 47.6%
3	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	631	<div></div> 12.2%
Sysmiss		32634	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb_register: Did you receive a voter registration card in the mail...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5177 /-] [Invalid=32645 /-]		
Literal question	Did you receive a voter registration card in the mail?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4789	<div></div> 92.5%
2	No	320	<div></div> 6.2%
3	Don't know	58	<div></div> 1.1%
4	Prefer not to answer	10	<div></div> 0.2%
Sysmiss		32645	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb_card: Was the information on your voter registration card correct...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4780 /-] [Invalid=33042 /-]		
Literal question	Was the information on your voter registration card correct?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4672	<div></div> 97.7%
2	No	94	<div></div> 2.0%
3	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	14	<div></div> 0.3%
Sysmiss		33042	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb_register2: Did you register to vote during the election...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=320 /-] [Invalid=37502 /-]		
Literal question	Did you register to vote during the election?		

# pes19_emb_register2: Did you register to vote during the election...			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	190	<div><div></div></div> 59.4%
2	No	115	<div><div></div></div> 35.9%
3	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	15	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
Sysmiss		37502	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb_reg_how: How did you register to vote...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=190 /-] [Invalid=37632 /-]		
Literal question	How did you register to vote?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Online through the Elections Canada website	48	<div><div></div></div> 25.3%
2	At my local Elections Canada office	46	<div><div></div></div> 24.2%
3	At the polls	84	<div><div></div></div> 44.2%
4	By mail	5	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	7	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
Sysmiss		37632	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb_register3: How easy or difficult did you find it to register to vote...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=190 /-] [Invalid=37632 /-]		
Literal question	How easy or difficult did you find it to register to vote?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very easy	106	<div><div></div></div> 55.8%
2	Somewhat easy	47	<div><div></div></div> 24.7%
3	Neither easy nor difficult	17	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
4	Somewhat difficult	13	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
5	Very difficult	7	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	0	
Sysmiss		37632	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb4_1: Which source did you get information about voting 1			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1272 /-] [Invalid=36550 /-]		
Literal question	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Elections Canada flyer	1272	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		36550	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb4_2: Which source did you get information about voting 2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3739 /-] [Invalid=34083 /-]		
Literal question	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)		



# pes19_emb4_2: Which source did you get information about voting 2			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Voter Information Card	3739	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		34083	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb4_3: Which source did you get information about voting 3			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1306 /-] [Invalid=36516 /-]		
Literal question	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Advertisements from Elections Canada	1306	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		36516	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb4_4: Which source did you get information about voting 4			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2239 /-] [Invalid=35583 /-]		
Literal question	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Radio or Television	2239	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		35583	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb4_5: Which source did you get information about voting 5			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1284 /-] [Invalid=36538 /-]		
Literal question	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Newspaper	1284	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		36538	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb4_6: Which source did you get information about voting 6			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=822 /-] [Invalid=37000 /-]		
Literal question	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Elections Canada website	822	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37000	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb4_7: Which source did you get information about voting 7			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1025 /-] [Invalid=36797 /-]		
Literal question	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Word of mouth	1025	<div></div> 100.0%

# pes19_emb4_7: Which source did you get information about voting 7			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		36797	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb4_8: Which source did you get information about voting 8			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=598 /-] [Invalid=37224 /-]		
Literal question	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Facebook	598	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37224	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb4_9: Which source did you get information about voting 9			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=132 /-] [Invalid=37690 /-]		
Literal question	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Twitter	132	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37690	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb4_10: Which source did you get information about voting 10			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=106 /-] [Invalid=37716 /-]		
Literal question	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YouTube	106	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37716	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb4_11: Which source did you get information about voting 11			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37 /-] [Invalid=37785 /-]		
Literal question	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Other social media	37	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37785	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb4_12: Which source did you get information about voting 12			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=314 /-] [Invalid=37508 /-]		
Literal question	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	From internet website other than Elections Canada	314	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37508	

# pes19_emb4_12: Which source did you get information about voting 12			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb4_13: Which source did you get information about voting 13			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=873 /-] [Invalid=36949 /-]		
Literal question	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	From candidates or political parties	873	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		36949	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb4_14: Which source did you get information about voting 14			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=46 /-] [Invalid=37776 /-]		
Literal question	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Other source	46	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37776	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb4_15: Which source did you get information about voting 15			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=95 /-] [Invalid=37727 /-]		
Literal question	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None of these	95	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37727	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb4_16: Which source did you get information about voting 16			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=49 /-] [Invalid=37773 /-]		
Literal question	From which of the following sources did you get information about voting in the federal election? (Select all that apply)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	49	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37773	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb4_11_TEXT: Which source did you get information about voting 17			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Courriel		1	<div></div> 2.7%
Facebook only.		1	<div></div> 2.7%
Friends:)		1	<div></div> 2.7%
INSTAGRAM		1	<div></div> 2.7%
Instagram		14	<div></div> 37.8%

### # pes19\_emb4\_11\_TEXT: Which source did you get information about voting 17

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Instagrams		1	2.7%
MSN		1	2.7%
Online newspaper		1	2.7%
REDDIT		1	2.7%
Reddit		3	8.1%
Snapchat		1	2.7%
The Globe and Mail		1	2.7%
Tumblr		1	2.7%
Twitter		1	2.7%
Yes		1	2.7%
email		1	2.7%
instagram		2	5.4%
instagrsm		1	2.7%
internet news site		1	2.7%
snapchat		1	2.7%
website		1	2.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # pes19\_emb4\_14\_TEXT: Which source did you get information about voting 18

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=46 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Candidates Forum		1	2.2%
Community organization		1	2.2%
Elections canada local office		1	2.2%
Elections info online		1	2.2%
Flyers of candidates		1	2.2%
Friend		1	2.2%
Friends :)		1	2.2%
I chose for myself		1	2.2%
Macleans		1	2.2%
My own experience over the last 50 years		1	2.2%
NORTH 99		1	2.2%
Personal experience		1	2.2%
Personal research		1	2.2%
Phoning the polling office		1	2.2%

# pes19\_emb4\_14\_TEXT: Which source did you get information about voting 18

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Podcast		1	2.2%
Poster advertisement of candidates		1	2.2%
Radio		1	2.2%
Signs		1	2.2%
Special ballot package		1	2.2%
Student Union		1	2.2%
TV, telephone		1	2.2%
The Economist; McLeans Magazine		1	2.2%
The local elections office		1	2.2%
TÃ©lephone des groupes des canii		1	2.2%
Union paper		1	2.2%
Work		1	2.2%
at the movie theatre		1	2.2%
blogs I am a member of		1	2.2%
canadian forces		1	2.2%
debate		1	2.2%
friends		1	2.2%
friends and neighbors		1	2.2%
general google search		1	2.2%
google		2	4.3%
news		1	2.2%
newspaper		1	2.2%
online - very poor information of the parties proposals. no direct responses from candidates on tv queries. get opportunities to new business, new projects, activate oil and gas is a priority		1	2.2%
online news outlets		1	2.2%
party flyers		1	2.2%
phone		1	2.2%
tax dept.		1	2.2%
tv		1	2.2%

# pes19_emb4_14_TEXT: Which source did you get information about voting 18			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
web informatio news		1	<div></div> 2.2%
word of mouth		1	<div></div> 2.2%
worked on election		1	<div></div> 2.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb7_2: How informed did you feel about the following...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5085 /-] [Invalid=32737 /-] [Mean=8.895 /-] [StdDev=1.75 /-]		
Literal question	Thinking about the recent election, how informed did you feel about the following elements of the voting process?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		22	<div></div> 0.4%
1		13	<div></div> 0.3%
2		30	<div></div> 0.6%
3		47	<div></div> 0.9%
4		69	<div></div> 1.4%
5		105	<div></div> 2.1%
6		177	<div></div> 3.5%
7		347	<div></div> 6.8%
8		612	<div></div> 12.0%
9		803	<div></div> 15.8%
10		2860	<div></div> 56.2%
Sysmiss		32737	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb7_3: How informed did you feel about the following...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5093 /-] [Invalid=32729 /-] [Mean=9.065 /-] [StdDev=1.65 /-]		
Literal question	Thinking about the recent election, how informed did you feel about the following elements of the voting process?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		25	<div></div> 0.5%
1		15	<div></div> 0.3%
2		26	<div></div> 0.5%
3		38	<div></div> 0.7%
4		42	<div></div> 0.8%
5		82	<div></div> 1.6%
6		121	<div></div> 2.4%
7		285	<div></div> 5.6%
8		553	<div></div> 10.9%
9		798	<div></div> 15.7%
10		3108	<div></div> 61.0%
Sysmiss		32729	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb7_5: How informed did you feel about the following...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]		

# pes19_emb7_5: How informed did you feel about the following...			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5057 /-] [Invalid=32765 /-] [Mean=8.606 /-] [StdDev=2.088 /-]	
Literal question		Thinking about the recent election, how informed did you feel about the following elements of the voting process?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		44	0.9%
1		27	0.5%
2		59	1.2%
3		95	1.9%
4		95	1.9%
5		135	2.7%
6		210	4.2%
7		379	7.5%
8		639	12.6%
9		730	14.4%
10		2644	52.3%
Sysmiss		32765	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_emb_info: How easy or difficult was it to find info needed to vote			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5188 /-] [Invalid=32634 /-]	
Literal question		How easy or difficult was it to find the information you needed to vote?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Extremely easy	3770	72.7%
2	Somewhat easy	1071	20.6%
3	Neither easy nor difficult	213	4.1%
4	Somewhat difficult	68	1.3%
5	Extremely difficult	25	0.5%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	41	0.8%
Sysmiss		32634	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_provvote: If a provincial election were held today in...			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 281-298] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5188 /-] [Invalid=32634 /-]	
Literal question		If a provincial election were held today in \${pes19_province/ChoiceGroup/SelectedChoices}, which party would you vote for?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
281	Liberal	1343	25.9%
282	NDP	969	18.7%
283	Green	269	5.2%
284	Coalition Avenir Qu'Ã©bec	231	4.5%
285	Parti Qu'Ã©bÃ©cois	123	2.4%
286	Qu'Ã©bec Solidaire	71	1.4%
288	United Conservative	170	3.3%
289	Alberta Party	23	0.4%
290	Conservative	172	3.3%

# # pes19\_provvote: If a provincial election were held today in...

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
291	Saskatchewan Party	80	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
292	Progressive Conservative	745	<div><div></div></div> 14.4%
293	Yukon Party	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
295	Another party (please specify)	115	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
296	None of these	201	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
297	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	672	<div><div></div></div> 13.0%
298	People's Alliance	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Sysmiss		32634	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# # pes19\_provvote\_295\_TEXT: If a provincial election were held today in...

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=115 -/] [Invalid=0 -/]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
/Green Party		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
A B C Anyone but Conservative		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
APP		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
Atlantica		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
B C Conservative		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
Blokes Quebequaks		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
By law I am not required to say		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
Christian heritage party		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
Citoyen au pouvoir		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
Conservative		22	<div><div></div></div> 19.1%
Conservative Party		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
Conservative or independent		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
Conservatives		6	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
Green		12	<div><div></div></div> 10.4%
Green Party		6	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
Green party		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
Greens		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
Havenâ€™t decided yet		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
I would vote the candidate		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
Independent		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
Liberals		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
NDP		3	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
NDP Quebec		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
NPD		2	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
NPD QuÃ©bec		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%



# pes19_provvote_295_TEXT: If a provincial election were held today in...			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Ndpq		1	0.9%
None		1	0.9%
Ontario Party		1	0.9%
PC		1	0.9%
PPC		1	0.9%
People party		1	0.9%
Peoples party		2	1.7%
Peopleâ€™s Party of Canada		1	0.9%
Quebec Conservative Party		1	0.9%
Quâ€™bec Solidaire		1	0.9%
Spiritual Party		1	0.9%
THE CHRISTIAN HERITAGE PARTY		1	0.9%
There are no provincial parties in Nunavut.		1	0.9%
Vcp		1	0.9%
Vert		3	2.6%
Veterans conservative party		1	0.9%
We NEED a BC Conservative party		1	0.9%
Wixt		1	0.9%
any party		1	0.9%
conservative		8	7.0%
conservatives		1	0.9%
conervative		1	0.9%
fcp		1	0.9%
green		5	4.3%
green party		2	1.7%
parti conservateur du quâ€™bec		1	0.9%
peoples party		2	1.7%
western separatist		1	0.9%
wexit		1	0.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_interest_1: How interested are you in politics generally			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9952 /-] [Invalid=27870 /-] [Mean=6.67 /-] [StdDev=2.381 /-]		

# pes19_interest_1: How interested are you in politics generally			
<b>Literal question</b>		How interested are you in politics generally? Use a scale from 0 to 10, where zero means no interest at all, and ten means a great deal of interest.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		149	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
1		207	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
2		362	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
3		564	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
4		509	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
5		729	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
6		1286	<div><div></div></div> 12.9%
7		2035	<div><div></div></div> 20.4%
8		1939	<div><div></div></div> 19.5%
9		1121	<div><div></div></div> 11.3%
10		1051	<div><div></div></div> 10.6%
Sysmiss		27870	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_socnet1: Thinking about people who are NOT family members...			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		Thinking about people who are NOT family members or relatives, how many close friends do you have?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	474	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
2	1	446	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
3	2-5	4776	<div><div></div></div> 46.2%
4	More than 5	4376	<div><div></div></div> 42.3%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	268	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes10_socnet3: How often do you get together in person with your close friends...			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=9598 /-] [Invalid=28224 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		In an average month, how often do you get together in person with your close friends?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	258	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
2	More than once a week	1195	<div><div></div></div> 12.5%
3	Weekly	2281	<div><div></div></div> 23.8%
4	More than once a month	2433	<div><div></div></div> 25.3%
5	Once a month	1456	<div><div></div></div> 15.2%
6	Less than once a month	1850	<div><div></div></div> 19.3%
7	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	125	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
Sysmiss		28224	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_socnet2_1: How many of your close friends...Belong to a visibly different ethnicity			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	






# pes19_socnet2_1: How many of your close friends...Belong to a visibly different ethnicity			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9598 /-] [Invalid=28224 /-]	
Literal question		How many of your close friends ...	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	4038	<div></div> 42.1%
2	1	2164	<div></div> 22.5%
3	2-5	2366	<div></div> 24.7%
4	More than 5	600	<div></div> 6.3%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	430	<div></div> 4.5%
Sysmiss		28224	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_socnet2_2: How many of your close friends...Tend to disagree with you about politics			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9598 /-] [Invalid=28224 /-]	
Literal question		How many of your close friends ...	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	2072	<div></div> 21.6%
2	1	2469	<div></div> 25.7%
3	2-5	2579	<div></div> 26.9%
4	More than 5	372	<div></div> 3.9%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	2106	<div></div> 21.9%
Sysmiss		28224	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# pes19_socnet2_3: How many of your close friends...Have a graduate or professional degree				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9598 /-] [Invalid=28224 /-]		
Literal question		How many of your close friends ...		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	None	1304	<div><div></div></div>	13.6%
2	1	1484	<div><div></div></div>	15.5%
3	2-5	4312	<div><div></div></div>	44.9%
4	More than 5	1857	<div><div></div></div>	19.3%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	641	<div><div></div></div>	6.7%
Sysmiss		28224		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# pes19_discfam: How often do you discuss politics with...				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question		How often do you discuss politics with family and friends?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Never	1138	<div><div></div></div>	11.0%
2	Sometimes	6884	<div><div></div></div>	66.6%
3	Often	1899	<div><div></div></div>	18.4%
4	All the time	349	<div><div></div></div>	3.4%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	70	<div><div></div></div>	0.7%
Sysmiss		27482		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# pes19_discwork: How often do you discuss politics with...				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question		How often do you discuss politics with people at your work or school?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Never	3697	<div><div></div></div>	35.8%
2	Sometimes	4561	<div><div></div></div>	44.1%
3	Often	850	<div><div></div></div>	8.2%
4	All the time	175	<div><div></div></div>	1.7%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	1057	<div><div></div></div>	10.2%
Sysmiss		27482		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# pes19_disagreed: During the last month, how often have you...				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question		During the last month, how often have you discussed politics with someone whodisagreed with your political views?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Never	2445	<div><div></div></div>	23.6%
2	Sometimes	5860	<div><div></div></div>	56.7%
3	Often	1394	<div><div></div></div>	13.5%

# pes19_disagreed: During the last month, how often have you...			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	All the time	224	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	417	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_partic1_1: Here are some things people can do to participate in politics 1			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics. Please indicate how many times you've done these things over the past 12 months.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	7995	<div><div></div></div> 77.3%
2	Just once	1075	<div><div></div></div> 10.4%
3	A few times	936	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
4	More than five times	205	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	129	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_partic1_2: Here are some things people can do to participate in politics 2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics. Please indicate how many times you've done these things over the past 12 months.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	8533	<div><div></div></div> 82.5%
2	Just once	834	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
3	A few times	695	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
4	More than five times	156	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	122	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_partic1_3: Here are some things people can do to participate in politics 3			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics. Please indicate how many times you've done these things over the past 12 months.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	5621	<div><div></div></div> 54.4%
2	Just once	679	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
3	A few times	2546	<div><div></div></div> 24.6%
4	More than five times	1246	<div><div></div></div> 12.1%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	248	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# pes19_partic2_1: Here are some things people can do to participate in politics 4			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics. Please indicate how many times you've done these things over the past 12 months.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	6933	<div><div></div></div> 67.1%
2	Just once	772	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
3	A few times	1802	<div><div></div></div> 17.4%
4	More than five times	703	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	130	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_partic2_2: Here are some things people can do to participate in politics 5			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics. Please indicate how many times you've done these things over the past 12 months.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	9039	<div><div></div></div> 87.4%
2	Just once	573	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
3	A few times	420	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
4	More than five times	194	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	114	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_partic2_3: Here are some things people can do to participate in politics 6			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics. Please indicate how many times you've done these things over the past 12 months.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	7121	<div><div></div></div> 68.9%
2	Just once	1323	<div><div></div></div> 12.8%
3	A few times	1446	<div><div></div></div> 14.0%
4	More than five times	320	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	130	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_partic2_4: Here are some things people can do to participate in politics 7			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics. Please indicate how many times you've done these things over the past 12 months.		






#### # pes19\_partic2\_4: Here are some things people can do to participate in politics 7

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	8435	 81.6%
2	Just once	845	 8.2%
3	A few times	701	 6.8%
4	More than five times	237	 2.3%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	122	 1.2%
Sysmiss		27482	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#### # pes19\_partic3\_1: Here are some things people can do to participate in politics 8






<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics. Please indicate how many times you've done these things over the past 12 months.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	5654	 54.7%
2	Just once	979	 9.5%
3	A few times	1793	 17.3%
4	More than five times	1766	 17.1%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	148	 1.4%
Sysmiss		27482	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#### # pes19\_partic3\_2: Here are some things people can do to participate in politics 9





<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics. Please indicate how many times you've done these things over the past 12 months.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	2811	 27.2%
2	Just once	1190	 11.5%
3	A few times	3535	 34.2%
4	More than five times	2645	 25.6%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	159	 1.5%
Sysmiss		27482	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#### # pes19\_partic3\_3: Here are some things people can do to participate in politics 10

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics. Please indicate how many times you've done these things over the past 12 months.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	5978	 57.8%
2	Just once	826	 8.0%
3	A few times	1631	 15.8%
4	More than five times	1725	 16.7%

# pes19_partic3_3: Here are some things people can do to participate in politics 10			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	180	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_partic3_4: Here are some things people can do to participate in politics 11			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics. Please indicate how many times you've done these things over the past 12 months.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	6747	<div><div></div></div> 65.3%
2	Just once	701	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
3	A few times	1812	<div><div></div></div> 17.5%
4	More than five times	921	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	159	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_partic3_5: Here are some things people can do to participate in politics 12			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics. Please indicate how many times you've done these things over the past 12 months.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	7305	<div><div></div></div> 70.6%
2	Just once	651	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
3	A few times	1454	<div><div></div></div> 14.1%
4	More than five times	798	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	132	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_partic3_6: Here are some things people can do to participate in politics 13			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Here are some things people can do to participate in politics. Please indicate how many times you've done these things over the past 12 months.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	4804	<div><div></div></div> 46.5%
2	Just once	1787	<div><div></div></div> 17.3%
3	A few times	2638	<div><div></div></div> 25.5%
4	More than five times	946	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	165	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			



# pes19_volassoc_1: Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 1			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1254 /-] [Invalid=36568 /-]		
Literal question	Please indicate whether you have been active in any of the following voluntary associations during the past five years. Select all that apply.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Community service group	1254	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		36568	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_volassoc_2: Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=316 /-] [Invalid=37506 /-]		
Literal question	Please indicate whether you have been active in any of the following voluntary associations during the past five years. Select all that apply.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Business association	316	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37506	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_volassoc_3: Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 3			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=818 /-] [Invalid=37004 /-]		
Literal question	Please indicate whether you have been active in any of the following voluntary associations during the past five years. Select all that apply.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Professional association	818	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37004	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_volassoc_4: Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 4			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=258 /-] [Invalid=37564 /-]		
Literal question	Please indicate whether you have been active in any of the following voluntary associations during the past five years. Select all that apply.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Environmental group	258	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37564	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_volassoc_5: Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 5			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=377 /-] [Invalid=37445 /-]		
Literal question	Please indicate whether you have been active in any of the following voluntary associations during the past five years. Select all that apply.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Women's group	377	<div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37445	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# pes19_volassoc_6: Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 6			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=499 /-] [Invalid=37323 /-]		
Literal question	Please indicate whether you have been active in any of the following voluntary associations during the past five years. Select all that apply.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Labour union	499	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37323	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_volassoc_7: Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 7			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=124 /-] [Invalid=37698 /-]		
Literal question	Please indicate whether you have been active in any of the following voluntary associations during the past five years. Select all that apply.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Ethnic association	124	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37698	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_volassoc_8: Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 8			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=733 /-] [Invalid=37089 /-]		
Literal question	Please indicate whether you have been active in any of the following voluntary associations during the past five years. Select all that apply.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Sports association	733	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37089	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_volassoc_9: Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 9			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=745 /-] [Invalid=37077 /-]		
Literal question	Please indicate whether you have been active in any of the following voluntary associations during the past five years. Select all that apply.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Religious organization	745	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37077	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_volassoc_10: Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 10			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=317 /-] [Invalid=37505 /-]		
Literal question	Please indicate whether you have been active in any of the following voluntary associations during the past five years. Select all that apply.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Parents' group	317	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37505	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# pes19_volassoc_11: Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 11			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=56 /-] [Invalid=37766 /-]		
Literal question	Please indicate whether you have been active in any of the following voluntary associations during the past five years. Select all that apply.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Farmers' association	56	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37766	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_volassoc_12: Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 12			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=278 /-] [Invalid=37544 /-]		
Literal question	Please indicate whether you have been active in any of the following voluntary associations during the past five years. Select all that apply.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Other (please specify)	278	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37544	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_volassoc_13: Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 13			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2082 /-] [Invalid=35740 /-]		
Literal question	Please indicate whether you have been active in any of the following voluntary associations during the past five years. Select all that apply.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not active in any of these associations	2082	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		35740	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_volassoc_14: Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 14			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=170 /-] [Invalid=37652 /-]		
Literal question	Please indicate whether you have been active in any of the following voluntary associations during the past five years. Select all that apply.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	170	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		37652	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_volassoc_12_TEXT: Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 15			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=273 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12 step program		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
AA		3	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
ANIMALS		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Active in several Vancouver		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%

# pes19\_volassoc\_12\_TEXT: Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 15

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
festivals - Jazz, Folk, PuSh, etc.			
Aide Ã l'enfance		1	0.4%
Amateur Performing Arts group		1	0.4%
Amnistie Internationale		1	0.4%
Animal		1	0.4%
Animal Rescue		1	0.4%
Animal Rights		1	0.4%
Animal Welfare		1	0.4%
Animal rescue		1	0.4%
Animal rights		3	1.1%
Animal rights group		1	0.4%
Animal sanctuary		1	0.4%
Animal welfare		1	0.4%
Artists Association		1	0.4%
Artists group		1	0.4%
Arts		1	0.4%
Arts & Craft group		1	0.4%
Arts Group		1	0.4%
Arts association		2	0.7%
Arts groups		1	0.4%
Arts organization		1	0.4%
Arts organizations		2	0.7%
Assiciation culturelle		1	0.4%
Association de loisir		1	0.4%
BOWLING LEAGUE		1	0.4%
BenÃ©vole OSQ de QuÃ©bec et MusÃ©e de la Civilisation		1	0.4%
Bienfaisance		1	0.4%
Bike group		1	0.4%
Blood donor		1	0.4%
Blues Society		1	0.4%
Breakfast Speakers group		1	0.4%
Canadian Cancer Society		1	0.4%

# pes19\_volassoc\_12\_TEXT: Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 15

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Centre d'Action BÃ©nÃ©voles		1	0.4%
Centre personnes agÃ©es		1	0.4%
Charities		1	0.4%
Charity		1	0.4%
Charity fundraiser		1	0.4%
Children association		1	0.4%
Children's school		1	0.4%
Choir, book club, Spanish conversation group		1	0.4%
City group		1	0.4%
College		1	0.4%
Community Band		1	0.4%
Community Organisation		1	0.4%
Community Theatre		1	0.4%
Community Theatre group		1	0.4%
Condo Board		1	0.4%
Condo board		1	0.4%
Cook for Street Mission		1	0.4%
Cooperative housing		1	0.4%
Crimewatch		1	0.4%
Cultural		1	0.4%
Cultural group		1	0.4%
Cultural, Hooby, Travel, Historical		1	0.4%
Culture/arts		1	0.4%
Daughter's school		1	0.4%
Education		1	0.4%
Education Association		1	0.4%
Educational		1	0.4%
Educational group		1	0.4%
Egale		1	0.4%
Elections Canada		1	0.4%
Family council		1	0.4%
Fondation		1	0.4%

# pes19\_volassoc\_12\_TEXT: Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 15

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Food Bank		1	0.4%
Free MASONS		1	0.4%
Free Mason		1	0.4%
Garden club		1	0.4%
Gardening Group		1	0.4%
Golden age group		1	0.4%
Group supporting Syrian refugees		1	0.4%
Health		1	0.4%
Health authority advisory ommittee		1	0.4%
Healthcare, university		1	0.4%
Hema QuÃ©bec		1	0.4%
Heritage		2	0.7%
Hobby		1	0.4%
Hobby Organization		1	0.4%
Hobby associations		1	0.4%
Homeowners Association		1	0.4%
Hopital		1	0.4%
Hospital		1	0.4%
Hospital Volunteer		1	0.4%
Hospital and care home		1	0.4%
Hospital volunteer		1	0.4%
Human Rights group		1	0.4%
Humane society		1	0.4%
I do advocacy work for those unable to do so themselves		1	0.4%
International Organization		1	0.4%
LAW ASSOCIATION		1	0.4%
LGBT arts organzation		1	0.4%
LGBT+		1	0.4%
LGBT2SQ +, Seniors, Recovery, Social Justice		1	0.4%

# pes19\_volassoc\_12\_TEXT: Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 15

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
LGBTQ Organization		1	0.4%
LGBTQ resource group		1	0.4%
LTC facility		1	0.4%
Leadership in a county council committee (heritage)		1	0.4%
Legion		1	0.4%
Library		1	0.4%
Local community league		1	0.4%
Local historical society		1	0.4%
Local hospit		1	0.4%
Masons and Shriners		1	0.4%
Medical		1	0.4%
Meetup, Couchsurfing		1	0.4%
Military and Veteran associations		1	0.4%
Military group		1	0.4%
Misson trip in 3rd world country		1	0.4%
Model Railroad Club		1	0.4%
Mood Disorder Group		1	0.4%
Museum		2	0.7%
Museum board		1	0.4%
Music Association		2	0.7%
Music Festival		1	0.4%
Music organization		1	0.4%
Musical Group		1	0.4%
MusÃ©e national		1	0.4%
NGO		1	0.4%
NS provincial political campaign; AA		1	0.4%
National Charity		1	0.4%
National charity		1	0.4%
Native		1	0.4%
Neighborhood Watch		1	0.4%

# pes19\_volassoc\_12\_TEXT: Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 15

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
New Canadians		1	0.4%
Non profit		1	0.4%
None retired		1	0.4%
Optimiste		1	0.4%
Outdoor club		1	0.4%
POLITICAL CANIDATE		1	0.4%
PROBUS		1	0.4%
PTSD SUPPORT NETWORK		1	0.4%
Parkrun canada		1	0.4%
Parti politique municipal		1	0.4%
Performing Arts Organization		1	0.4%
Performing arts associations		1	0.4%
Pet group		1	0.4%
Photographie		1	0.4%
Political		1	0.4%
Political Party		1	0.4%
Pride		1	0.4%
Probus and a technology club. I am retired		1	0.4%
Recreational/ Annual Celebration		1	0.4%
Red Cross HELP Programme		1	0.4%
Refuge pour animaux		1	0.4%
Refugee sponsorship group		1	0.4%
Rescue meow (cat rescue)		1	0.4%
Retired Association		1	0.4%
Retirees advocacy group		1	0.4%
Royal Canadian Legion		1	0.4%
SPCA		1	0.4%
SPCA and other Animal Rescue groups, LIONS		1	0.4%
Santa's Anonymous		1	0.4%



# pes19\_volassoc\_12\_TEXT: Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 15

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sat on national boards for volunteer group		1	0.4%
School		2	0.7%
Scout		1	0.4%
Scouts Canada		2	0.7%
Senior Community Centre		1	0.4%
Senior group		1	0.4%
Seniors		1	0.4%
Seniors group, hard of hearing group		1	0.4%
Seniors groups		1	0.4%
Seniorâ€™s Group		1	0.4%
Shriners Hospitals for Children		1	0.4%
Snowmobile Club		1	0.4%
Spiritual organization		1	0.4%
Sports club		1	0.4%
Strata Association		1	0.4%
Strata associations		1	0.4%
Student Union		1	0.4%
Student association		1	0.4%
Support group for a rare disease		1	0.4%
Survivors of Sexual Assault and Rape		1	0.4%
Syndicat de copropri��t��		1	0.4%
THE CANADIAN CENTER FOR THE BIO ETHICAL REFORM		1	0.4%
Tai Chi Society		1	0.4%
Tenants Rights		1	0.4%
The Great Canadian Trail		1	0.4%
Theatre		2	0.7%
Theosophy		1	0.4%
Toastmasters		1	0.4%

# pes19\_volassoc\_12\_TEXT: Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 15

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Transgender support group		1	0.4%
VOLUNTEER @ PRHC - LOCAL HOSPITAL		1	0.4%
Veterans		1	0.4%
Veterans' Organization		1	0.4%
Village council		1	0.4%
Volunteer at Local Hospital and drive for Cancer Society		1	0.4%
Volunteer cancer drivers society		1	0.4%
Volunteer work at Botanical, PROBUS		1	0.4%
Weight Loss Group - WW		1	0.4%
WildSheep Society, Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation, Safari Club International, Cornell University Bird Society		1	0.4%
Writers Group Representing Our City		1	0.4%
YMCA		1	0.4%
YOUTH GROUPS		1	0.4%
YWCA Opportunity Thrift Shop		1	0.4%
Yellow Vest		1	0.4%
a community betterment group		1	0.4%
a director for a housing co-op		1	0.4%
animal rescue		1	0.4%
animal welfare		1	0.4%
animals		1	0.4%
art		1	0.4%
arts festival		1	0.4%
arts group		1	0.4%
arts groups		1	0.4%
association de mobilit� active		1	0.4%

# pes19\_volassoc\_12\_TEXT: Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 15

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
board member for volunteer association		1	0.4%
book clubs		1	0.4%
boycotting the building of skyscraper condos on Broadway St., Vancouver, BC		1	0.4%
brass band		1	0.4%
bridge club		1	0.4%
charity		1	0.4%
community arts		1	0.4%
condo association		1	0.4%
condo board		1	0.4%
craft group and horticulture group		1	0.4%
crafts		1	0.4%
fishing club, wood turning club, snowmobile club seniors group		1	0.4%
gleaners		1	0.4%
groupe d'écriture		1	0.4%
health and animal welfare		1	0.4%
historical		1	0.4%
hobby website		1	0.4%
home strata		1	0.4%
hospital		1	0.4%
humane society		2	0.7%
legion		1	0.4%
local community group		1	0.4%
long-term care facility		1	0.4%
medical support		1	0.4%
medical/health support group		1	0.4%
mental health charitable organization		1	0.4%
music and dance groups		1	0.4%
musicale		1	0.4%
médical		1	0.4%

# # pes19\_volassoc\_12\_TEXT: Have you been active in any of the following volunteering activities 15

















Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
non profit organization		1	<div></div> 0.4%
not-for profit social and musical groups		1	<div></div> 0.4%
other		1	<div></div> 0.4%
political party		1	<div></div> 0.4%
political party constituency association		1	<div></div> 0.4%
residents' association		1	<div></div> 0.4%
retirement community		1	<div></div> 0.4%
school		1	<div></div> 0.4%
school board		1	<div></div> 0.4%
school comittee		1	<div></div> 0.4%
school lunch program		1	<div></div> 0.4%
sclÃ©rose en plaques		1	<div></div> 0.4%
senior groups		1	<div></div> 0.4%
seniors centre		1	<div></div> 0.4%
seniors group		1	<div></div> 0.4%
sociÃ©tÃ© savante		1	<div></div> 0.4%
spiritual group		1	<div></div> 0.4%
volunteer at a school		1	<div></div> 0.4%
worked on fundraisers personally		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Ã©cole		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Ã©ge d'Ã©tÃ© ou du QuÃ©bec		1	<div></div> 0.4%
Ã©cole		2	<div></div> 0.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# # pes19\_partymember: Have you ever been a member of a provincial or federal political party



















<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Have you ever been a member of a provincial or federal political party?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1863	<div></div> 18.0%
2	No	8380	<div></div> 81.0%
3	Don't know/Prefer not to answer	97	<div></div> 0.9%
Sysmiss		27482	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# pes19_diff_power_1: Some people say that it doesn't make any difference who is in power...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9843 /-] [Invalid=27979 /-] [Mean=3.974 /-] [StdDev=0.967 /-]		
Literal question	Some people say that it doesn't make any difference who is in power. Others say that it makes a big difference who is in power. Using a scale where one means that it doesn't make any difference who is in power and five means that it makes a big difference who is in power, where would you place yourself?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		257	 2.6%
2		626	 6.4%
3		1315	 13.4%
4		4562	 46.3%
5		3083	 31.3%
Sysmiss		27979	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_diff_happens_1: Some people say that no matter who people vote for...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9790 /-] [Invalid=28032 /-] [Mean=4.011 /-] [StdDev=0.986 /-]		
Literal question	Some people say that no matter who people vote for, it won't make any difference to what happens. Others say that who people vote for can make a big difference to what happens. Using a scale where one means that voting won't make any difference to what happens and five means that voting can make a big difference, where would you place yourself?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		247	 2.5%
2		661	 6.8%
3		1243	 12.7%
4		4222	 43.1%
5		3417	 34.9%
Sysmiss		28032	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_mediaelite: Mainstream news media is largely controlled by elite interests			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Mainstream news media is largely controlled by elite interests.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	371	 3.6%
2	Somewhat disagree	1273	 12.3%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	2255	 21.8%
4	Somewhat agree	4015	 38.8%
5	Strongly agree	2015	 19.5%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	411	 4.0%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_medianolie: Journalists who work for mainstream news media never lie			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Journalists who work for mainstream news media never lie.		

# pes19_medianolie: Journalists who work for mainstream news media never lie			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	2584	<div><div></div></div> 25.0%
2	Somewhat disagree	3959	<div><div></div></div> 38.3%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	2110	<div><div></div></div> 20.4%
4	Somewhat agree	1157	<div><div></div></div> 11.2%
5	Strongly agree	342	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	188	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_opinion: Even opinions that are not based on fact should be given consideration in public			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Even opinions that are not based on fact should be given consideration in public life.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	2563	<div><div></div></div> 24.8%
2	Somewhat disagree	2871	<div><div></div></div> 27.8%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	2113	<div><div></div></div> 20.4%
4	Somewhat agree	2049	<div><div></div></div> 19.8%
5	Strongly agree	504	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	240	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_lookslikeme: I feel that I am better represented by someone who looks like me			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	I feel that I am better represented by someone who looks like me.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	3117	<div><div></div></div> 30.1%
2	Somewhat disagree	2164	<div><div></div></div> 20.9%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	3002	<div><div></div></div> 29.0%
4	Somewhat agree	1410	<div><div></div></div> 13.6%
5	Strongly agree	424	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	223	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_womenparl: The best way to protect women's interests is to...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	The best way to protect women's interests is to have more women in Parliament.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	382	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
2	Somewhat disagree	948	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	2427	<div><div></div></div> 23.5%

# pes19_womenparl: The best way to protect women's interests is to...			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Somewhat agree	4079	<div><div></div></div> 39.4%
5	Strongly agree	2401	<div><div></div></div> 23.2%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	103	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_corruption: How widespread is corruption among politicians			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	How widespread do you think corruption such as bribe taking isamong politicians in Canada?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very widespread	1607	<div><div></div></div> 15.5%
2	Quite widespread	3600	<div><div></div></div> 34.8%
3	Not very widespread	3674	<div><div></div></div> 35.5%
4	It hardly happens at all	564	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	895	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_populism_1: Do you understand the most important political issues of this country			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	You feel you understand the most important political issues ofthis country.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	216	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
2	Somewhat disagree	1038	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	2160	<div><div></div></div> 20.9%
4	Somewhat agree	5123	<div><div></div></div> 49.5%
5	Strongly agree	1632	<div><div></div></div> 15.8%
6	Don't know / Prefer not to answer	171	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_populism_2: What people call compromise in politics is...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	What people call compromise in politics is really just selling outon one's principles.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	597	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
2	Somewhat disagree	2463	<div><div></div></div> 23.8%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	2690	<div><div></div></div> 26.0%
4	Somewhat agree	3328	<div><div></div></div> 32.2%
5	Strongly agree	934	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
6	Don't know / Prefer not to answer	328	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# pes19_populism_3: Most politicians do not care about the people			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Most politicians do not care about the people.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	610	 5.9%
2	Somewhat disagree	2799	 27.1%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	2244	 21.7%
4	Somewhat agree	3284	 31.8%
5	Strongly agree	1309	 12.7%
6	Don't know / Prefer not to answer	94	 0.9%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_populism_4: Most politicians are trustworthy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Most politicians are trustworthy.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	1344	 13.0%
2	Somewhat disagree	3261	 31.5%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	2620	 25.3%
4	Somewhat agree	2686	 26.0%
5	Strongly agree	323	 3.1%
6	Don't know / Prefer not to answer	106	 1.0%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_populism_5: Politicians are the main problem in Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Politicians are the main problem in Canada.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	1063	 10.3%
2	Somewhat disagree	2602	 25.2%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	3009	 29.1%
4	Somewhat agree	2543	 24.6%
5	Strongly agree	946	 9.1%
6	Don't know / Prefer not to answer	177	 1.7%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_populism_6: Having a strong leader in government is good for Canada...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Having a strong leader in government is good for Canada even if the leader bends the rules to get things done.		



# pes19_populism_6: Having a strong leader in government is good for Canada...			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	1641	<div><div></div></div> 15.9%
2	Somewhat disagree	2934	<div><div></div></div> 28.4%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	2016	<div><div></div></div> 19.5%
4	Somewhat agree	2775	<div><div></div></div> 26.8%
5	Strongly agree	790	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
6	Don't know / Prefer not to answer	184	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_populism_7: The people should make our most important policy decisions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	The people, and not politicians, should make our most important policy decisions.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	455	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
2	Somewhat disagree	1776	<div><div></div></div> 17.2%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	2216	<div><div></div></div> 21.4%
4	Somewhat agree	3846	<div><div></div></div> 37.2%
5	Strongly agree	1830	<div><div></div></div> 17.7%
6	Don't know / Prefer not to answer	217	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_populism_8: Most politicians care only about the interests of the rich and powerful			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Most politicians care only about the interests of the rich and powerful.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	408	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
2	Somewhat disagree	2008	<div><div></div></div> 19.4%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	2065	<div><div></div></div> 20.0%
4	Somewhat agree	4029	<div><div></div></div> 39.0%
5	Strongly agree	1700	<div><div></div></div> 16.4%
6	Don't know / Prefer not to answer	130	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_nativism1: Minorities should adapt to the customs and traditions of Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Minorities should adapt to the customs and traditions of Canada.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	414	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
2	Somewhat disagree	937	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1509	<div><div></div></div> 14.6%

# **# pes19\_nativism1: Minorities should adapt to the customs and traditions of Canada**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Somewhat agree	4035	<div></div> 39.0%
5	Strongly agree	3350	<div></div> 32.4%
6	Don't know / Prefer not to answer	95	<div></div> 0.9%
Sysmiss		27482	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# pes19_nativism2: The will of the majority should always prevail			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	The will of the majority should always prevail, even over the rights of minorities.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	1458	<div><div></div></div> 14.1%
2	Somewhat disagree	2610	<div><div></div></div> 25.2%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	2533	<div><div></div></div> 24.5%
4	Somewhat agree	2351	<div><div></div></div> 22.7%
5	Strongly agree	1020	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
6	Don't know / Prefer not to answer	368	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_nativism3: Immigrants are generally good for Canada's economy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Immigrants are generally good for Canada's economy.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	426	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
2	Somewhat disagree	839	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1734	<div><div></div></div> 16.8%
4	Somewhat agree	4292	<div><div></div></div> 41.5%
5	Strongly agree	2958	<div><div></div></div> 28.6%
6	Don't know / Prefer not to answer	91	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_nativism4: Canada's culture is generally harmed by immigrants			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Canada's culture is generally harmed by immigrants.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	3117	<div><div></div></div> 30.1%
2	Somewhat disagree	2673	<div><div></div></div> 25.9%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1784	<div><div></div></div> 17.3%
4	Somewhat agree	1788	<div><div></div></div> 17.3%
5	Strongly agree	859	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
6	Don't know / Prefer not to answer	119	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_nativism5: Immigrants increase crime rates in Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Immigrants increase crime rates in Canada.		

# pes19_nativism5: Immigrants increase crime rates in Canada			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	2935	<div><div></div></div> 28.4%
2	Somewhat disagree	2626	<div><div></div></div> 25.4%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	2233	<div><div></div></div> 21.6%
4	Somewhat agree	1562	<div><div></div></div> 15.1%
5	Strongly agree	746	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	238	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_canid1: I have a lot in common with other Canadians			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	I have a lot in common with other Canadians		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	89	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
2	Somewhat disagree	411	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1974	<div><div></div></div> 19.1%
4	Somewhat agree	5155	<div><div></div></div> 49.9%
5	Strongly agree	2565	<div><div></div></div> 24.8%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	146	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_canid2: I often think about the fact that I am Canadian			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	I often think about the fact that I am Canadian.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	371	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
2	Somewhat disagree	926	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	2317	<div><div></div></div> 22.4%
4	Somewhat agree	3620	<div><div></div></div> 35.0%
5	Strongly agree	3022	<div><div></div></div> 29.2%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	84	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_canid3: In general, I am glad to be Canadian			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	In general, I am glad to be Canadian		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	69	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
2	Somewhat disagree	160	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	600	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%

# pes19_canid3: In general, I am glad to be Canadian			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Somewhat agree	2394	<div><div></div></div> 23.2%
5	Strongly agree	7079	<div><div></div></div> 68.5%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	38	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_sdo1: If certain groups stayed in their place, we would have fewer problems			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	If certain groups stayed in their place, we would have fewer problems.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	2679	<div><div></div></div> 25.9%
2	Somewhat disagree	2411	<div><div></div></div> 23.3%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	2486	<div><div></div></div> 24.0%
4	Somewhat agree	1763	<div><div></div></div> 17.1%
5	Strongly agree	615	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	386	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_sdo2: We should do what we can to equalize conditions for different groups			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	We should do what we can to equalize conditions for different groups.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	261	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
2	Somewhat disagree	836	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1951	<div><div></div></div> 18.9%
4	Somewhat agree	4671	<div><div></div></div> 45.2%
5	Strongly agree	2348	<div><div></div></div> 22.7%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	273	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_sdo3: Group equality should be our ideal			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Group equality should be our ideal.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	255	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
2	Somewhat disagree	804	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	2240	<div><div></div></div> 21.7%
4	Somewhat agree	4087	<div><div></div></div> 39.5%
5	Strongly agree	2617	<div><div></div></div> 25.3%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	337	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%

# pes19_sdo3: Group equality should be our ideal			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_sdo4: It's a good thing that certain groups are at the top...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	It's probably a good thing that certain groups are at the top and other groups are at the bottom.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	3182	<div></div> 30.8%
2	Somewhat disagree	3118	<div></div> 30.2%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	2469	<div></div> 23.9%
4	Somewhat agree	938	<div></div> 9.1%
5	Strongly agree	250	<div></div> 2.4%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	383	<div></div> 3.7%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_donerm: How much do you think should be done for racial minorities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you think should be done for racial minorities?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more	1461	<div></div> 14.1%
2	Somewhat more	2899	<div></div> 28.0%
3	About the same as now	3824	<div></div> 37.0%
4	Somewhat less	1055	<div></div> 10.2%
5	Much less	612	<div></div> 5.9%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	489	<div></div> 4.7%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_donew: How much do you think should be done for women			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you think should be done for women?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more	2502	<div></div> 24.2%
2	Somewhat more	3907	<div></div> 37.8%
3	About the same as now	3299	<div></div> 31.9%
4	Somewhat less	264	<div></div> 2.6%
5	Much less	129	<div></div> 1.2%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	239	<div></div> 2.3%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# pes19_donegl: How much do you think should be done for gays and lesbians			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you think should be done for gays and lesbians?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more	1416	<div><div></div></div> 13.7%
2	Somewhat more	2523	<div><div></div></div> 24.4%
3	About the same as now	4201	<div><div></div></div> 40.6%
4	Somewhat less	989	<div><div></div></div> 9.6%
5	Much less	777	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	434	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_doneqc: How much do you think should be done for Quebec			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you think should be done for Quebec?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more	581	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
2	Somewhat more	969	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
3	About the same as now	3672	<div><div></div></div> 35.5%
4	Somewhat less	2173	<div><div></div></div> 21.0%
5	Much less	2288	<div><div></div></div> 22.1%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	657	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_taxes_1: How much should each of the following pay in taxes? - Small business			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5253 /-] [Invalid=32569 /-]		
Literal question	How much should each of the following pay in taxes?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more	69	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
2	Somewhat more	421	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
3	About the same as now	2406	<div><div></div></div> 45.8%
4	Somewhat less	1770	<div><div></div></div> 33.7%
5	Much less	466	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
6	Don't know/Prefer not to answer	121	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
Sysmiss		32569	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_taxes_2: How much should each of the following pay in taxes? - Big Corporations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5254 /-] [Invalid=32568 /-]		
Literal question	How much should each of the following pay in taxes?		

# pes19_taxes_2: How much should each of the following pay in taxes? - Big Corporations			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more	2700	<div><div></div></div> 51.4%
2	Somewhat more	1646	<div><div></div></div> 31.3%
3	About the same as now	605	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%
4	Somewhat less	143	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
5	Much less	83	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
6	Don't know/Prefer not to answer	77	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
Sysmiss		32568	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_taxes_3: How much should each of the following pay in taxes? - The Middle Class			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5255 /-] [Invalid=32567 /-]		
Literal question	How much should each of the following pay in taxes?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more	45	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
2	Somewhat more	255	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
3	About the same as now	2337	<div><div></div></div> 44.5%
4	Somewhat less	2019	<div><div></div></div> 38.4%
5	Much less	531	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
6	Don't know/Prefer not to answer	68	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
Sysmiss		32567	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_taxes_4: How much should each of the following pay in taxes? - Wealthy Canadians			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5255 /-] [Invalid=32567 /-]		
Literal question	How much should each of the following pay in taxes?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more	2491	<div><div></div></div> 47.4%
2	Somewhat more	1885	<div><div></div></div> 35.9%
3	About the same as now	594	<div><div></div></div> 11.3%
4	Somewhat less	123	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
5	Much less	90	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
6	Don't know/Prefer not to answer	72	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
Sysmiss		32567	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_taxes_5: How much should each of the following pay in taxes? - Poor Canadians			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5255 /-] [Invalid=32567 /-]		
Literal question	How much should each of the following pay in taxes?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more	52	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
2	Somewhat more	97	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
3	About the same as now	1079	<div><div></div></div> 20.5%



# pes19_taxes_5: How much should each of the following pay in taxes? - Poor Canadians			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Somewhat less	1639	<div><div></div></div> 31.2%
5	Much less	2290	<div><div></div></div> 43.6%
6	Don't know/Prefer not to answer	98	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
Sysmiss		32567	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_abort1: Should abortion be banned			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=844 /-] [Invalid=36978 /-]		
Literal question	Should abortion be banned?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	66	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
2	No	716	<div><div></div></div> 84.8%
3	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	62	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
Sysmiss		36978	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_abort2: Should abortion be banned 2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=847 /-] [Invalid=36975 /-]		
Literal question	Should abortion be banned?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	46	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
2	In some circumstances	139	<div><div></div></div> 16.4%
3	No	632	<div><div></div></div> 74.6%
4	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	30	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
Sysmiss		36975	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_abort3: Agree or disagree with the following statement...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=907 /-] [Invalid=36915 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you agree or disagree withthe following statement. There should be more restrictions on abortion.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	460	<div><div></div></div> 50.7%
2	Somewhat disagree	151	<div><div></div></div> 16.6%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	143	<div><div></div></div> 15.8%
4	Somewhat agree	79	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
5	Strongly agree	53	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	21	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
Sysmiss		36915	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_abort4: Views on abortion...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 21-24] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=851 /-] [Invalid=36971 /-]		

# pes19_abort4: Views on abortion...			
<b>Literal question</b>		Now we would like to get your views on abortion. Of the following three positions, which is closest to your own opinion?	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
21	Abortion should be a matter of the woman's personal choice	677	79.6%
22	Abortion should never be permitted	37	4.3%
23	Abortion should be permitted only after need has been established	111	13.0%
24	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	26	3.1%
Sysmiss		36971	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_abort5: And now a question on abortion...			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=794 /-] [Invalid=37028 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		And now a question on abortion. How easy or difficult should it be for women to get an abortion?	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very easy	309	38.9%
2	Somewhat easy	234	29.5%
3	Somewhat difficult	122	15.4%
4	Very difficult	50	6.3%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	79	9.9%
Sysmiss		37028	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_abort6: Agree or disagree with the following statement			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=876 /-] [Invalid=36946 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		How much do you agree or disagree with the following statement. The decision to have an abortion should be the responsibility of the pregnant woman.	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Strongly disagree	42	4.8%
2	Somewhat disagree	36	4.1%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	53	6.1%
4	Somewhat agree	120	13.7%
5	Strongly agree	609	69.5%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	16	1.8%
Sysmiss		36946	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_trade: International trade creates more jobs in Canada than it destroys			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=5138 /-] [Invalid=32684 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		International trade creates more jobs in Canada than it destroys.	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Strongly disagree	103	2.0%
2	Somewhat disagree	442	8.6%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1040	20.2%
4	Somewhat agree	2029	39.5%

# pes19_trade: International trade creates more jobs in Canada than it destroys			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Strongly agree	1082	<div><div></div></div> 21.1%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	442	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
Sysmiss		32684	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_privjobs: The government should leave it entirely to the private sector to create jobs			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	The government should leave it entirelyto the private sector to create jobs.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	1667	<div><div></div></div> 16.1%
2	Somewhat disagree	3915	<div><div></div></div> 37.9%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	2058	<div><div></div></div> 19.9%
4	Somewhat agree	1754	<div><div></div></div> 17.0%
5	Strongly agree	550	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	396	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_govt_act_ineq: The government should take measures to reduce differences in income levels			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	The government should take measures to reduce differences in income levels.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	562	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
2	Somewhat disagree	1267	<div><div></div></div> 12.3%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1752	<div><div></div></div> 16.9%
4	Somewhat agree	4224	<div><div></div></div> 40.9%
5	Strongly agree	2310	<div><div></div></div> 22.3%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	225	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_deserve1: If people really want to work, they can find a job			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	If people really want to work, they can find a job.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	605	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
2	Somewhat disagree	1688	<div><div></div></div> 16.3%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1232	<div><div></div></div> 11.9%
4	Somewhat agree	4150	<div><div></div></div> 40.1%
5	Strongly agree	2558	<div><div></div></div> 24.7%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	107	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# pes19_deserve2: The welfare state makes people less willing to look after themselves			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	The welfare state makes people less willing to look after themselves.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	976	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
2	Somewhat disagree	1725	<div><div></div></div> 16.7%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1529	<div><div></div></div> 14.8%
4	Somewhat agree	3636	<div><div></div></div> 35.2%
5	Strongly agree	2305	<div><div></div></div> 22.3%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	169	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_blame: People who don't get ahead should blame themselves, not the system			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5055 /-] [Invalid=32767 /-]		
Literal question	People who don't get ahead should blame themselves, not the system.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	525	<div><div></div></div> 10.4%
2	Somewhat disagree	1064	<div><div></div></div> 21.0%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1240	<div><div></div></div> 24.5%
4	Somewhat agree	1519	<div><div></div></div> 30.0%
5	Strongly agree	614	<div><div></div></div> 12.1%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	93	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
Sysmiss		32767	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_stdofliving: The government should:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5055 /-] [Invalid=32767 /-]		
Literal question	The government should:		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	See to it that everyone has a decent standard of living	3546	<div><div></div></div> 70.1%
2	Leave people to get ahead on their own	952	<div><div></div></div> 18.8%
3	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	557	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
Sysmiss		32767	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_trust: Generally speaking...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Generally speaking would you say that most people can be trusted, or that you need to be very careful when dealing with people?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most people can be trusted	4602	<div><div></div></div> 44.5%
2	You need to be very careful when dealing with people	5314	<div><div></div></div> 51.4%

# pes19_trust: Generally speaking...			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	424	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_inequal: Is income inequality a big problem in Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Is income inequality a big problem in Canada?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Definitely yes	2878	<div><div></div></div> 27.8%
2	Probably yes	4320	<div><div></div></div> 41.8%
3	Not sure	1702	<div><div></div></div> 16.5%
4	Probably not	1005	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
5	Definitely not	254	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	181	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_gap: How much should be done to reduce the gap between the rich and poor			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you think should be done to reduce the gap between the rich and the poor in Canada?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more	3314	<div><div></div></div> 32.1%
2	Somewhat more	4170	<div><div></div></div> 40.3%
3	About the same as now	1856	<div><div></div></div> 17.9%
4	Somewhat less	348	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
5	Much less	232	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	420	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_proved: Which do you prefer:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Which do you prefer:		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A strong federal government	4756	<div><div></div></div> 46.0%
2	More power to the provincial governments	3800	<div><div></div></div> 36.8%
3	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	1784	<div><div></div></div> 17.3%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_hostile1: Most women fail to appreciate all that men do for them			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5280 /-] [Invalid=32542 /-]		

# pes19_hostile1: Most women fail to appreciate all that men do for them			
<b>Literal question</b>		Most women fail to appreciate all that men do for them.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	1378	<div><div></div></div> 26.1%
2	Somewhat disagree	1303	<div><div></div></div> 24.7%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1396	<div><div></div></div> 26.4%
4	Somewhat agree	822	<div><div></div></div> 15.6%
5	Strongly agree	240	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	141	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
Sysmiss		32542	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_hostile2: Women seek to gain power by getting control over men			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=5280 /-] [Invalid=32542 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		Women seek to gain power by getting controlover men.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	1481	<div><div></div></div> 28.0%
2	Somewhat disagree	1343	<div><div></div></div> 25.4%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1365	<div><div></div></div> 25.9%
4	Somewhat agree	751	<div><div></div></div> 14.2%
5	Strongly agree	204	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	136	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
Sysmiss		32542	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_hostile3: Most women interpret innocent remarks or acts as being sexist			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=5280 /-] [Invalid=32542 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		Most women interpret innocent remarks or acts as being sexist.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	812	<div><div></div></div> 15.4%
2	Somewhat disagree	1148	<div><div></div></div> 21.7%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1421	<div><div></div></div> 26.9%
4	Somewhat agree	1324	<div><div></div></div> 25.1%
5	Strongly agree	404	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	171	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
Sysmiss		32542	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_benevolent1: Women should be cherished and protected by men			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=5280 /-] [Invalid=32542 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		Women should be cherished and protected by men.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	631	<div><div></div></div> 12.0%
2	Somewhat disagree	671	<div><div></div></div> 12.7%

# pes19_benevolent1: Women should be cherished and protected by men			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1630	<div><div></div></div> 30.9%
4	Somewhat agree	1483	<div><div></div></div> 28.1%
5	Strongly agree	759	<div><div></div></div> 14.4%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	106	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
Sysmiss		32542	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_benevolent2: Many women have a quality of purity that few men possess			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5280 /-] [Invalid=32542 /-]		
Literal question	Many women have a quality of purity that few men possess.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	966	<div><div></div></div> 18.3%
2	Somewhat disagree	1099	<div><div></div></div> 20.8%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1775	<div><div></div></div> 33.6%
4	Somewhat agree	939	<div><div></div></div> 17.8%
5	Strongly agree	224	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	277	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
Sysmiss		32542	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_benevolent3: A good woman ought to be set on a pedestal by her man			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5280 /-] [Invalid=32542 /-]		
Literal question	A good woman ought to be set on a pedestal by her man.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	1257	<div><div></div></div> 23.8%
2	Somewhat disagree	1021	<div><div></div></div> 19.3%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1587	<div><div></div></div> 30.1%
4	Somewhat agree	861	<div><div></div></div> 16.3%
5	Strongly agree	377	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	177	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
Sysmiss		32542	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_pos_carbon: To help reduce greenhouse gas emissions...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1017 /-] [Invalid=36805 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	263	<div><div></div></div> 25.9%
2	Somewhat disagree	122	<div><div></div></div> 12.0%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	175	<div><div></div></div> 17.2%
4	Somewhat agree	219	<div><div></div></div> 21.5%
5	Strongly agree	199	<div><div></div></div> 19.6%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	39	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
Sysmiss		36805	

# pes19_pos_carbon: To help reduce greenhouse gas emissions...			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_pos_energy: The federal government should do more to help the energy sector...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1017 /-] [Invalid=36805 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	74	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
2	Somewhat disagree	114	<div><div></div></div> 11.2%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	178	<div><div></div></div> 17.5%
4	Somewhat agree	303	<div><div></div></div> 29.8%
5	Strongly agree	299	<div><div></div></div> 29.4%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	49	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
Sysmiss		36805	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_cc1: Do you think that climate change is happening			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Do you think that climate change is happening?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	8571	<div><div></div></div> 82.9%
2	No	1343	<div><div></div></div> 13.0%
3	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	426	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_cc2: What do you think is the main cause of climate change			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8571 /-] [Invalid=29251 /-]		
Literal question	What do you think is the main cause of climate change?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Human activities such as burning fossil fuels for energy	6256	<div><div></div></div> 73.0%
2	Natural changes in the environment	1576	<div><div></div></div> 18.4%
3	Other	440	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
4	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	299	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
Sysmiss		29251	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_cc2_3_TEXT: What do you think is the main cause of climate change			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=440 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
A combination if BOTH human activities and natural changes.		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
A combination if human use and natural changes		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%



# pes19\_cc2\_3\_TEXT: What do you think is the main cause of climate change

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
A combination of above		1	0.2%
A combination of both		3	0.7%
A combination of both of the above		1	0.2%
A combination of both of the above and other factors.		1	0.2%
A combination of factors		1	0.2%
A combination of human activities and natural changes		1	0.2%
A combination of human activities and natural environment changes.		1	0.2%
A combination of human activity and changes due to evolution		1	0.2%
A combination of human and ecological cycles		1	0.2%
A combination of human factors and cyclical changes in nature		1	0.2%
A combination of man and nature. There were climate swings before man		1	0.2%
A combination of natural and man made activities is contributing		1	0.2%
A combination of natural and man-made issues.		1	0.2%
A combination of natural changes and human activity		1	0.2%
A combination of natural process		1	0.2%

# pes19\_cc2\_3\_TEXT: What do you think is the main cause of climate change

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
and human interference			
A combination of the two		2	0.5%
A combination of things including human activities, mostly by large corporations		1	0.2%
A combination of volcanic activity, air pollution in china, india & other countries around the globe a shift in the planets axis from decades past to now, solar activity from the sun and much more		1	0.2%
A complex issue, some human caused, some environmental.		1	0.2%
A foundation of natural changes compounded by human activity -- so, both.		1	0.2%
A mix between oil and deforestation		1	0.2%
A mix of both		1	0.2%
A mix of both. Humans are hastening natural changes.		1	0.2%
Action humaine		1	0.2%
All human activities		1	0.2%
All of the above		4	0.9%
All of the above.		1	0.2%
Animal Feed lots		1	0.2%
Animal agriculture r		1	0.2%
BOTH environmental and human involvement.		1	0.2%
Big companies overseas.		1	0.2%
Big corporations		1	0.2%
Both		59	13.4%

# pes19\_cc2\_3\_TEXT: What do you think is the main cause of climate change

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Both - but mostly by natural changes that have always happened.		1	0.2%
Both Humain and Nature		1	0.2%
Both Human activity and natural changes		1	0.2%
Both above statements		1	0.2%
Both and mor		1	0.2%
Both and more.		1	0.2%
Both answers		1	0.2%
Both answers equally		1	0.2%
Both equally		1	0.2%
Both from above		1	0.2%
Both human & natural		1	0.2%
Both human activities and natural changes		1	0.2%
Both human and natural		1	0.2%
Both human and natural changes		2	0.5%
Both human and natural, perhaps about equally		1	0.2%
Both human causes and natural.		1	0.2%
Both issues are having an impact.		1	0.2%
Both man and natural		1	0.2%
Both natural		1	0.2%
Both natural and huy		1	0.2%
Both natural and manmade		1	0.2%
Both natural change and human activity		1	0.2%
Both natural changes and burning fossil fuels		1	0.2%
Both of above		1	0.2%
Both of the above		14	3.2%

# **# pes19\_cc2\_3\_TEXT: What do you think is the main cause of climate change**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Both of the above are contributors as is excessive use of chemicals and poor recycling practices.		1	0.2%
Both of the above!		1	0.2%
Both of the above.		1	0.2%
Both of the answers above		1	0.2%
Both the above		1	0.2%
Both together		1	0.2%
Both!		1	0.2%
Both.		1	0.2%
Botha		1	0.2%
CARS		1	0.2%
COUNTRIES LIKE CHINA/ INDIA		1	0.2%
Cattle		1	0.2%
Cattle do more damage than fossil fuels		1	0.2%
Caused by Humans & nature		1	0.2%
CausÃ©s principalement par des activitÃ©s humaines et corporatives. Mais, pas uniquement la combustion des Ã©nergies.		1	0.2%
Centrales Ã©lectriques au charbon et les dÃ©chets jetÃ©s partout par les gens		1	0.2%
Check out China and India!		1	0.2%
China is a huge polluter		1	0.2%
China- India-		1	0.2%
China.		1	0.2%
Climate change is a natural thing. Man is just accelerating the process.		1	0.2%

# pes19\_cc2\_3\_TEXT: What do you think is the main cause of climate change

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Cmbination of both		1	0.2%
Combinaison de facteurs, dont les activités humaines		1	0.2%
Combinaison des 2 réponses susmentionnées		1	0.2%
Combinaison des deux		1	0.2%
Combination		1	0.2%
Combination of Human activities and natural changes in the environment		1	0.2%
Combination of both		6	1.4%
Combination of both Human Activities and natural changes in the environment.. probably 60% Human 40% Natural changes in the environment		1	0.2%
Combination of both human and natural		1	0.2%
Combination of both natural change and human activities		1	0.2%
Combination of both natural changes and fossil fuels		1	0.2%
Combination of both.		1	0.2%
Combination of human activities and nature		1	0.2%
Combination of human and natural		1	0.2%
Combination of issues		1	0.2%
Combination of many factors		1	0.2%
Combination of more human than natural		1	0.2%
Combination of nature and man-		1	0.2%

# pes19\_cc2\_3\_TEXT: What do you think is the main cause of climate change

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
made influences are causing climate change, with human interference being largely responsible			
Combination of people really not doing their part of recycling, caring about our environment. Each person should be responsible for themselves and surrounds		1	0.2%
Combination of the above world wide		1	0.2%
Combination of the two		1	0.2%
Combination of the two above		1	0.2%
Commercialism		1	0.2%
Could be cyclical		1	0.2%
Cutting down of forests and not replacing them, all forms of pollution- air, water, noise, etc. Over dependence on plastics and non-biodegradable materials for packaging, burning garbage, the cost of beneficial products are so much more than the		1	0.2%
Destroying rain forests, pollution, as well as use of fossil fuels		1	0.2%
Does not matter what the cause is. Action needed now		1	0.2%
Doesn't matter the cause, we should fix it anyway		1	0.2%
Equal split		1	0.2%

# pes19\_cc2\_3\_TEXT: What do you think is the main cause of climate change

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Fossil fuels, large population, reduced forests		1	0.2%
Garbage		1	0.2%
Generally a lack of knowledge in how we are impacting the environment		1	0.2%
Historical glaciation and warming over millions of years		1	0.2%
Human Activities and cyclical environmental changes that occur over time		1	0.2%
Human activities		1	0.2%
Human activities + natural changes		1	0.2%
Human activities AND natural changes		1	0.2%
Human activities are enhancing natural climate change		1	0.2%
Human activities but not only fossil fuels		1	0.2%
Human activity and natural changes		1	0.2%
Human neglect and natural environment		1	0.2%
I believe it is a combination of human activities along with natural changes. Remember cows are one of the largest polluters in the world with their methane gas.		1	0.2%
I believe it's both		1	0.2%
I believe that it is a natural happening but we have hastened the time line		1	0.2%

### # pes19\_cc2\_3\_TEXT: What do you think is the main cause of climate change

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
I don't think we are the cause of climate change but look to China & India for the most polluters of our environment.		1	0.2%
I feel it is a combination of the two factors		1	0.2%
I think it is a combination of many factors. Some are out of our control, so we need to control what we can to make things better		1	0.2%
I think it is a combination of the two		1	0.2%
I think it's a combination		1	0.2%
I think it's a mix of both. But mostly human activities changing the natural environment		1	0.2%
I think it's a combination of both but humans are definitely making it happen more rapidly and could do so much more to de-escalate the situation		1	0.2%
I think natural changes are happening and we are heavily adding to the effects		1	0.2%
I think several things are contributing to climate change		1	0.2%
It is a combination of human abuse of local environments and natural changes		1	0.2%



# **# pes19\_cc2\_3\_TEXT: What do you think is the main cause of climate change**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
It is a combination of things. Burning fuels combined with widespread deforestation		1	0.2%
It is a natural event, however we are greatly accelerating it		1	0.2%
It is both human and natural things		1	0.2%
It is what it is		1	0.2%
Jesus 2nd coming: the Universe is giving birth. All the planets and whole Universe is warming also, this is not our fault.		1	0.2%
La population de la terre		1	0.2%
Le problème est que la quantité que l'homme a créé est le surplus que la nature ne peut absorber.		1	0.2%
Les 2 à la fois		1	0.2%
Les deux		6	1.4%
Les deux...activités humaines et changements naturels		1	0.2%
Les hommes		1	0.2%
Likely it's a combination of the two, we cannot deny that we aren't helping, but pollution is a bigger issue		1	0.2%
Many human activities including not limited to burning of fossil fuel		1	0.2%
Many, Many		1	0.2%
Mix of both		1	0.2%
Mostly human activity but		1	0.2%

# pes19\_cc2\_3\_TEXT: What do you think is the main cause of climate change

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
some natural cycles			
Multiple complex factors		1	0.2%
Natural AND Human Activities		1	0.2%
Natural changes are occurring, but 'Ground file's pollution is killing Mankind.		1	0.2%
Natural changes due to procession of the earth as well as human activity		1	0.2%
Natural changes exacerbated by human activity		2	0.5%
Natural changes sled up and exacerbated by human activities		1	0.2%
No one thing		1	0.2%
Numerous causes		1	0.2%
OVER POPULATION		1	0.2%
Oil use and excavation		1	0.2%
Other 3rd world countries		1	0.2%
Over population		1	0.2%
Over population, and lack of interest in China, Asia, Africa to change		1	0.2%
Over population, consumerism and both the above.		1	0.2%
Over-consumption, Pollution, Human Activities, Natural Changes in the Environment, Big Corporations Disregard for the Environment and lack of social responsibility		1	0.2%
Overpopulation		1	0.2%

### # pes19\_cc2\_3\_TEXT: What do you think is the main cause of climate change

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Overpopulation and sustaining it		1	0.2%
PLASTIC		1	0.2%
Par les deux		1	0.2%
Par lâ €™activitÃ© humaine mais aussi par dâ €™autres forces naturelles,mais beaucoup par lâ €™homme.		1	0.2%
Past and current nuclear testing, the change in the earth's tilt and rotation speed, all the garbage in the oceans		1	0.2%
Polluting countries like china		1	0.2%
Pollution		1	0.2%
Polution, over population, greed, raping of environment and resources ... etc.		1	0.2%
Population, general uninterest in saving the environment/ less action and concern		1	0.2%
Probably solar activity changes		1	0.2%
Self centred, entitled people-eating meat, owning every car, appliance, multiple houses, killing animals, killing rain forests, palm oil industry		1	0.2%
Slaughter houses/meat eating/animal husbandry and all the processes involved to bring unnecessary meat to the plate		1	0.2%
Social environment and money		1	0.2%
Solar output		1	0.2%

# pes19\_cc2\_3\_TEXT: What do you think is the main cause of climate change

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Surpopulation		1	0.2%
THE WHOLE WORLD IS TO BLAME. MOTHER EARTH IS TRYING TO KEEP ALIVE SO SHE IS DOING THE RIGHT THING TO PROTECT HERSELF AND ALL OF THE WORLD FROM HUMAN BEINGS THAT HAVE ALL DID THE DAMAGE TO HER AND ALL LIVING THINGS THAT ARE ON HER WORLD.		1	0.2%
The global population		1	0.2%
The size of world population		1	0.2%
There are many factors involved with this topic.		1	0.2%
There are many human related causes and the burning of fossil fuels is only one part of the equation. Also, it is countries like India and China that need to do more to reduce their dependance on fossil fuels. Without oil & gas production the		1	0.2%
There are many reasons in addition to fossil fuels		1	0.2%
There are natural changes but we are definitely making the change happen much worse and much faster. In other words, both.		1	0.2%
To many people		1	0.2%

# pes19\_cc2\_3\_TEXT: What do you think is the main cause of climate change

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Too many people raping the earth		1	0.2%
Un juste milieu entre vos 2 reponses		1	0.2%
Un mixe entre le facteur humain et naturel		1	0.2%
Un mélange de transformation naturelle et d'impact humain que nous devrions étudier empiriquement et objectivement		1	0.2%
Un peu des deux		2	0.5%
Un peu des deux.		1	0.2%
Waste of energy		1	0.2%
World wide ignorance of how their actions affect the environment		1	0.2%
a bit of both		1	0.2%
a combination		1	0.2%
a combination of above		1	0.2%
a combination of both		2	0.5%
a combination of both above		1	0.2%
a combination of both but leaning more to man's being an idiot		1	0.2%
a combination of both things happening.		1	0.2%
a combination of both with a larger portion going to the fossil fuels		1	0.2%
a combination of burning fossil fuels, deforestation, water pollution and some natural changes in the environment		1	0.2%
a combination of human activity		1	0.2%

# pes19\_cc2\_3\_TEXT: What do you think is the main cause of climate change

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
and natural changes			
a combination of many factors		1	0.2%
a combination of natural changes and human activities		1	0.2%
a combination of natural, cyclical changes and also human activities. Society focus on accumualting belongings and quantity over quality		1	0.2%
a combination of natural/man made causes		1	0.2%
a combination of people and cycles in nature		1	0.2%
a combination of the 2		1	0.2%
a combo of both natural and human activities		1	0.2%
agriculture		1	0.2%
all of the above		3	0.7%
anthropogenic water vapour		1	0.2%
both		32	7.3%
both A and B		1	0.2%
both and		1	0.2%
both answers are correct		1	0.2%
both are responsible		2	0.5%
both contribute		1	0.2%
both equally		1	0.2%
both fossil fuels and changes in environment		1	0.2%
both human and natural		1	0.2%
both human and natural plays part to the changes		1	0.2%
both human and nature		1	0.2%
both natural & human		1	0.2%

# pes19\_cc2\_3\_TEXT: What do you think is the main cause of climate change

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
both natural and human activity		1	0.2%
both natural and human caused		1	0.2%
both natural and man made		1	0.2%
both of the above		9	2.0%
both of the above and some other factors		1	0.2%
both of the above- human activities have accelerated natural changes		1	0.2%
both of those equally		1	0.2%
both reasons		1	0.2%
both the above		1	0.2%
both two top answers		1	0.2%
both, a mixture of these, plus different radiations from the sun		1	0.2%
both. Humans are causing nature to escalate the timeline		1	0.2%
botha bove		1	0.2%
buildings,paving etc putting heat into the ground where before trees stopped the heat reaching the ground		1	0.2%
burning of fossil fuels cause changes in environment		1	0.2%
causÃ© par les grandes entreprises		1	0.2%
climate change has gone on since the earth was formed so we are just in another phase of this		1	0.2%
combinaion of human activities and natural changes		1	0.2%

### # pes19\_cc2\_3\_TEXT: What do you think is the main cause of climate change

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
combinaison des 2 autre reponses		1	0.2%
combination of Natural chg & Human activities like altering landscape & atmosphere (biosphere)		1	0.2%
combination of above		1	0.2%
combination of above and over population		1	0.2%
combination of both		2	0.5%
combination of both above		1	0.2%
combination of evolution and human activity - stopping fossil fuels will not in itself solve the problem to extent it is solvable		1	0.2%
combination of human and environment changes		1	0.2%
combination of man-made and natural causes		1	0.2%
combination of natural and anthropogenic activities		1	0.2%
combination of natural and human cause - deforestation as another point		1	0.2%
combination of the above		1	0.2%
combination of the two		2	0.5%
corporate greed		1	0.2%
deforestation		1	0.2%
earth closest to the sun		1	0.2%
everything		1	0.2%
fossil fuels but also agriculture		1	0.2%
greed		1	0.2%
greed and thoughts		1	0.2%



### # pes19\_cc2\_3\_TEXT: What do you think is the main cause of climate change

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
how about a little of both		1	0.2%
humains, nature taking its course..all types of factors all at once.		1	0.2%
human activities		1	0.2%
human activity but with NASA and airplanes and satellites and shuttle launches not cars and home heating systems		1	0.2%
human and natural		1	0.2%
human/nature combo		1	0.2%
humans being neglectful entitled wasters		1	0.2%
india , china		1	0.2%
its a natural cycle at worst our actions may be speeding it up but overall it going to happen. regardless of what we do.		1	0.2%
les 2 réponses sont bonnes		1	0.2%
les deux		3	0.7%
les deux ci-haut		1	0.2%
les deux Ã non ci-dessus		1	0.2%
many factors		1	0.2%
natural occurrence but influenced by human consumption patterns		1	0.2%
more humans on the planet cause more consumption therefore more energy is being used		1	0.2%
over population		3	0.7%
over population of the planet		1	0.2%
over population, too many		1	0.2%

### # pes19\_cc2\_3\_TEXT: What do you think is the main cause of climate change

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
consumers for a limited biosphere, the only cure for climate change is population reduction. Bee colonies split the hive when they become too big for the areas resources. unfortunately we don't have that luxury so, pop			
overpopulation		1	0.2%
packaging of items for purchase as well as large corporations burning fossil fuels		1	0.2%
plusieur facteur humain et naturel		1	0.2%
population ( too many )		1	0.2%
population growth		1	0.2%
probably both		1	0.2%
some by natural; most by human activity		1	0.2%
the sun		1	0.2%
there are many factors, plastics are a major problem		1	0.2%
too many cars. not enough mass transit		1	0.2%
un mÃ©lange des 2		1	0.2%
un peu des deux		1	0.2%
we evolved from the Ice Age		1	0.2%
[Not exactly sure, but I do believe that Humans play a role in the changes, as does natural changes!		1	0.2%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # pes19\_pid\_close: Do you usually think of yourself as close to a federal political party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

# pes19_pid_close: Do you usually think of yourself as close to a federal political party			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5152 /-] [Invalid=32670 /-]	
Literal question		Do you usually think of yourself as close to any particular federal political party?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2002	<div></div> 38.9%
2	No	2903	<div></div> 56.3%
3	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	247	<div></div> 4.8%
Sysmiss		32670	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_little_close: Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2903 /-] [Invalid=34919 /-]	
Literal question		Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1306	<div></div> 45.0%
2	No	1529	<div></div> 52.7%
3	Don't know / Prefer not to answer	68	<div></div> 2.3%
Sysmiss		34919	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# pes19_pid: Which party do you feel closest to? - Selected Choice			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3308 /-] [Invalid=34514 /-]		
Literal question	Which party do you feel closest to?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal Party	1191	<div></div> 36.0%
2	Conservative Party	1111	<div></div> 33.6%
3	NDP	560	<div></div> 16.9%
4	Bloc Qu'Ã©bÃ©cois	86	<div></div> 2.6%
5	Other (specify)	16	<div></div> 0.5%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	43	<div></div> 1.3%
7	Green Party	245	<div></div> 7.4%
8	People's Party	56	<div></div> 1.7%
Sysmiss		34514	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_pid_5_TEXT: Which party do you feel closest to? - Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
CHP		1	<div></div> 6.7%
Ca d'Ã©pend des politiciens		1	<div></div> 6.7%
Communist Party of Canada		1	<div></div> 6.7%
It waivers between Liberals and Conservatives		1	<div></div> 6.7%
It would be equal between the Green Party & the NDP.		1	<div></div> 6.7%
Liberal before however not anymore necessarily		1	<div></div> 6.7%
Libertarian		1	<div></div> 6.7%
Marxist-Leninist		1	<div></div> 6.7%
NDP and Green		1	<div></div> 6.7%
PPC		1	<div></div> 6.7%
PPC at the current time. & conservative		1	<div></div> 6.7%
Rhinoceros		1	<div></div> 6.7%
both NDP & Liberal		1	<div></div> 6.7%
btwn lib and ndp		1	<div></div> 6.7%
none of the above		1	<div></div> 6.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# pes19_pid_strength: How close do you feel to this party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3265 /-] [Invalid=34557 /-]		
Literal question	How close do you feel to this party?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very close	584	<div><div></div></div> 17.9%
2	Somewhat close	2257	<div><div></div></div> 69.1%
3	Not very close	401	<div><div></div></div> 12.3%
4	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	23	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
Sysmiss		34557	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_pidtrad: In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a: - Selected Choice			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5188 /-] [Invalid=32634 /-]		
Literal question	In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	1746	<div><div></div></div> 33.7%
2	Conservative	1501	<div><div></div></div> 28.9%
3	NDP	693	<div><div></div></div> 13.4%
4	Bloc Qu'Appelle	186	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
5	Green	274	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
6	People's Party	64	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
7	Another party (please specify)	23	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
8	None of these	383	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
9	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	318	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
Sysmiss		32634	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_pidtrad_7_TEXT: In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a: - Another party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
A realist		1	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
Bloc Qu'Appelle		2	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
CHRISTIAN HERITAGE PARTY		1	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
Green/liberal		1	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
I agree with aspects of most parties		1	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
I am very liberal in my values but the party I vote for changes based on what their platform is and who is		1	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%

# pes19_pidtrad_7_TEXT: In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a: - Another party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
running in my area			
INDEPENDENT		1	4.3%
Independent		2	8.7%
Libertarian		1	4.3%
Progressive conservatism		1	4.3%
Red Tory		1	4.3%
Sask party		1	4.3%
Spiritual Party		1	4.3%
The natural law party		1	4.3%
The party that has the best candidate in my riding		1	4.3%
Thinker		1	4.3%
Undecided		1	4.3%
Veterans conservative		1	4.3%
fiscally conservative, otherwise liberal		1	4.3%
socialist		1	4.3%
undecided		1	4.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_pidtradstrong: How strongly do you feel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4487 /-] [Invalid=33335 /-]		
Literal question	How strongly\${e://Field/pid_en}\${pes19_pidtrad/ChoiceTextEntryValue/7}do you feel?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly	1288	28.7%
2	Fairly strongly	2384	53.1%
3	Not very strongly	756	16.8%
4	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	59	1.3%
Sysmiss		33335	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_affective_1: Now thinking about the party you feel closest to 1			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7713 /-] [Invalid=30109 /-]		
Literal question	Now thinking about the party you feel closest to, how often do you think about it in the following ways?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	3875	50.2%
2	Sometimes	2701	35.0%
3	Often	612	7.9%
4	Always	289	3.7%


















# pes19_affective_1: Now thinking about the party you feel closest to 1			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	236	3.1%
Sysmiss		30109	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_affective_2: Now thinking about the party you feel closest to 2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7713 /-] [Invalid=30109 /-]		
Literal question	Now thinking about the party you feel closest to, how often do you think about it in the following ways?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1458	18.9%
2	Sometimes	3634	47.1%
3	Often	1530	19.8%
4	Always	725	9.4%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	366	4.7%
Sysmiss		30109	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_affective_3: Now thinking about the party you feel closest to 3			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7713 /-] [Invalid=30109 /-]		
Literal question	Now thinking about the party you feel closest to, how often do you think about it in the following ways?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	4271	55.4%
2	Sometimes	1876	24.3%
3	Often	771	10.0%
4	Always	551	7.1%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	244	3.2%
Sysmiss		30109	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_affective_4: Now thinking about the party you feel closest to 4			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7713 /-] [Invalid=30109 /-]		
Literal question	Now thinking about the party you feel closest to, how often do you think about it in the following ways?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1582	20.5%
2	Sometimes	3313	43.0%
3	Often	1524	19.8%
4	Always	957	12.4%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	337	4.4%
Sysmiss		30109	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_langQC: Is the French language threatened in Quebec			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1625 /-] [Invalid=36197 /-]		

# pes19_langQC: Is the French language threatened in Quebec			
<b>Literal question</b>		In your opinion, is the French language threatened in Quebec?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	889	<div><div></div></div> 54.7%
2	No	690	<div><div></div></div> 42.5%
3	Donâ€™t know/ Prefer not to answer	46	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
Sysmiss		36197	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_cultureQC: Is the French culture threatened in Quebec			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=1625 /-] [Invalid=36197 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		In your opinion, is the French culture threatened in Quebec?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	903	<div><div></div></div> 55.6%
2	No	685	<div><div></div></div> 42.2%
3	Donâ€™t know/ Prefer not to answer	37	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
Sysmiss		36197	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_qclang: If Quebec separates from Canada, do you think that...			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=1625 /-] [Invalid=36197 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		If Quebec separates from Canada, do you think that the situation of the French language in Quebec will...	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Get better	515	<div><div></div></div> 31.7%
2	Get worse	316	<div><div></div></div> 19.4%
3	Stay about the same as now	716	<div><div></div></div> 44.1%
4	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	78	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
Sysmiss		36197	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_qcsol: If Quebec separates from Canada, do you think your standard of living will...			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=1625 /-] [Invalid=36197 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		If Quebec separates from Canada, do you think your standard of living will...	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Get better	212	<div><div></div></div> 13.0%
2	Get worse	820	<div><div></div></div> 50.5%
3	Stay about the same as now	487	<div><div></div></div> 30.0%
4	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	106	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
Sysmiss		36197	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_newerlife: Newer lifestyles are contributing to the breakdown of our society			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		Newer lifestyles are contributing to the breakdown of our society.	



# pes19_newerlife: Newer lifestyles are contributing to the breakdown of our society			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	1370	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%
2	Somewhat disagree	1669	<div><div></div></div> 16.1%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	2454	<div><div></div></div> 23.7%
4	Somewhat agree	3308	<div><div></div></div> 32.0%
5	Strongly agree	1149	<div><div></div></div> 11.1%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	390	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_happy: In most ways, my life is close to my ideal			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5197 /-] [Invalid=32625 /-]		
Literal question	In most ways, my life is close to my ideal.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	336	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
2	Somewhat disagree	929	<div><div></div></div> 17.9%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1093	<div><div></div></div> 21.0%
4	Somewhat agree	2271	<div><div></div></div> 43.7%
5	Strongly agree	523	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	45	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
Sysmiss		32625	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_satisfied: I am satisfied with my life			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5197 /-] [Invalid=32625 /-]		
Literal question	I am satisfied with my life.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	243	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
2	Somewhat disagree	674	<div><div></div></div> 13.0%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	673	<div><div></div></div> 12.9%
4	Somewhat agree	2367	<div><div></div></div> 45.5%
5	Strongly agree	1220	<div><div></div></div> 23.5%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Sysmiss		32625	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_cognition: I like to have responsibility for handling situations that...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5124 /-] [Invalid=32698 /-]		
Literal question	I like to have responsibility for handling situations that require a lot of thinking.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	70	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
2	Somewhat disagree	317	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	1117	<div><div></div></div> 21.8%

# pes19_cognition: I like to have responsibility for handling situations that...			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Somewhat agree	2527	<div><div></div></div> 49.3%
5	Strongly agree	1015	<div><div></div></div> 19.8%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	78	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
Sysmiss		32698	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_feminine_1: How much do you identity as feminine			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4711 /-] [Invalid=33111 /-] [Mean=53.864 /-] [StdDev=35.97 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you identity as feminine on a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means not at all feminine and 100 means very feminine.		
# pes19_masculine_1: How much do you identity as masculine			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4756 /-] [Invalid=33066 /-] [Mean=49.859 /-] [StdDev=36.347 /-]		
Literal question	How much do you identity as masculine on a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means not at all masculine and 100 means very masculine.		
# pes19_big5_1: We're interested in how you see yourself 1			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4881 /-] [Invalid=32941 /-] [Mean=4.223 /-] [StdDev=1.633 /-]		
Literal question	We’re interested in how you see yourself. Please indicate how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other. On the left, 1 means those words describe you extremely poorly; on the right, 7 means those words describe you extremely well. Please use any number.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		296	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
2		532	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
3		859	<div><div></div></div> 17.6%
4		816	<div><div></div></div> 16.7%
5		1190	<div><div></div></div> 24.4%
6		855	<div><div></div></div> 17.5%
7		333	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
Sysmiss		32941	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_big5_2: We're interested in how you see yourself 2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4938 /-] [Invalid=32884 /-] [Mean=3.259 /-] [StdDev=1.521 /-]		
Literal question	We’re interested in how you see yourself. Please indicate how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other. On the left, 1 means those words describe you extremely poorly; on the right, 7 means those words describe you extremely well. Please use any number.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		669	<div><div></div></div> 13.5%
2		1069	<div><div></div></div> 21.6%
3		1178	<div><div></div></div> 23.9%
4		785	<div><div></div></div> 15.9%
5		874	<div><div></div></div> 17.7%
6		297	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%

# pes19_big5_2: We're interested in how you see yourself 2			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7		66	 1.3%
Sysmiss		32884	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_big5_3: We're interested in how you see yourself 3			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5057 /-] [Invalid=32765 /-] [Mean=5.776 /-] [StdDev=1.107 /-]		
Literal question	We're interested in how you see yourself. Please indicate how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other. On the left, 1 means those words describe you extremely poorly; on the right, 7 means those words describe you extremely well. Please use any number.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		33	 0.7%
2		53	 1.0%
3		132	 2.6%
4		278	 5.5%
5		1138	 22.5%
6		2089	 41.3%
7		1334	 26.4%
Sysmiss		32765	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_big5_4: We're interested in how you see yourself 4			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4926 /-] [Invalid=32896 /-] [Mean=3.397 /-] [StdDev=1.599 /-]		
Literal question	We're interested in how you see yourself. Please indicate how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other. On the left, 1 means those words describe you extremely poorly; on the right, 7 means those words describe you extremely well. Please use any number.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		596	 12.1%
2		1030	 20.9%
3		1187	 24.1%
4		720	 14.6%
5		872	 17.7%
6		369	 7.5%
7		152	 3.1%
Sysmiss		32896	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_big5_5: We're interested in how you see yourself 5			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4980 /-] [Invalid=32842 /-] [Mean=5.192 /-] [StdDev=1.197 /-]		
Literal question	We're interested in how you see yourself. Please indicate how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other. On the left, 1 means those words describe you extremely poorly; on the right, 7 means those words describe you extremely well. Please use any number.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		27	 0.5%
2		109	 2.2%

# pes19_big5_5: We're interested in how you see yourself 5			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		346	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
4		618	<div><div></div></div> 12.4%
5		1777	<div><div></div></div> 35.7%
6		1505	<div><div></div></div> 30.2%
7		598	<div><div></div></div> 12.0%
Sysmiss		32842	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_big5_6: We're interested in how you see yourself 6			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4934 /-] [Invalid=32888 /-] [Mean=4.543 /-] [StdDev=1.601 /-]		
Literal question	We're interested in how you see yourself. Please indicate how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other. On the left, 1 means those words describe you extremely poorly; on the right, 7 means those words describe you extremely well. Please use any number.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		191	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
2		424	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
3		766	<div><div></div></div> 15.5%
4		712	<div><div></div></div> 14.4%
5		1315	<div><div></div></div> 26.7%
6		1026	<div><div></div></div> 20.8%
7		500	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
Sysmiss		32888	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_big5_7: We're interested in how you see yourself 7			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4991 /-] [Invalid=32831 /-] [Mean=5.483 /-] [StdDev=1.215 /-]		
Literal question	We're interested in how you see yourself. Please indicate how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other. On the left, 1 means those words describe you extremely poorly; on the right, 7 means those words describe you extremely well. Please use any number.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		35	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
2		87	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
3		237	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
4		466	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
5		1423	<div><div></div></div> 28.5%
6		1732	<div><div></div></div> 34.7%
7		1011	<div><div></div></div> 20.3%
Sysmiss		32831	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_big5_8: We're interested in how you see yourself 8			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=32802 /-] [Mean=2.504 /-] [StdDev=1.44 /-]		
Literal question	We're interested in how you see yourself. Please indicate how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other. On the left, 1 means those words describe you extremely poorly; on the right, 7 means those words describe you extremely well. Please use any number.		

# pes19_big5_8: We're interested in how you see yourself 8			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1493	<div><div></div></div> 29.7%
2		1416	<div><div></div></div> 28.2%
3		1076	<div><div></div></div> 21.4%
4		407	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
5		429	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
6		150	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
7		49	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
Sysmiss		32802	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_big5_9: We're interested in how you see yourself 9			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4947 /-] [Invalid=32875 /-] [Mean=5.193 /-] [StdDev=1.28 /-]		
Literal question	We're interested in how you see yourself. Please indicate how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other. On the left, 1 means those words describe you extremely poorly; on the right, 7 means those words describe you extremely well. Please use any number.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		49	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
2		110	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
3		394	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
4		663	<div><div></div></div> 13.4%
5		1470	<div><div></div></div> 29.7%
6		1589	<div><div></div></div> 32.1%
7		672	<div><div></div></div> 13.6%
Sysmiss		32875	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_big5_10: We're interested in how you see yourself 10			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4881 /-] [Invalid=32941 /-] [Mean=3.565 /-] [StdDev=1.565 /-]		
Literal question	We're interested in how you see yourself. Please indicate how well the following pair of words describes you, even if one word describes you better than the other. On the left, 1 means those words describe you extremely poorly; on the right, 7 means those words describe you extremely well. Please use any number.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		492	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
2		836	<div><div></div></div> 17.1%
3		1201	<div><div></div></div> 24.6%
4		838	<div><div></div></div> 17.2%
5		922	<div><div></div></div> 18.9%
6		470	<div><div></div></div> 9.6%
7		122	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
Sysmiss		32941	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_health: How would you describe your health			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		

# pes19_health: How would you describe your health			
<b>Literal question</b>		Compared to other people your age, how would you describe your health?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Excellent	1864	<div><div></div></div> 18.0%
2	Very good	4938	<div><div></div></div> 47.8%
3	Fair	2951	<div><div></div></div> 28.5%
4	Poor	538	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	49	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_phealth: How would you describe your physical health			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=5143 /-] [Invalid=32679 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		Compared to other people your age, how would you describe your physicalhealth?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Excellent	851	<div><div></div></div> 16.5%
2	Very good	2249	<div><div></div></div> 43.7%
3	Fair	1682	<div><div></div></div> 32.7%
4	Poor	342	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	19	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Sysmiss		32679	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_mhealth: How would you describe your mental health			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=5143 /-] [Invalid=32679 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		Compared to other people your age, how would you describe your mentalhealth?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Excellent	1454	<div><div></div></div> 28.3%
2	Very good	2354	<div><div></div></div> 45.8%
3	Fair	1048	<div><div></div></div> 20.4%
4	Poor	262	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	25	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
Sysmiss		32679	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_yob: To make sure we are talking to a cross section of Canadians...			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-92] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		To make sure we are talking to a cross section of Canadians, we need to get a little information about your background. First, in what year were you born?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1920	24	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
2	1921	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
3	1922	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
4	1923	0	

# pes19\_yob: To make sure we are talking to a cross section of Canadians...

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	1924	1	0.0%
6	1925	2	0.0%
7	1926	2	0.0%
8	1927	2	0.0%
9	1928	2	0.0%
10	1929	8	0.1%
11	1930	7	0.1%
12	1931	8	0.1%
13	1932	9	0.1%
14	1933	7	0.1%
15	1934	7	0.1%
16	1935	18	0.2%
17	1936	19	0.2%
18	1937	27	0.3%
19	1938	43	0.4%
20	1939	52	0.5%
21	1940	76	0.7%
22	1941	71	0.7%
23	1942	96	0.9%
24	1943	107	1.0%
25	1944	119	1.2%
26	1945	145	1.4%
27	1946	201	1.9%
28	1947	218	2.1%
29	1948	232	2.2%
30	1949	233	2.3%
31	1950	242	2.3%
32	1951	275	2.7%
33	1952	287	2.8%
34	1953	303	2.9%
35	1954	298	2.9%
36	1955	282	2.7%
37	1956	281	2.7%
38	1957	285	2.8%
39	1958	288	2.8%
40	1959	258	2.5%
41	1960	256	2.5%
42	1961	211	2.0%
43	1962	203	2.0%
44	1963	250	2.4%
45	1964	233	2.3%
46	1965	204	2.0%
47	1966	205	2.0%
48	1967	168	1.6%
49	1968	184	1.8%

# pes19\_yob: To make sure we are talking to a cross section of Canadians...

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50	1969	172	1.7%
51	1970	185	1.8%
52	1971	163	1.6%
53	1972	142	1.4%
54	1973	143	1.4%
55	1974	150	1.5%
56	1975	153	1.5%
57	1976	142	1.4%
58	1977	126	1.2%
59	1978	147	1.4%
60	1979	146	1.4%
61	1980	154	1.5%
62	1981	155	1.5%
63	1982	131	1.3%
64	1983	131	1.3%
65	1984	161	1.6%
66	1985	176	1.7%
67	1986	136	1.3%
68	1987	141	1.4%
69	1988	122	1.2%
70	1989	133	1.3%
71	1990	149	1.4%
72	1991	110	1.1%
73	1992	94	0.9%
74	1993	78	0.8%
75	1994	80	0.8%
76	1995	58	0.6%
77	1996	56	0.5%
78	1997	45	0.4%
79	1998	32	0.3%
80	1999	26	0.3%
81	2000	33	0.3%
82	2001	10	0.1%
83	2002	0	
84	2003	0	
85	2004	0	
86	2005	0	
87	2006	0	
88	2007	0	
89	2008	0	
90	2009	0	
91	2010	0	
92	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	0	
Sysmiss		27482	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.



# pes19_month_of_birth: In what month were you born			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-13] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	In what month were you born?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	January	906	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
2	February	782	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
3	March	845	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
4	April	890	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
5	May	913	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
6	June	898	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
7	July	911	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
8	August	904	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
9	September	872	<div><div></div></div> 8.4%
10	October	839	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
11	November	788	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
12	December	762	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
13	Don't know / Prefer not to answer	30	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_service_freq: How often do you attend religious services			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	How often do you attend religious services, excluding special occasions such as weddings and funerals?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	5077	<div><div></div></div> 49.1%
2	Once a year	1772	<div><div></div></div> 17.1%
3	Two to eleven times a year	1438	<div><div></div></div> 13.9%
4	Once a month	253	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
5	Two or three times a month	488	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
6	Once a week or more	963	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
7	Don't know / Prefer not to answer	349	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_parents_born: Was either or both of your parents born outside of Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 4-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question	Was either or both of your parents born outside of Canada?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Yes	3781	<div><div></div></div> 36.6%
5	No	6500	<div><div></div></div> 62.9%
6	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	59	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# pes19_rural_urban: Do you live in...				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question		Do you live in...		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	A rural area or village (less than1000 people)	1053	<div></div>	10.2%
2	A small town (more than 1000 people but less than 15K)	1235	<div></div>	11.9%
3	A middle-sized town (15K-50K people) not attached to a city	1099	<div></div>	10.6%
4	A suburb of a large town or city	2444	<div></div>	23.6%
5	A large town or city (more than 50K people)	4477	<div></div>	43.3%
6	Don't know / Prefer not to answer	32	<div></div>	0.3%
Sysmiss		27482		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# pes19_lived: How many years have you lived in your current city or community				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question		For how many years have you lived in your current city or community?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Less than 1 year	275	<div></div>	2.7%
2	1-3 years	822	<div></div>	7.9%
3	3-10 years	1741	<div></div>	16.8%
4	More than 10 years	7471	<div></div>	72.3%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	31	<div></div>	0.3%
Sysmiss		27482		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# pes19_follow_pol: How closely do you follow politics on TV, radio, newspapers, or the Internet				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question		And how closely do you follow politics on TV, radio, newspapers, or the Internet?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very closely	1957	<div></div>	18.9%
2	Fairly closely	4801	<div></div>	46.4%
3	Not very closely	2820	<div></div>	27.3%
4	Not at all	705	<div></div>	6.8%
5	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	57	<div></div>	0.6%
Sysmiss		27482		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# pes19_lang: Which language do you usually speak at home? - Selected Choice				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 68-85] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]		
Literal question		Which language do you usually speak at home?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
68	English	8444	<div></div>	81.7%
69	French	1458	<div></div>	14.1%

# pes19_lang: Which language do you usually speak at home? - Selected Choice			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
70	Aboriginal language (please specify)	6	0.1%
71	Arabic	25	0.2%
72	Chinese, Cantonese, Mandarin	155	1.5%
73	Filipino / Tagalog	20	0.2%
74	German	9	0.1%
75	Indian, Hindi, Gujarati	19	0.2%
76	Italian	11	0.1%
77	Korean	6	0.1%
78	Pakistani, Punjabi, Urdu	18	0.2%
79	Persian, Farsi	6	0.1%
80	Russian	27	0.3%
81	Spanish	32	0.3%
82	Tamil	1	0.0%
83	Vietnamese	3	0.0%
84	Other (please specify)	78	0.8%
85	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	22	0.2%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_lang_70_TEXT: Which language do you usually speak at home? - Aboriginal language			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Armenian		1	16.7%
Blackfoot		1	16.7%
Cree		2	33.3%
Polish		1	16.7%
cree language		1	16.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_lang_84_TEXT: Which language do you usually speak at home: other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=78 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Armenian		2	2.6%
Bangla		1	1.3%
Bengali		1	1.3%
CREE		1	1.3%
CREOLE		1	1.3%
Canadian		1	1.3%
Croatian		2	2.6%
CrÃ©ole		1	1.3%
DUTCH		1	1.3%
Dutch		1	1.3%
English French		1	1.3%

## # pes19\_lang\_84\_TEXT: Which language do you usually speak at home: other

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
French and English		1	1.3%
Friulain		1	1.3%
GREEK		2	2.6%
Greek		1	1.3%
HINDI		1	1.3%
Hebrew		2	2.6%
Hungarian		1	1.3%
Japanese		4	5.1%
Karen		1	1.3%
Kashmiri		1	1.3%
Katchi		1	1.3%
Lâ€™arabe libanais		1	1.3%
Malgache		1	1.3%
Pashto		1	1.3%
Polish		6	7.7%
Portuguese		2	2.6%
Portugueses		1	1.3%
Punjabi (Indian)		1	1.3%
ROMANIAN		1	1.3%
Romania		1	1.3%
Romanian		5	6.4%
Serbian		1	1.3%
Sinhala		1	1.3%
Sinhalese		1	1.3%
Suisse-allemand		1	1.3%
Technically none, I live alone so I have no one to talk to.		1	1.3%
UKRAINIAN		1	1.3%
Ukrainian		5	6.4%
Yiddish		1	1.3%
bangla		1	1.3%
both english and french		1	1.3%
bulgarian		1	1.3%
croatian		1	1.3%
english and french		1	1.3%
english/french		1	1.3%
estonian		1	1.3%
flamand		1	1.3%
french and english equally		1	1.3%
other languages		1	1.3%
polish		2	2.6%

# pes19_lang_84_TEXT: Which language do you usually speak at home: other			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
romanian		1	<div></div> 1.3%
roumanian		1	<div></div> 1.3%
serbian		1	<div></div> 1.3%
ukrainian		1	<div></div> 1.3%
urdu		1	<div></div> 1.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_occ_text: What is your main occupation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9103 /-]		
Literal question	What is your main occupation? If you are retired, please enter your former occupation.		
# pes19_occ_cat: Broad categories that best describes your occupation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 18-29] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1235 /-] [Invalid=36587 /-]		
Literal question	Which of the following broad categories best describes your occupation? If you do not work currently, select the category of your most recent job.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
18	Manager	181	<div></div> 14.7%
19	Professional	249	<div></div> 20.2%
20	Technician or associate professional	69	<div></div> 5.6%
21	Clerical support worker	124	<div></div> 10.0%
22	Service or sales worker	103	<div></div> 8.3%
23	Skilled agricultural, forestry or fishery worker	15	<div></div> 1.2%
24	Craft or related trades worker	31	<div></div> 2.5%
25	Plant operator, machine operator, or assembler	25	<div></div> 2.0%
26	Cleaner, laborer, or assistant	37	<div></div> 3.0%
27	Armed forces	11	<div></div> 0.9%
28	Other (please specify)	81	<div></div> 6.6%
29	Don't Know/ Prefer not to answer	309	<div></div> 25.0%
Sysmiss		36587	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_occ_cat_28_TEXT: Broad categories that best describes your occupation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=81 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Administration		2	<div></div> 2.5%
Bookkeeper		1	<div></div> 1.2%
Business Travel Industry		1	<div></div> 1.2%
Call centre representative and City auxiliary position cashier		1	<div></div> 1.2%
Cashier		1	<div></div> 1.2%

# pes19\_occ\_cat\_28\_TEXT: Broad categories that best describes your occupation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Construction owner		1	1.2%
Corporate buyer		1	1.2%
Cust service		1	1.2%
Customer service		3	3.7%
Disability		1	1.2%
Educateur		1	1.2%
Education		2	2.5%
Food and beverages attendant		1	1.2%
HOMEMAKER		2	2.5%
Health		1	1.2%
Health Care		1	1.2%
Health worker		1	1.2%
Homemaker		1	1.2%
IT		1	1.2%
Insurance		1	1.2%
Maison		1	1.2%
Malade Ã la maison		1	1.2%
Mental health		1	1.2%
NA		1	1.2%
None		1	1.2%
On line sales		1	1.2%
Owner/operator		1	1.2%
Part-time employee		1	1.2%
RETIRED		1	1.2%
Reg Nurse		1	1.2%
Respite care		1	1.2%
Retired		4	4.9%
Risk Administrative		1	1.2%
SANTE		1	1.2%
Security		1	1.2%
Stay at home mom		1	1.2%
Travel		1	1.2%
Unemployed		1	1.2%
VENTE		1	1.2%
Vente		1	1.2%
Warehouse		1	1.2%
Writer		1	1.2%
accountant		1	1.2%
agent de sÃ©curitÃ©		1	1.2%

### # pes19\_occ\_cat\_28\_TEXT: Broad categories that best describes your occupation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
associate		1	1.2%
barista		1	1.2%
biz owner		1	1.2%
couturiere		1	1.2%
education development		1	1.2%
homemaker		1	1.2%
hospitality		1	1.2%
joallier		1	1.2%
library		1	1.2%
monteur		1	1.2%
non applicable		1	1.2%
not employed		1	1.2%
organisme communautaire		1	1.2%
public servant		1	1.2%
receptionist		1	1.2%
regulatory compliance		1	1.2%
retail		1	1.2%
retired		5	6.2%
scolaire		1	1.2%
security guard		1	1.2%
supervisor		1	1.2%
trade		1	1.2%
tutor		1	1.2%
unemployed		1	1.2%
Ã%otudiante		1	1.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # pes19\_employment: What is your employment status

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-13] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=491 /-] [Invalid=37331 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Working for pay full-time	151	30.8%
2	Working for pay part-time	41	8.4%
3	Self employed (with or without employees)	40	8.1%
4	Retired	216	44.0%
5	Unemployed/ looking for work	11	2.2%
6	Student	2	0.4%
7	Caring for a family	7	1.4%
8	Disabled	13	2.6%
9	Student and working for pay	2	0.4%
10	Caring for family and working for pay	0	
11	Retired and working for pay	4	0.8%
12	Other (please specify)	3	0.6%

# pes19_employment: What is your employment status			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13	Don't know/ Prefer not to answer	1	0.2%
Sysmiss		37331	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_employment_12_TEXT: What is your employment status			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Short-term disability		1	<div></div> 33.3%
on medical leave		1	<div></div> 33.3%
unemployed, forced retired, occasional gig work		1	<div></div> 33.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_current_date: current_date			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20191024-20191111] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-] [Mean=20191048.087 /-] [StdDev=33.084 /-]	
# pes19_current_date_string: current_date			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20191024		89	<div></div> 0.9%
20191025		16	<div></div> 0.2%
20191026		504	<div></div> 4.9%
20191027		529	<div></div> 5.1%
20191028		3075	<div></div> 29.7%
20191029		1613	<div></div> 15.6%
20191030		1126	<div></div> 10.9%
20191031		733	<div></div> 7.1%
20191101		336	<div></div> 3.2%
20191102		446	<div></div> 4.3%
20191103		340	<div></div> 3.3%
20191104		348	<div></div> 3.4%
20191105		224	<div></div> 2.2%
20191106		227	<div></div> 2.2%
20191107		171	<div></div> 1.7%
20191108		212	<div></div> 2.1%
20191109		167	<div></div> 1.6%
20191110		125	<div></div> 1.2%
20191111		59	<div></div> 0.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			



# pes19_Q_Language: Q_Language			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
EN		9149	88.5%
FR-CA		1191	11.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_Q_TotalDuration: Q_TotalDuration			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 500-601851] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-] [Mean=2775.185 /-] [StdDev=15799.9 /-]	
# notvote_split: notvote_split			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
categorical		5206	50.3%
textbox		5134	49.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# splitsample: splitsample			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
CSES		5152	49.8%
modules		5188	50.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# confidence_institutions_word: confidence_institutions_word			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
confident		5151	49.8%
worried		5189	50.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# confidence_institutions_word_fr: confidence_institutions_word_fr			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
confiant		5151	49.8%
inquiet		5189	50.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# govt_programs_word: govt_programs_word			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	

# govt_programs_word: govt_programs_word			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
afford		5168	<div></div> 50.0%
deliver		5172	<div></div> 50.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# govt_programs_word_fr: govt_programs_word_fr			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
ne peut plus offrir		5172	<div></div> 50.0%
nâ€™a plus les moyens		5168	<div></div> 50.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# split_taxes: split_taxes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		5083	<div></div> 49.2%
1		5257	<div></div> 50.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# split_senate: split_senate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		5194	<div></div> 50.2%
1		5146	<div></div> 49.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# split_trade: split_trade			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		5202	<div></div> 50.3%
1		5138	<div></div> 49.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# split_lifesat: split_lifesat			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		5143	<div></div> 49.7%
1		5197	<div></div> 50.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# split_responsibility: split_responsibility			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		

# split_responsibility: split_responsibility			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		5216	<div></div> 50.4%
1		5124	<div></div> 49.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# split_sexism: split_sexism			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		5060	<div></div> 48.9%
1		5280	<div></div> 51.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# split_abortion: split_abortion			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		5209	<div></div> 50.4%
1		5131	<div></div> 49.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# split_getahead: split_getahead			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		5285	<div></div> 51.1%
1		5055	<div></div> 48.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# split_att_div: split_att_div			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		5137	<div></div> 49.7%
1		5203	<div></div> 50.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# split_govt_eff: split_govt_eff			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		5172	<div></div> 50.0%
1		5168	<div></div> 50.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# split_medical: split_medical			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	

# split_medical: split_medical			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		5128	49.6%
1		5212	50.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# split_ties: split_ties			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10251 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		5140	50.1%
1		5111	49.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# split_health_followups: split_health_followups			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		5197	50.3%
1		5143	49.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# split_gender_id: split_gender_id			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		5208	50.4%
1		5132	49.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# split_big5: split_big5			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10251 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		5113	49.9%
1		5138	50.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# split_hatespeech: split_hatespeech			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10251 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		5083	49.6%
1		5168	50.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# split_vol_assoc: split_vol_assoc			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	

# split_vol_assoc: split_vol_assoc			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10251 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		5094	<div></div> 49.7%
1		5157	<div></div> 50.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_data_quality: Data quality category the respondent is in			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-15] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Clean complete	8313	<div></div> 80.4%
1	Internal survey testing	0	
2	Ineligible - under 18	0	
3	Incomplete quota demographics	0	
4	Incomplete core	0	
5	Subsequent duplicate	0	
6	Speeder	0	
7	Postalcode-province mismatch	0	
8	Straightliner	0	
9	Could not match to CPS response	0	
10	Key demographics did not match CPS	0	
11	Only matched using IP address, YOB, and province	0	
12	Inattentive	571	<div></div> 5.5%
13	Initial duplicate	225	<div></div> 2.2%
14	Incomplete modules	3	<div></div> 0.0%
15	Only matched by Qualtrics	1228	<div></div> 11.9%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_panel: Panel respondent was drawn from			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
pes19_PID		5960	<div></div> 57.6%
pes19_gid		429	<div></div> 4.1%
pes19_r		1956	<div></div> 18.9%
pes19_rid		776	<div></div> 7.5%
pes19_uig		27	<div></div> 0.3%
pes19_vid		123	<div></div> 1.2%
pes19_viga		1069	<div></div> 10.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_age: Age in years based on pes19_yob			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 18-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-] [Mean=54.381 /-] [StdDev=15.557 /-]	

# pes19_duplicates_flag: Indicator for respondents that took the PES multiple times			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Unique	10106	<div></div> 97.7%
1	Initial response	234	<div></div> 2.3%
2	Subsequent response	0	
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pes19_inattentive: Indicator that respondent took more than 60 minutes to complete the PES			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10340 /-] [Invalid=27482 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not inattentive	9769	<div></div> 94.5%
1	Inattentive	571	<div></div> 5.5%
Sysmiss		27482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps19_weight_general_all: CPS weight for age, gender, education, and province, all respondents			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.200000002980232-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=37733 /-] [Invalid=89 /-] [Mean=1.002 /-] [StdDev=0.55 /-]	
# cps19_weight_general_restricted: CPS weight for age, gender, education, and province, restricted respondents			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.200000002980232-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=33822 /-] [Invalid=4000 /-] [Mean=1.002 /-] [StdDev=0.551 /-]	
# pes19_weight_general_all: PES weight for age, gender, education, and province, all respondents			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.200000002980232-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10325 /-] [Invalid=27497 /-] [Mean=1.001 /-] [StdDev=0.845 /-]	
# pes19_weight_general_restricted: PES weight for age, gender, education, and province, restricted respondents			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.200000002980232-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=8299 /-] [Invalid=29523 /-] [Mean=1.001 /-] [StdDev=0.827 /-]	